



In Vitro Plant Regeneration through Multiple Shoot Induction in Cotton (*Gossypium* spp.)

Gulzar S. Sanghera^{1,*}, Manjit S. Gill², Gurpreet Singh² and Satbir S. Gosal³

¹SKUAST (K) - Mountain Research Centre for Field Crops, Khudwani, Anantnag, J&K, 192102, India

²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, 141004, India

³School of Agricultural Biotechnology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, 141004, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 16 November 2011;

Received in revised form:

30 January 2012;

Accepted: 13 February 2012;

Keywords

Gossypium,
In vitro regeneration,
Multiple shoot,
Sprouting response.

ABSTRACT

In the present study, two cotton cultivars viz. LD 694 (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) and LH 2076 (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) were used to investigate the effects of MS (Murashige and Skoog) and DKW (Driver and Kuniyuki) basal media compositions supplemented with different concentrations of BA (6-benzylaminopurine) and Kin (Kinetin) on the sprouting response (development of shoot bud primordia), morphogenetic response, and induction and regeneration of multiple shoots from shoot tip explants excised from 5-7 day-old seedlings cultured *in vitro*. The mean sprouting response in both cultivars LD 694 (81.66%) and LH 2076 (79.44 %) was higher in MS medium than in DKW medium. The best treatment for the formation of adventitious shoots (73.80%) in LD 694 was MS + 2.00 mg/l BA, while MS + 1.00 mg/l BA + 1.00 mg/l Kin was optimum for the formation of adventitious shoots (74.78%) in LH 2076. LD 694 and LH 2076 produced a maximum number of shoots/explant (3.96 and 3.85, respectively) when cultured on MS supplemented with 2.00 mg/l BA. Highest root development (62.38%) was obtained when shoots were cultured on ½ MS medium supplemented with 0.05 mg/l NAA in both cultivars. Plantlets raised *in vitro* were placed in water for two weeks and then transferred to small polythene bags filled with a sand : soil mixture in the greenhouse. These plantlets grew into healthy plants and reached maturity. The results of this study will facilitate the application of mass multiplication of elite breeding material including CMS lines or transgenic cotton cultures.

© 2012 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) is an excellent natural source of textile fiber and is a high value commercial crop. Over 180 million people are associated with the fiber industry that produces 20 to 30 billion US dollars worth of raw cotton (Ozyigit and Gozukirmizi 2009). Both diploid (*Gossypium arboreum*) and tetraploid (*G. hirsutum*) cultivars are cultivated in different regions of India and are considered as important crop plants. Due to its high economic value, considerable attention has been paid to improve cotton plants by conventional plant breeding, which is time-consuming; commercialization of new cotton varieties often takes 6-10 years (Sheidai *et al.* 2008). Besides, conventional genetic improvement of cotton is limited due to many factors like the absence of necessary variation, especially resistance against pests and diseases. Plant tissue culture provides an alternative means of improvement to obtain somaclones, induced variants, somatic hybridization and double haploids to develop inbred lines or to introduce genes of interest against insects and different diseases through genetic engineering (Zhang and Zhao 1997; Sanghera *et al.* 2010). However, successful application of *in vitro* methodologies is mainly dependent on a reliable and reproducible regeneration system like somatic embryogenesis, which used to be quite difficult in cotton (Shoemaker *et al.* 1986; Trolinder and Goodin 1987; Zhang *et al.* 2001). An alternative to somatic embryogenesis is plant regeneration from explants having a pre-existing meristem. The culture of cotton apical meristems was

first reported by Chappel and Mauney (1967). Since then, considerable work has been carried out to develop protocols for an efficient regeneration system in cotton. *In vitro* culture of apical shoot tips has been reported to give single or, sporadically, a few shoots; protocols were found to be genotype-dependent (Trolinder and Chen 1989), although the development of protocols for the regeneration of specific cultivars has intensified over the last 10 years (Ali *et al.* 2004; Jin *et al.* 2006; Sanghera *et al.* 2010). Multiple shoots have been induced *in vitro* from pre-existing meristems, cotyledonary nodes, primary and tertiary leaf nodes, and other explant sources (Saeed *et al.* 1997; Agrawal *et al.* 1997; Gupta *et al.* 1997; Hemphill *et al.* 1998; Morre *et al.* 1998; Zapata *et al.* 1999; Ali *et al.* 2004).

