Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Social Science

Elixir Social Science 191 (2025) 55120-55122

ISSN: 2229-712X

Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions: A Reliable Tool for Early Cochlea Health Assessment

Fatima Q. Al-Rawi

Department of Intelligent Medical Systems, College of Biomedical Informatics, University of Information Technology and Communications, Baghdad, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received: 11 June 2025;
Received in revised form: 12 July 2025;
Accepted: 28 July 2025;

Keywords
TEOAE,
OAE,
Cochlea Hearing.

ABSTRACT

Otoacoustic emissions (OAEs) are an important, non-invasive method for early hearing screening that objectively assesses cochlea characteristics. Their usage, specifically brief-evoked OAEs (TEOAEs), enables the accurate diagnosis of hearing abnormalities in infants and early children, frequently before behavioral indications appear. OAEs are fast, cost-effective, and appropriate for a variety of screening settings, including neonatal intensive care units and school programs. Introducing OAEs into infant hearing screening procedures contributes to faster prediction and management, eventually improving the developmental prospects to kids with hearing loss.

Introduction

The hearing system is evolution in biology, able to detecting and interpreting sounds with varying spectrum frequency and strength. Auditory systems are likewise highly sensitive, responding to sound with intensities ranging from 12 orders in magnitude to 120 dB. Mechanical and biophysical mechanisms in the cochlea the peripheral organ of hearing, play a significant role in this remarkable accomplishment, it is a hydro mechanical frequency analyzer found in the inner ear. Its primary function is to do real-time spectrum deconstruction of the acoustic data and generate a spatial frequency map. The frequency analysis may be comprehend with the assistance, which depicts a straightened cochlea with an illustration of its basilar membrane shifted in reaction to a single-frequency audio (pure tone).The cochlea is composed up of three contiguous membrane channel coiled in the shape of a snail and surrounded by a bone shell called the otic capsule. Two of the tubes, or the scalae vestibuli and tympani, contain perilymph, a liquid with an ionic content similar to other extracellular fluids. When an acoustic signal is sent through a filled with fluid cochlea, the basilar membrane oscillates at the sound's frequency, causing a wave to flow toward its distal end. The wave is spatially limited along the basilar membrane, and its maximum amplitude is proportional to the wavelength of the sounds. The greater frequency, it more limited the disruption to the distal end. The oscillating layer holds the auditory organ of sensing, which is the spiral structure of Corti, which is most distorted around the moving wave's apex. In this position, the cells that contain sensory receptors of the organ of Corti get maximum mechanical stimulation. The cochlea generates maximum afferent sensory output by converting it into electrical impulses. Mechanical frequency analysis involves matching certain frequencies to specific groupings of auditory receptor cells and nerve fibers[1,2].

Otoacoustic Emissions as an Initial Screening Method for Cochlear Health

Awareness of a test's specificity and sensitivity is vital for making choices and policy-making for addressing a congenital health issue using traditional newborn screening packages[3]. The otoacoustic emission (OAE) and automated auditory brainstem reaction (AABR) assessments are the most often used checks in newborn listening screening (UNHS). OAEs are in reality the waves captured inside the cochlea while clearly distinguishing. These waves do not immediately determine hearing sensitivity, but they are immediately connect to normal cochlear function. OAEs are the waves that are record within the cochlea while clearly distinguishing. These sounds do not immediately indicate hearing sensitivity, but they are directly connect to normal cochlear function. Otoacoustic echoes are sounds produced by the cochlea, which is a part of the inner ear, spontaneously or in response to a probe stimulation[4]. Investigation utilizing OAEs has significant promise for identifying cochlear dysfunction, particularly concerning the nonlinear mechanical properties of the outer hair cells (OHCs). The clinical utility of OAEs has been describe as a noninvasive objective test to predict audiometric status. OAE can be classify into several categories based on the type of stimulus used to touch them. On this basis, four fantastic but linked teachings, such as spontaneous, transiently evoked, stimulus-frequency, and distortion product, may stand out[5]. Transient otoacoustic emissions (TOAEs), referred to as transient evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAEs), Sounds generated in response to an auditory input of extremely short length; mainly clicks or may be tone-bursts[6].

