



The contribution of workplace teaching to better understanding of ESP terminologies

Rahmatollah Soltani¹ and Abdolreza Pazhakh²

¹Department of English, Mahshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahshahr, Iran.

²Department of English, Dezful Branch, Islamic Azad University, Dezful, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 25 December 2011;

Received in revised form:

19 January 2012;

Accepted: 3 February 2012;

Keywords

ESP terminologies,

Vocabulary,

EFL learners.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an experiment concerning the contribution of workplace teaching to the better understanding of ESP terminologies. Accordingly, 40 learners majoring in electronic and power engineering were selected based on a language proficiency test. Randomly, they were divided into two experimental and control groups. While the instructional material was the same for both groups, experimental group was taught at the workplace while control group was taught in an academic environment. At the end of the experiment, the findings were compared through t-test. Results after the analysis of the data indicated that who were taught at the workplace gained more ESP vocabulary than those who were taught in academic environment.

© 2012 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

It is clear that in learning a second or foreign language vocabulary plays the most crucial role. A good mastery of vocabulary is essential for both ESL and EFL learners, especially for those who learn for specific purposes. According to Nation and Coxhead (2001), it is wise to direct vocabulary learning to more specialized areas when learners have mastered the 2000.....3000 of general usefulness in English. In order to have easy access to specialized uses of vocabulary, learners need to learn and taught about vocabulary and its use. Language learning should not be limited to only textbook and classroom activities. It is believed that language acquisition would be most effectively facilitated if it could be embedded with the learners' field of study or work. In the context of ESP, students need to practice at using the vocabulary of their chosen field of study and this can be best happen at the workplace. Teaching ESP at the workplace can be a good way which results in ESP terminologies promotion. In the workplace learners are exposed to the real use of technical words and in this way they can produce a picture of words in their mind. In academic environment students just memorize ESP vocabularies and they don't know how to use them in vocational environment. So, teaching ESP words at the workplace can solve this problem and pave the way for learners to learn words better and easier and how to use them. The recognition that learning occurs within the workplace and that it is necessary for the development of working knowledge and skills is not new. However, in recent years an interest among employers, researchers and policy makers in what comprises learning and how it can be facilitated within workplaces has increased, and there are now many areas of research in which learning at and through work is a central concern. Reeve & Gallacher (1999) argue that workplace learning is seen as a flexible form of learning which enables employees to engage in the regular processes of up-dating and continuing professional development which have been increasingly emphasized. Moreover, in so far as the learning is work-based it is also seen as facilitating forms of learning. Boud

and Garrick (1999) state that workplace has become a site of learning associated with two quite different purposes ... The first is the development of the enterprise through contributing to production; the second is the development of individuals through contributing to knowledge and skills.

Therefore, it can be said that teaching ESP at the workplace can pave the way for learners to master specialized vocabulary related to their field of study much better and easier. The reason is that they learn them in a situation in which they must be used in the future occupational opportunities.

Review of Literature

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) is a branch of applied linguistics that focuses on relating the teaching and learning process to learners' needs. Widdowson (1981), a linguist and an early pioneer of the approach, describes the general concept of ESP by stating that "if a group of learners' needs for a language can be accurately specified, then this specification can be used to determine the content of a language program that will meet these needs." According to Hutchinson and Waters (1992), "ESP is an approach to language teaching in which all decisions as to content and method are based on the learner's reason for learning." Research findings during the past decade have generally supported the notion that work-based learning activities can invigorate the learning process and act as a positive force for students in both academic and career and technical education programs. Copa and Wolff (2002) found that learning needs to: (a) be progressive in achieving external standards, (b) engage learners in relevant and challenging experiences, (c) be learner-centered, (d) use real-life experiences and be project-oriented, (e) integrate academic and career and technical education, (f) use and closely coordinate non-school and school learning settings, (g) use multiple and continuous forms of assessment to improve learning, and (h) create and nurture learning communities. Real world tasks as defined by Nunan (1989) are those which "require learners to approximate, in class, the sorts of behaviors required of them in the world beyond the classroom". One of the main goals for an applied