The regeneration protocols have generally been used to obtain genetically modified plants (Rajasekraran *et al.* 1996; Trolinder *et al.* 2006; Kategri *et al.* 2007; Nandeshwar *et al.* 2009). Although the efficiency of cotton regeneration has improved significantly, difficulties still remain such as the low efficiency of somatic embryogenesis (Zhang and Zhao 1997). Regeneration in cotton is still restricted to a limited number of cultivars (Sanghera *et al.* 2009a). Furthermore, a long culture period and often complicated protocols have thus far limited the wider application of biotechnology in cotton. To be able to apply different biotechnological techniques to cotton, a broad range of genotypes must be responsive to regeneration, which is a fundamental pre-requisite for mass propagation of a germplasm

of interest. The aim of the present investigation was to study the effect of two basal media MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) and DKW (Driver and Kuniyuki, 1984) and various concentrations of Kin and BA on multiple shoot induction and regeneration in two newly developed cotton cultivars, a diploid (LD 694) with high yield and a parent of the hybrid 'Moti' released for cultivation in North India and a tetraploid (LH 2076) possessing high yield, tolerance to *Cotton leaf curl virus* and with desirable fibre quality traits, and to refine a proliferation protocol for these cultivars. This is the first ever *in vitro* protocol for these cotton cultivars.

Materials and Methods

Seed germination and cultivation of sterile seedlings

Seeds of LD 694 and LH 2076 were obtained from the Cotton Section, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana and were delinted by using concentrated commercial H_2SO_4 . The seeds were continuously stirred in H_2SO_4 by a wooden rod for 5–10 min until a shiny surface appeared on seeds. Some water was then added after decanting the acid and stirred for a few seconds. The seeds were thoroughly washed five times with tap water to remove the acid completely, left in a beaker of water for a few minutes; seeds floating on the water surface were discarded. Plump, mature seeds were chosen and washed in a solution containing a few drops of Tween 20 (surfactant) in water, shaken vigorously then washed thoroughly three times in autoclaved distilled water (ADW). Seeds were surface sterilized in a $HgCl_2$ (0.1%) + Bavistin (Indofil chemicals) (1.0%) solution for 6 min followed by 4–5 washes with ADW (Sanghera *et al.* 2009b). The seeds were soaked in ADW for 6 h and then sown in 200-ml jam jars containing 25–30 ml of MS medium supplemented with 8 g/l agar (Hi-media) for germination at $28 \pm 2^\circ C$ in the dark. All sterilization work was performed in a laminar airflow cabinet.

Induction of multiple shoots

Shoot tips were excised under sterile conditions from 7- and 14-day-old *in vitro* raised seedlings of LD 694 and LH 2076 genotypes by removing both cotyledons. Isolated shoot apices were planted with the base inserted into basal MS or DKW media containing 6-benzylaminopurine (BA, 0.0–2.5 mg/l) or kinetin (Kin; 0.0–2.5 mg/l) or combinations of BA and Kin (0.0–2.5 mg/l) and DKW medium (Table 1). All media were supplemented with 3% sucrose and 0.8% agar and the pH was adjusted to 5.8 before autoclaving and dispensing 10–15 ml into borosilicate test tubes (15 × 125 mm). Cultures were incubated for 35 days at $25 \pm 2^\circ C$ under cool white fluorescent light at $30 \mu E m^{-2} s^{-1}$ under a 16-h photoperiod. After 3–5 days, only those explants which formed a minimum of one shoot were considered to be responsive (expressed as the percentage sprouting response of explants), while the formation of > 2 shoots by an explant was considered as the induction of multiple shoots (percentage multiple shoot induction).

Elongation and rooting of shoots

Multiple shoot masses forming shoots (MS2 and MS7 media) were trimmed at the base and single shoots were transferred to hormone-free MS basal medium containing 0.8% agar and 3% sucrose in test tubes and left to elongate for 4 weeks. All cultures were incubated in the same temperature and light conditions as shoot induction experiments. Elongated shoots of uniform size (8–10 mm) were selected, trimmed slightly at the base and transferred into four rooting media (Table 1) comprising half-strength MS basal medium (containing 0.8% agar and 3% sucrose) with or without 0.05–0.1 mg/l α -naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) and 0.2% (w/v) activated charcoal (Gargash *et al.* 2007) and incubated for 6 weeks. The

number of elongated shoots was recorded for both genotypes after 4 weeks while the number of shoots inducing roots (per cent root induction) was recorded after 6 weeks in culture.