Physiological Basis of Otoacoustic Emissions

Acoustic stimulation of the ear causes vibration of cochlear structures. Cochlear inner hair cells play a critical role in sound perception by translating physical disturbances turn, launch a series of events that give birth to signals via fibers of the hearing nerve. Recent research has shown that an active mechanical mechanism within the organ of Corti uses metabolic energy to generate extra micro vibrations that improve the sound-induced movement of cochlear structures and boost the ear's sensitivity and frequency selectivity. As a result, the cochlea actively generates energy as part of the natural hearing process. Some of this additional energy travels to the base of the cochlea the stapes footplate, the ossicles, and the outer ear the canal. The sounds that are generate in this way as otoacoustic emissions[7].

Otoacoustic emissions (OAEs) are results of sound processing in the cochlea[8]. Transient induced otoacoustic emissions (TEOAEs) arise in response to transient acoustic stimuli such clicks and tone pips. They indicate physiological responses from within the cochlea, especially from functioning outer hair cells (OHC), and reflect cochlear processes required for hearing. TEOAEs are frequency specific, with spectra dictated by the evoking stimulus and audiometric setup. TEOAEs are dependent upon mild to severe cochlear hearing loss of up to 40 to 50 decibels (HL). This shows that OAEs might be a noninvasive technique for researching OHC function and, indirectly, diagnosing hearing impairment caused by OHC dysfunction[8].

The basilar membrane is associated with TEOAEs, which are influence by the overall cochlea state. Therefore, when a rapid, general assessment of cochlea functioning is sought, TEOAEs are helpful, which is why they are often used in worldwide hearing screening programs[9].

Techniques of Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions Measurement in Early Cochlea Screening

TEOAEs are noninvasive and easy to execute; they require little time to record, are inexpensive, and have a high sensitivity. For these reasons, they are currently regarded the preferred test for first-level newborn screening. OAEs are typically monitor using a tiny probe inserted through the ear canal and a single-use plastic ear tip. The probe includes microphones and one or two small earbuds. The inciting stimuli are generate by the headphones, while the microphone's output is amplified to provide a recordable reaction. The most typical stimuli for TEOAEs is a single click. Since 1978, it was possible to capture a 5 ms sound pressure change within the outer auditory canal using a tiny microphone, universal hearing testing for newborns has become the OAE's primary focus. It generally understood that otoacoustic emissions are a component of the energy generated within the inner ear, especially by external hair cells. Such energy can be captured within the outer ear canal via a retrograde pathway that includes the ossicular chain, and the tympanic membrane. In view of the TEOAE's, low amplitude, acceptable SNR requires synchronous average of responses to hundreds of clicks. An effective prenatal auditory examination program should detect disorders of hearing that could cause problems with adequate language and cognitive development[10],[11].

Comparison with Other Hearing Screening Methods

Almost all previous research employed human OAEs, which may be quantified noninvasively using a tiny probe recorder and loudspeaker positioned into the outside of the ear canal. Thus far, strategies have been developed to allow for expanded high-frequency audio recordings of distortion product (DPOAEs) evoked with aids of -tone stimuli[12], which are low-degree sound emitted from the cochlea in response to two closely separated stimulating tones[13] and stimulus frequency otoacoustic emissions (SFOAEs), which are low-degree signals evoked by tonal probes. At low to high probe levels, SFOAEs result from both linear coherence reflected image and nonlinear distortion processes, which are distinguished by short and long institution delays, respectively [14].There are additional tests for auditory steady state response (ASSR) and auditory brainstem response (ABR). ASSR is an indicator of neuronal section locking in response to modulations in the magnitude and/or frequency of a stimulus[12] and ABR is an auditory evoked potential originating from the auditory nerve[15].

TEOAEs created by lower to moderate stimulus levels via the most effective cochlear mechanism, simplifying the translation of TEOAE magnitude changes detected in the presence. Better stimulus stages (which may be required for eliciting TEOAEs in hearing-impaired ears) can result in short latency TEOAE components caused by nonlinear distortion and/or basal reflections, however these additives can be excluded from studies using time windowing techniques. Furthermore, TEOAEs have the added advantage of being more easily quantified in individuals, and TEOAEs are detected in almost all ears with normal hearing.[12].