English program at a four-year college is to prepare students with appropriate English proficiency so as to help them become competent communicators in their future workplace. Work-based learning is not a new trend in education. In fact, what we term vocational and technical education was the premise of John Dewey's assertions during the late 19th and early 20th century. Dewey firmly believed that life and learning should be uniquely integrated (Dewey, 1916). He also believed that the best way to do this is to integrate the working world with education curriculum. Work-based university learning has been defined by Margham (1997) as: That learning which ... utilizes opportunities, resources and experience in the workplace. It will, in general, have outcomes relevant to the nature and purpose of the workplace. A workplace needs analysis describes the entire context in which English is used. The ESP approach uses the needs analysis framework as the main tool to define learners' needs in a specific field because the awareness is more recognizable in a specific target situation representing a "real-life-situation". In an academic setting, the main concern of learners is the acquisition of knowledge related to their present field of study or future workplace. Swail's (2000) recent study of data from the College Board's student descriptive questionnaire found that a higher percentage of students with work-based learning experiences in high school had a B or better Grade Point Average (GPA) in high school compared to all SAT test takers, even though they were more likely to be from less-advantaged backgrounds. Kampits and Swail (2001) found that 80 percent of college students surveyed in their study indicated they learn better through projects and real-world applications than through classroom and textbook instruction only, and that 83 percent planned to participate in work-based learning experiences during college. Chin et al (2000) found that students, through work-based learning activities, acquire "knowledge and skills in particular occupations; providing career exploration and planning; learning all aspects of an industry; improving personal and social competence related to work in general; and enhancing students' academic achievement and motivation through contextual learning." Accordingly, the main question of this study can be stated as follow:

Q: Does the implementation of workplace teaching lead to better understanding of ESP terminologies?

From this question the following null hypothesis was found:

H: Workplace teaching would not significantly improve ESP terminologies.

Research Methodology

Participants

The participants of current study were 40 students from Mahshahr Islamic Azad University majoring in electronic and power engineering who had passed general English and pre-requisite English. These learners have been chosen among from 120 students through administrating of a standardized language proficiency test (Intermediate TOEFL Test Practices by Folse, 1997). The aim behind his test was to homogenize students to enter the study, and then they were randomly divided into experimental and control groups. Each group consisted of an equal number, 20 students in each one.

Instrumentation

Three types of instruments were used in the currents study: The first instrument was a standard proficiency test which aimed at controlling the proficiency level of the subjects. The second material that all participants used was a course book entitled *English for the student of power, electronics, control and communications* (Haghani, M.,2005). Thirdly; a post-test was

administered to both groups so as to examine their knowledge of ESP vocabulary after the treatment in workplace.

Procedure

As mentioned before, two groups of students with the same range of language proficiency were selected from the electronic and power engineering department of Islamic Azad University, Mahshahr branch, Iran. This was carried out through the administration of standardized language proficiency test. It was accounted as a pre-test. Then, 40 learners with mean of \pm 1SD were identified as the participants. They were randomly assigned into experimental and control groups. Each class consisted of 20 learners. On the first day of the experiment, the instructor talked about the importance of ESP vocabulary knowledge in forthcoming vocational opportunities and the importance role that they play in their lives progress. Both classes were taught by the same instructor using the same teaching material entitled *English for the student of power, electronics, control and communications* (Haghani, M., 2005) with the same teaching schedule of instruction, except that in the experimental group, the students was taught ESP course in electrical power workshop at Mahshahr electrical power distribution company, while the latter, control group, was taught at the university, Mahshahr branch. Classes were conducted two hours a week and the study took long for thirteen weeks.

At the end of the experiment a post-test on ESP terminologies was administered to both of the groups. It was aimed at measuring the technical vocabulary knowledge of the participants to see if the treatment had made any difference in the ESP terminologies promotion of the two groups. The post-test items were ESP vocabulary which was selected from ESP book that was material of instruction. The results obtained through post-test were analyzed and interpreted through t-test. The goal was to determine whether teaching ESP at workplace would enhance participants' ESP vocabulary knowledge.

Data Analysis

With the use of the ESP vocabulary knowledge test scores from all the participants, the mean was first computed for the two groups. The preliminary descriptive statistics for means was examined to see if they differed from one another. Furthermore, in order to find out if the difference among the means will be large enough to be statistically significant, t-test was performed to see whether the difference of ESP vocabulary posttest scores between the experimental and control groups existed.

Results and Discussion

The preliminary descriptive statistics for the ESP vocabulary knowledge test appears in Table 1.

As displayed in Table 1, experimental group's performance in the posttest was better than those in control group. It was also revealed that after the instructional treatment the mean of the posttest scores for experimental group was 19.85 while for control group it was 17. In the case of SD, for experimental group it was 3.08, whereas that of the control group was 2.60. So, the findings suggest that teaching ESP vocabulary in workplace involved more beneficial effects than teaching ESP vocabulary at the academic environment.