The rooted shoots were then transferred to test tubes (one rooted plantlet/tube) containing a little cotton soaked with a small volume (5–10 ml) of water and incubated in a culture chamber ($27^\circ C$) for 2 weeks (the hardening period); water was substituted daily. The hardened plantlets were then transferred to small polythene bags filled with a sand soil mixture (1:3) in the greenhouse and finally transferred to earthen pots in a glasshouse and allowed to grow to maturity.

The number of shoot tips used for each treatment and genotype was $n = 36$ –48. All experiments were repeated three times. Data recorded was analyzed according to completely randomized design analysis (Snedecor and Cochran 1967) using statistical software CPCS-1 package developed by Cheema and Singh (1990). The data of percent were converted to arc sine value for the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of variation among the treatments were observed by applying the *F*-test and critical differences (CD) at the 5% level of significance were calculated and used to compare the means of treatments.

Results and Discussion

To obtain the best method for isolating shoot tips, delinted seeds were disinfected with 1.0% Bavistin + 0.1% $HgCl_2$ (Sanghera *et al.* 2009b), which resulted in surface disinfection with minimum seed contamination (0–2%) and germination as good as (69–80%) on MS medium when treatment duration was 6 min in both cotton genotypes. Germination was observed after 72 h. The germination of cotton seeds on agar-solidified MS media has also been observed earlier (Shoemaker *et al.* 1986; Zhang 1994; Rashid *et al.* 2004; Sanghera *et al.* 2009b). Within 5 days, well developed axenic seedlings (root system with an expanded cotyledon) formed (Fig. 1A). At this stage only hypocotyls 2–3 cm in length emerged. Shoot apices (0.5–0.6 cm) were excised from 5–7-days old seedlings (Fig. 1B) and cultured on different media compositions having MS and DKW basal media supplemented with or without plant growth regulators (Table 1). Cultured shoot apices started to grow within 3–4 days on different media. Further results obtained on the effect of basal medium on explant sprouting response, effect of different plant growth regulators on morphogenetic response and effect of BA and Kin on induction of multiple shoots in two cotton varieties (LD 694 and LH 2076) are described in the following sections.



Fig 1A



Effect of basal medium on explant sprouting response

Shoot tips of LD 694 and LH 2076 cotton varieties excised aseptically from *in vitro* grown seedlings after 5–7 days of seed germination were used to induce multiple shoots on two basal media (MS and DKW) supplemented with different concentrations of BA and Kin (Table 1). Shoot tips excised from

5-7 day old seedlings were used for multiple shoot induction based on the studies by Gupta *et al.* (1997), who reported that the number of multiple shoots increased as the age of *G. hirsutum* cv. Khandwa-2 seedling increased and was maximum when explants were excised from seedlings obtained 6 days after seed germination. The variable age of seedlings for excision of explants and multiple shoot induction has also been reported (Banerjee 2001; Ouma *et al.* 2004). An experiment was carried out to assess the effect of two basal media formulations (MS and DKW) on explant sprouting response of shoot tips with varying medium formulations and for both cultivars (Table 2). Medium containing MS salts and vitamins supported a higher sprouting response in both cultivars compared to DKW medium. The use of MS salts and modifications of the basal medium meant for *in vitro* plant regeneration in cotton from pre-existing meristems has been reported by several groups (Gould *et al.* 1991; Gupta *et al.* 1997; Hemphill *et al.* 1998; Zapata *et al.* 1999; Rashid *et al.* 2004; Sanghera *et al.* 2010). In MS medium, the mean percentage of sprouting response was higher than DKW medium for both varieties (Table 2).

However, multiple shoot induction (%) was higher in DKW medium for both varieties than MS medium. LD 694 and LH 2076 recorded 33.05 and 45.50% multiple shoot induction, respectively on MS medium while the corresponding figures in DKW medium were 46.97 and 48.64%. Sharma *et al.* (2007) achieved maximum sprouting (58%) from shoot apices of cotton by culturing on MS salts + modified B5 vitamins without plant growth regulators.