Conclusion

In conclusion, the reviewed research emphasizes the critical role of transient evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAEs) in early hearing screenings. TEOAEs provide a reliable, non-invasive, and inexpensive approach for identifying cochlea (outer hair mobile) characteristics, allowing for early detection of hearing problems. The essay successfully underlines the importance of early identification in facilitating timely intervention and high quality cognitive and language development. While the approach has limitations, particularly in situations with middle ear disease or auditory nerve disease, its use as a main screening tool is well supported. Overall, the overview emphasizes the need of introducing TEOAEs into routine hearing evaluation programs as part of an integrated approach to child auditory health treatment.

References

1.Dallos P. The active cochlea. *J Neurosci*. 1992;12(12): 4575-4585. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.12-12-04575.1992

2.Robles L, Ruggero MA. Mechanics of the mammalian cochlea. *Physiol Rev*. 2001;81(3):1305-1352. doi:10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1305

3.Heidari S, Olyaee-Manesh A, Rajabi F. The sensitivity and specificity of automated auditory brainstem response and otoacoustic emission in neonatal hearing screening: a systematic review. *Audit Vestib Res*. 2015;24(3):141-151. http://avr.tums.ac.ir/index.php/avr/article/view/15.

4.Charaziak KK. Suppression of Organ-of-Corti Vibrations and Otoacoustic Emissions in Mice. *AIP Conf Proc*. 2024;3062(1). doi:10.1063/5.0189755

5.Adawy HH El, Zaghloul HS, Morgan AE, Nagdy OH El. Transient Evoked and Distortion-Product Otoacoustic Emissions in Normal Ear of Patients with Sudden Unilateral Sensory Neural Hearing Loss. 2024;96(January 2023):3090-3101.

6.Meena RS, Meena D, Babu D, Singh BK, Verma PC. Role of Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emission Beyond Screening of Hearing Impairment: A Study of 400 Cases. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2013;65(2):134-139. doi:10.1007/s12070-012-0597-3

7.Lonsbury-Martin BL, Whitehead ML, Martin GK. Clinical applications of otoacoustic emissions. *J Speech Hear Res*. 1991;34(5):964-981. doi:10.1044/jshr.3405.964

8.Dille M, Glattke TJ, Earl BR. Comparison of transient evoked otoacoustic emissions and distortion product otoacoustic emissions when screening hearing in preschool children in a community setting. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2007;71(11):1789-1795. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2007.08.003

9.Davilis D, Korres SG, Balatsouras DG, Gkoritsa E, Stivaktakis G, Ferekidis E. The efficacy of transiently evoked otoacoustic emissions in the detection of middle-ear pathology. *Med Sci Monit*. 2005;11(12):75-78.

10.Paludetti G, Ottaviani F, Fetoni AR, Zuppa AA, Tortorolo G. Transient evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAEs) in new-borns: Normative data. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 1999;47(3):235-241. doi:10.1016/S0165-5876(98)00181-5

11.Studies B. Otoacoustic emissions as an indicator of hearing loss. 2007;(Isaar).

12.Mertes IB, Leek MR. Concurrent measures of contralateral suppression of transient-evoked otoacoustic emissions and of auditory steady-state responses. *J Acoust Soc Am*. 2016;140(3):2027-2038. doi:10.1121/1.4962666

13.Reavis KM, McMillan GP, Dille MF, Konrad-Martin D. Meta-Analysis of Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emission Retest Variability for Serial Monitoring of Cochlear Function in Adults. *Ear Hear*. 2015;36(5):e251-e260. doi:10.1097/AUD.0000000000000176

14.Zhao W, Dewey JB, Boothalingam S, Dhar S. Efferent modulation of stimulus frequency otoacoustic emission fine structure. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2015;9(DEC):1-12. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2015.00168

15.Wroblewska-Seniuk KE, Dabrowski P, Szyfter W, Mazela J. Universal newborn hearing screening: Methods and results, obstacles, and benefits. *Pediatr Res*. 2017;81(3):415-422. doi:10.1038/pr.2016.250