Comparative Effectiveness between Experimental and Control Groups after the Treatment

In order to compare the effectiveness of the instruction in workplace on ESP vocabulary leaning , t-test demonstrated in Table 2 indicated that the mean difference between the experimental and control groups' scores measured at the time of posttest was significant ($t = 3.162$, $p < 0.0001$). The mean difference between the experimental group ($M=19.85$) and

control group (M=17) was 2.85. This indicates that the experimental group who were under the treatment at the workplace gained more ESP vocabulary than the control group that were under the instruction at the academic environment. Therefore, it can be claimed that this difference is due to the kind of instructional environment where the experimental group was taught there and this gives further evidence for rejecting the null hypothesis.

Conclusion

This study aimed at investigating the effectiveness of teaching ESP at the workplace on ESP terminologies. To this end, the following question was under consideration:

Does the implementation of workplace teaching lead to better understanding of ESP terminologies?

To perform this research, subjects were selected on the basis of standardized language proficiency test. Then, they were randomly put in one control group and one experimental group and were assigned to one of the two following instructional conditions:

Experimental group was instructed at the workplace, while Control group was taught in the academic environment. For data analysis, t-test was used. The calculated t-test proved that ESP vocabularies can be learnt better at the workplace. In actual, experimental group which was exposed to ESP words at the real situation, workplace, gained considerable amount of vocabularies than control group. So, the computation and analysis of the T-test provided us with the judgment to reject the null hypothesis of this study which stated that teaching ESP at the workplace would not significantly promote learners' ESP vocabulary knowledge. Results of this study indicated that teaching ESP courses at the workplace might become a useful way for students to improve and facilitate their learning of ESP words. Based on the findings, one concludes that teaching ESP at the workplace has much influence on the learning of ESP terminologies. The reason is that in the workplace they are exposed to technical words in a real situation. Therefore, they have an image of each word in their mind and learn how to utilize words in sectors in which they must be used.

Pedagogical Implication

The current study may provide some support for the idea that teaching ESP at the workplace can contribute to the learning of ESP terminologies. Teaching ESP at the workplace can enhance the students' interest and help them to construct mental image of words in their mind. Findings of this research paved the way for acceptance of this belief. The major implication to be drawn from this research is that students need to learn technical words in a real situation where they must use them. So, the role of ESP syllabus designers is to provide conditions that ESP courses will be taught where they must be used.

Suggestion for further research

This study aimed to answer just one question: Does the implementation of workplace teaching lead to better understanding of ESP terminologies?

In this study, only electrical engineering students were involved. It is suggested that learners of other majors participate in further studies.

References

- Boud, D. & Garrick, J. (1999) 'Understandings of workplace learning', in Boud, D. and Garrick, J. (eds.) *Understanding Learning at Work*, London: Routledge.
- Chin, P., Munby, H., Hutchinson, N. L., & Steiner-Bell, K. (2000). Post secondary students' intentions for participating in high school co-operative education programs: A descriptive study. *Journal of Vocational Educational Research*, 25, 126-154.
- Copa, G. H. & Wolff, S. J. (2002). *New Designs for Career and Technical Education at the Secondary and Postsecondary Levels*. Paper presented at the Association for Career and Technical Education Conference, December 15, 2001, New Orleans, LA. www.nccte.org.
- Dewey, John (1916). *Democracy and Education*. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St. John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in ESP: a multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Folse, K. (1997). *Intermediate TOEFL Test Practices*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1992). *English for specific purposes: A learning centered approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kampits, E, and Swail, Watson S. (2001). *Students Speak: Work & Learning as College Preparation*. A Report to the National School-to-Work Office. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Margham, J. (1997). *A green paper on work based learning*. Liverpool: John Moores University.
- Haghani, M. (2005). *English for the student of power, electronics, control and communications (7th ed)*. SAMT Publication.
- Nation, I. S. P. and Coxhead, A. (2001). The specialized vocabulary of English for academic purposes. In J. Flowerdew and M. Peacock (eds.) *Research Perspectives on English for Academic Purposes* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Nunan, D. (1989). *Designing tasks for the communicative classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Reeve, F. & Gallacher, J. (1999) 'How are the discourses of work-based learning influencing practice?', *Researching Work and Learning: A first International Conference*, 10th – 12th Sept 1999, Trinity and All Saints College, University of Leeds.
- Swial, Watson. S. (2000). *School-to-Work & the SAT*. A Report to the National School-to-Work Office. Washington. DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Widdowson, H. G. (1981). *English for specific purposes: Criteria for course design*. In L.

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of posttest for the experimental and control groups

Groups	Test	N	Mean	SD	SEM
Experimental	posttest	20	19.85	3.08	0.69
Control	posttest	20	17	2.60	0.58

Table 2 Results of t-test between Experimental and Control groups' scores

Group	Test	N	Mean	Mean Difference	SD	t-value	df	p
Experimental	posttest	20	19.85	2.85	3.08	3.162	38	.0031
Control	posttest	20	17		2.60			