Effect of BA and Kin on induction of multiple shoots

Different concentrations of BA, Kin and BA+Kin in MS and DKW media induced multiple shoots in both LD 694 and LH 2076 (Fig. 1C). For LD 694, maximum multiple shoot induction (73.80%) was recorded in MS + 2.0 mg/l BA medium followed by 72.45% (MS + 1.0 mg/l BA + 1.0 mg/l Kin), and 70.68% (DKW + 1.0 mg/l BA). The medium MS + 1.0 mg/l BA + 1.0 mg/l Kin showed the highest multiple shoot induction (74.78%) closely followed by DKW + 1.0 mg/l BA (74.59%) for LH 2076. The higher concentration of BA and Kin (2.5 mg/l) alone and in combination showed a low percentage of multiple shoot induction (Table 3). There were significant differences among media with respect to multiple shoot induction (CD = 12.20). Sharma *et al.* (2007) reported that when regenerated plants were transferred to different multiple shoot induction media, 65% of shoot apices induced multiple shoots on DKW medium supplemented with 1 mg/l BA and the number of shoots per shoot apex ranged from 2 to 18.



The number of multiple shoots formed per explant among various concentrations of BA, Kin and BA + Kin in MS and DKW media varied from 1.06 to 3.96 depending on the cultivar and medium composition (Table 3).

The maximum number of shoots (3.96) was recorded for LD 694 on MS medium containing 2.0 mg/l BA followed closely by 3.38 when 1.0 mg/l BA was used. Similarly, the number of multiple shoots formed per explant in LH 2076 was highest (3.85) on MS medium containing 2.0 mg/l BA followed

by 3.41 with 1.0 mg/l BA. Further proliferation of these shoots was observed on transfer of shoot masses to fresh medium and incubated for 6 weeks.

The number of explants exhibiting multiple shoots was higher than when cultured on MS medium supplemented with 2.0 mg/l BA. As the concentration of BA and Kin increased, shoots and leaves swelled and shape was distorted. When BA and Kin at 2.5 mg/l were used, the growth of shoots slowed and most of the shoots became desiccated, eventually leading to death of the plant. Chinchane *et al.* (2005) observed that MS medium containing 2 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l Kin was best for induction of multiple shoots in *G. arboreum* (cv. PA 255 and PA 402). Likewise, Nandeshwar *et al.* (2002) obtained 9-10 shoots per explant on MS medium supplemented with 2 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l Kin in cv. RG8.

Effect of plant growth regulators on morphogenetic response

Explants excised from 5-7-day old seedlings when cultured on MS basal medium supplemented with BA (1.0 – 2.5 mg /l), Kin (1.0 – 2.5 mg/l), a combination of BA and Kin (1.0 – 2.5 mg/l) and DKW with BA (1.0 mg/l) and a combination of BA and Kin (1.0 – 1.0 mg/l) resulted in shoot formation with differing degrees of response depending on the plant growth regulator tested (Table 4). BA was used to induce multiple shoots based on studies on shoot proliferation conducted by Gupta *et al.* (1997); they found BA to be most effective in inducing multiple shoots. Morre *et al.* (1998) also reported that BA is responsible for producing multiple buds and subsequent shoot development. BA alone at 1.0 mg/l, in combination with 1.0 mg/l Kin and DKW with 1.0 mg/l BA resulted in 2-3 shoots/explant. Although the media containing lower concentrations of BA and Kin (1.0 mg/l each) developed 2-3 shoots/explant, the percentage response decreased as their concentration in the media increase (Table 4). These results are in agreement with the findings of Ganesan and Jayabalan (2006) who studied the influence of different forms of cytokinins, auxins and polyamines for mass multiplication and regeneration of cotton and reported that concentrations of BA and Kin above 1.0 mg/l showed poor response for multiple shoot induction. Zapata *et al.* (1999) observed similar findings with cotton shoot apices in which plant regeneration was suppressed with higher concentrations of BA. Kin alone at 1.0-2.5 mg/l developed 1-2 shoots/explant after 5 weeks of incubation. All Kin concentrations induced callus at the base of explants. Kin at 1.0 and 2.5 mg/l resulted in profuse callusing and developed stunted shoots (Fig. 1D). At higher levels of Kin (2.5 mg/l), explants did not respond and turned brown (Fig. 1E). In a similar study, Gould *et al.* (1991) observed blackening of tissues at 2.0 mg/l Kin. After 20-25 days of culture, new axillary shoots had formed and the primary shoot started to degenerate in this study. The result of the present study is in congruence with that of Sharma *et al.* (2007), who found that pre-formed shoots degenerated when multiple shoots initiated development from the base.



However, when these cultures were transferred to basal medium without a cytokinin, explants assumed normal growth and elongation of shoots was achieved in

both varieties (Fig. 1F). Morre *et al.* (1998) also observed that BA reprograms apex development and multiple bud formation; however, it also inhibited shoot development and elongation.



***In vitro* rooting**

Multiple shoots started to develop 3 weeks after culture and 3-4 shoots were produced per explant. Individual shoots were detached from the proliferating basal shoot mass and were transferred to half-strength MS medium fortified with NAA and activated charcoal to induce rooting (Table 1) for 3 weeks. The experiment was repeated twice. Roots initiated after 15 days of shoot regeneration in both genotypes. Fig. 1G shows root induction and well developed plantlets in LH 2076 and LD 694, respectively. Statistical analysis of data showed that the genotypic differences with respect to percentage root induction were not significant in all the media tested.



However, significant differences existed among different rooting media tested (Table 5). Half-strength MS + 0.05 mg/l NAA resulted in the highest percentage root induction (62.38%) while half-MS exhibited the least root induction (42.03%). Banerjee (2001) observed a high percentage of rooting (85%) in cv. LRK-516 followed by NHH-44 (82.50%) and H-8 in half-MS + vitamins medium supplemented with 0.05 mg/l NAA. However, Sanghera *et al.* (2010) reported that root induction in Indian cotton cultivars ranged from 55-75%. After 3 weeks' culture, plantlets were then transferred to test tubes containing a little cotton soaked with a small amount of water (Fig. 1H) and incubated in a culture chamber (27°C) for 2-3 weeks and the water from these tubes was changed daily during hardening.



The hardened plantlets were then transferred to small polythene bags filled with a sand: soil mixture in the greenhouse (Fig. 1I-J) and finally transferred to earthen pots in a glasshouse and grown to maturity. The plants appeared normal (Fig. 1K-L). In this study, multiple shoot induction and regeneration from this apical shoot tip procedure was used for the regeneration of cotton plants in LD 694 (*arboreum*) and LH 2076 (*hirsutum*) varieties. Since cotton is recalcitrant and has proved difficult to manipulate in tissue culture (McCabe and

Martinell 1993), this procedure can be used for multiplication of elite cotton breeding material (transgenic or male sterile lines) as the formation of multiple shoots has now been demonstrated.



References

- Agrawal DC, Banerjee AK, Kolala RR, Dhage AB, Kulkarni WV, Nalawade SM, Hazra S, Krishnamurthy KV (1997) *In vitro* induction of multiple shoots and plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plant Cell Reports* 16, 647-652
- Ali RM, Husnain T, Hussain SS, Mahmood N, Riazuddin S (2004) Multiple shoot regeneration response of recalcitrant

- cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), cultivar CIM-443. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 7, 1371-375
- Banerjee AK (2001) *In vitro* regeneration and genetic transformation studies in Indian cultivars of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Ph.D. dissertation (Unpublished), University of Pune, 178 pp
- Chappel EJ, Mauney JR (1967) Culture of apical meristem of *Gossypium hirsutum* *in vitro*. *Phyton* 24, 93-100
- Cheema HS, Singh B (1990) CPCS 1: a programme package for the analysis of commonly used experimental designs. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana
- Chinchane BN, Deshpande LA, Nandeshwar SB, Chinchane VN (2005) *In vitro* plant regeneration through multiple shoot induction in diploid cotton *Gossypium arboreum* L. (cv. PA 255 and PA 402). *Journal of Cotton Research and Development* 19, 1-6
- Driver JA, Kuniyuki AH (1984) *In vitro* propagation of Paradox walnut root stock. *Horticultural Science* 19, 507-509
- Ganesan M, Jayabalan N (2006) Influence of cytokinins, auxins and polyamines on *in vitro* mass multiplication of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. cv. SVPR2). *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 44, 506-513
- Gargas G, Gill M S, Gosal S S and Sandhu J S (2007) Transformation of *Gossypium arboreum* shoot apical meristem by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and regeneration of transgenic plants. *Indian Journal of Crop Science* 2, 187-192
- Gould JS, Banister O, Hasegawa M, Fahima, Smith RH (1991) Regeneration of *Gossypium hirsutum* and *G. barbadense* from shoot apex tissues for transformation. *Plant Cell Reports* 10, 12-16
- Gupta SK, Srivastava AK, Singh PK, Tuli R (1997) *In vitro* proliferation of shoots and regeneration of cotton. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 51, 149-152
- Hemphill JK, Maier CG, Chapman KD (1998) Rapid *in-vitro* plant regeneration of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plant Cell Reports* 17, 273-278
- Jin S, Zhang X, Nie Y, Guo X, Liang S, Zhu H (2006) Identification of a novel elite genotype for genetic transformation of cotton. *Biologia Plantarum* 50, 519-524
- Katageri IS, Vamadevaiah HM, Udikeri S, Khadi BM, Polumetla AK (2007) Genetic transformation of an elite Indian genotype of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) for insect resistance. *Current Science* 93, 1843-1847
- McCabe DE, Martinell BJ (1993) Transformation of elite cotton cultivars via particle bombardment of meristems. *Biotechnology* 11, 596-598
- Morre JL, Permingeat HR, Maria VR, Cintia MH, Ruben HV (1998) Multiple shoots induction and plant regeneration from embryonic axes of cotton. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 54, 131-136
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 80, 662-668
- Nandeshwar SB, Sandhya M, Chakrabarty PK, Deshattiwar , MK, Kranthi K, Anandkumar P, Mayee CD, Khadi BM (2009) *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *cryIAC* gene into shoot-tip meristem of diploid cotton *Gossypium arboreum* cv. RG8 and regeneration of transgenic plants. *Plant Molecular Biology Report* 27, 549-557
- Nandeshwar SB, Dongre AB, Sandhya M (2002) *In vitro* plant regeneration in cotton by multiple shoot induction. *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology* 3, 35-42
- Ouma JP, Young MM, Reichert NA (2004) Optimization of *in vitro* regeneration of multiple shoots from hypocotyl sections of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology* 3, 169-173
- Ozyigit II, Gozukirmizi N (2009) High efficiency shoot and root formation from cotyledonary nodes of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 40, 1665-1672
- Rajasekaran K, Grula JW, Hudspeth RL, Pofelis S, Anderson DM (1997) Herbicide-resistant Acala and Coker cottons transformed with a native gene encoding mutant forms of acetohydroxyacid synthase. *Molecular Breeding* 2, 307- 319
- Rashid B, Tayyab H, Sheikh R (2004) *In vitro* shoot tip culture of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 36, 817-823
- Saeed NA, Zafar Y, Malik KA (1997) A simple procedure of *Gossypium* meristem shoot tip culture. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 51, 201-207
- Sanghera GS, Gill MS, Sandhu JS, Gosal SS (2009a) Effects of genotype, plant growth regulators and explant source on callus induction in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *The Asian and Australasian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology* 3, 37-42
- Sanghera GS, Gill MS, Sandhu JS, Gosal SS (2009b) Effect of pre-soaking duration, media and sterilization on *in vitro* germination of cotton seeds (*Gossypium* spp.). *SKUAST Journal of Research* 11, 261-270
- Sanghera GS, Gill MS, Gosal SS (2010) Optimization of shoot tip-based *in vitro* plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium* spp.). *International Journal of Plant Developmental Biology* 4, 26-30
- Sharma M, Gill MS, Gosal SS, Sandhu JS (2007) Induction of multiple shoots and plant regeneration from apical tips of diploid cotton, *Gossypium arboreum* L. *Indian Journal Crop Science* 2, 73-77
- Sheidai M, Yahyazadeh F, Farahani F, Noormohammadi Z (2008) Genetic and morphological variations induced by tissue culture in tetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Acta Biologica Szegediensis* 52, 33-38
- Shoemaker RC, Couche LJ, Galbraith DW (1986) Characterization of somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plant Cell Report* 3, 178-181
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1967) Statistical Methods. 6th edition. Oxford and IBH Publi. Co., Calcutta, 593 pp
- Trolinder NL, Goodin JR (1987) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plant Cell Reports* 14, 758-776
- Trolinder NL, Chen XX (1989) Genotype specificity of the somatic embryogenesis in cotton. *Plant Cell Reports* 8, 133-136
- Trolinder NL, Koonce LK, Dever Jane K (2006) Methods for producing transgenic cotton plants using chilled apical shoot tips. *United States Patent* 7122722, pp 1-9
- Zapata C, Park SH, El-Zik KM, Smith RH (1999) Transformation of a Texas cotton cultivar by using *Agrobacterium* and the shoot apex. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 98, 252-256
- Zhang BH (1994) A rapid induction method for cotton somatic embryos. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 39, 1340-1342
- Zhang BH, Zhao BS (1997) Cotton Biotechnology and its Application, China Agricultural Press, Beijing, 201 pp
- Zhang BH, Feng R, Liu F, Wang QL (2001) High frequency somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration of an elite

Chinese cotton variety. *Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica*
42, 9-16

using glyphosate as selectable marker. *Biotechnology Letters* 28, 1199-1207

Zhao FY, Li YF, Xu P (2006) *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of cotton (*G. hirsutum* L. cv. Zhongmian 35)

Table 1 List of media used for multiple shoot and root initiation

| Media code | Composition |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Shoot Regeneration | |
| MS0 | MS basal |
| MS1 | MS + 1.0mg/l BA |
| MS2 | MS + 2.0mg/l BA |
| MS3 | MS + 2.5mg/l BA |
| MS4 | MS + 1.0mg/l Kin |
| MS5 | MS + 2.0mg/l Kin |
| MS6 | MS + 2.5mg/l Kin |
| MS7 | MS + 1.0mg/l BA + 1.0mg/l Kin |
| MS8 | MS + 2.5mg/l BA + 2.5mg/l Kin |
| MS9 | DKW + 1.0mg/l BA |
| MS10 | DKW + 1.0mg/l BA + 1.0mg/l Kin |
| Root Induction | |
| R1 | Half MS |
| R2 | Half MS + 0.1mg/l NAA |
| R3 | Half MS + 0.05mg/l NAA |
| R4 | Half MS + 0.2 % activated charcoal |
| Abbreviations: BA, 6-benayleaminopurine; DKW, Driver and Kuniyuki; Kin, kinetin; MS, Murashige and Skoog; NAA, α -naphthalene acetic acid | |

Table 2 Effect of basal media on sprouting and morphogenetic response in cotton

| Basal medium | Sprouting response (%) | | Multiple shoot induction (%) | | Morphogenetic response | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | LD 694 | LH 2076 | LD 694 | LH 2076 | LD 694 | LH 2076 |
| MS salts and vitamins | 81.66 (64.64) | 79.44 (63.03) | 33.05 (35.09)) | 45.50 (42.41) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated | 2-3 shoots, Elongated |
| DKW | 78.50 (62.38) | 75.50 (60.34) | 46.97 (43.26) | 48.64 (44.20) | 1-2 shoots, stunted | 1-2 shoots, stunted |

Table 3 Effect of phytohormone on multiple shoot induction and morphogenic response in LD 694 and LH 2076 varieties of cotton

| Basal media | Phytohormone Conc (mg/l) | | Varieties | | | | Nature of response |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| | BA | Kin | LD 694 | | LH 2076 | | |
| MS | | | Multiple shoot induction (%) | Shoots/ explant | Multiple shoot induction (%) | Shoots/ explant | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.05 (35.09) | 1.38 | 45.50 (42.41) | 1.25 | Elongated shoots |
| | 1.0 | | 69.65 (56.56) | 3.38 | 70.68 (57.21) | 3.41 | Elongated shoots |
| | 2.0 | | 73.80 (59.19) | 3.96 | 71.93 (57.98) | 3.85 | Stunted shoots |
| | 2.5 | | 55.49 (48.13) | 1.54 | 50.10 (45.03) | 2.02 | Stunted shoots |
| | 0.0 | 1.0 | 60.40 (57.66) | 1.16 | 58.87 (57.96) | 1.42 | Elongated shoots |
| | | 2.0 | 55.76 (48.30) | 1.25 | 50.07 (45.04) | 1.16 | Stunted shoots, callus at base |
| | | 2.5 | 46.97 (43.26) | 1.21 | 48.64 (44.20) | 1.06 | Stunted shoots, callus at base |
| | 1.0 | 1.0 | 72.45 (58.33) | 2.91 | 74.78 (59.84) | 2.86 | Elongated shoots |
| | 2.5 | 2.5 | 48.64 (44.20) | 1.17 | 50.10 (45.03) | 1.25 | Stunted shoots, callus at base |
| DKW | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.97 (43.26) | 1.26 | 48.64 (44.20) | 1.21 | Elongated shoots |
| | 1.0 | 0.0 | 70.68 (57.21) | 2.98 | 74.59 (59.70) | 2.45 | Elongated shoots |
| | 0.0 | 1.0 | 61.40 (57.66) | 1.16 | 58.77 (57.96) | 1.52 | Elongated shoots |
| | 1.0 | 1.0 | 62.25 (52.09) | 1.90 | 71.16 (57.51) | 1.46 | Stunted shoots, callus at base |

CD at (0.05) in LD 694 for per cent multiple shoot induction 12.32; number of shoots/ explant is 0.48
CD at (0.05) in LH 2076 for per cent multiple shoot induction 13.65; number of shoots/ explant is 0.55

Table 4 Effect of phytohormone on sprouting and morphogenetic response in LD 694 and LH 2076 varieties of cotton

| Basal media | Phytohormone Conc | | Varieties | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | BA | Kin | LD 694 | | LH 2076 | |
| MS | | | Explant response (%) | Shoots/ explant & Nature of response | Explant response (%) | Shoots/explant & Nature of response |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.60 (64.60) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated | 79.40 (63.01) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated |
| | 1.0 | | 100.00 (90.00) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated | 100.00 (90.00) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated |
| | 2.0 | | 82.00 (64.90) | 1-3 shoots, stunted | 80.00 (63.43) | 2-3 shoots, stunted |
| | 2.5 | | 70.00 (56.79) | 1-2 shoots, stunted | 65.00 (53.73) | 1-2 shoots, stunted |
| | 0.0 | 1.0 | 90.00 (71.57) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated | 85.00 (67.21) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated |
| | | 2.0 | 69.00 (56.17) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base | 65.00 (53.73) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base |
| | | 2.5 | 59.00 (50.18) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base | 60.00 (50.77) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base |
| | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.00 (90.00) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated | 90.00 (71.57) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated |
| | 2.5 | 2.5 | 65.00 (53.73) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base | 70.00 (56.79) | 1-2 shoots, stunted callus at base |
| DKW | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.50 (62.37) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated | 75.50 (60.33) | 1-2 shoots, Elongated |
| | 1.0 | 0.0 | 85.00 (67.21) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated | 74.59 (59.73) | 2-3 shoots, Elongated |
| | 1.0 | 1.0 | 72.00 (58.05) | 1-3 shoots, stunted, callus at base | 71.16 (57.52) | 1-2 shoots, stunted, callus |
| CD at (0.05) in LD 694 for explant response 13.42 | | | | | | |
| CD at (0.05) in LD 2076 for explant response 15.69 | | | | | | |

Table 5 Effect of different media composition on root induction in cotton

| Genotype/ Media | Root Induction (%) | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| | LD 694 | LH 2076 | Mean |
| Half MS | 42.06 (40.43) | 42.00 (40.39) | 42.03 |
| Half MS + 0.1mg/l NAA | 55.72 (48.28) | 54.44 (47.54) | 55.08 |
| Half MS + 0.05mg/l NAA | 64.49 (53.41) | 60.28 (50.92) | 62.38 |
| Half MS + 0.2 % activated charcoal | 46.25 (42.84) | 47.15 (43.36) | 46.70 |
| Mean | 52.13 (46.21) | 50.96 (45.54) | |
| CD at (0.05) Genotypes NS; Media 4.30 ; Genotype x Media 6.75 | | | |

Values in the parentheses are arc sine transformation