Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Bio Diversity

Elixir Bio Diver. 37A (2011) 4064-4066

ISSN: 2229-712X

Diversity of mosquito fauna in three selected sites of usilampatti taluk, Madurai district, Tamilnadu

K.Ramesh Kumar, N.Nattuthurai and M.Annamalai

Department of Zoology, Vivekananda College, Tiruvedakam west, Madurai – 625214.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 25 June 2011;

Received in revised form:

20 July 2011;

Accepted: 30 July 2011;

Keywords

Aedes,

Anopheles,

Armigeres and *Culex*.

ABSTRACT

In the present study, the mosquito density of three selected sites of Madurai district viz., Elumalai, Kottaipatti and Usilampatti was surveyed. The results of the study indicate the presence of 10 species of mosquitoes which are grouped under four genera namely *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, *Armigeres* and *Culex*. The numbers of mosquitoes collected belonging to various species were low with an exception of *Culex quinquefasciatus*. The diversity measures (Shannon's and Simpson's) shows slight variation among the three selected sites studied. The Shannon's index for Kottaipatti is slightly higher (1.883) when compared to Elumalai and Usilampatti (1.804 and 1.804). The Simpson's index for Kottaipatti is slightly higher (0.7994) when compared to Elumalai and Usilampatti (0.7677 and 0.7579). In the study period, the maximum density of the mosquito population noted in the month of July and October.The minimum density of mosquito population obtained in the month of September.

Introduction

Mosquito constitutes the most important single family of insects that affect the human health everywhere. In spite of several attempts to control them, these remarkably adapted mosquitoes continue to successfully coexist with man, feeding on him and his domesticated animals (Pandian, 1998). Besides the blood loss, they are capable of transmitting many diseases like filariasis, malaria, yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, dengue etc, (Dutta, *et al*., 2003; Service, 1983). The voracious feeding habit high fecundity rate, dispersal potential and successful exploitation of environment are the causes for proliferation of these mosquitoes throughout the world (Pandian, 1990).

Mosquitoes are found in all types of environments associated with water such as sewage water, stagnant water, septic tanks etc. There is an urgent need to check the proliferation of population of vector and non-vector mosquitoes in order to reduce vector borne diseases and their nuisance by using appropriate control methods. The breeding habitat is crucial for mosquito population dynamics, because it is the location where many important life cycle processes take place. The processes are development of larva, emergence of adults, resting, swarming and mating of adults (Reuben, 1978).

As the density of vector and non vector has been increasing steadily, it is an essential to use all appropriate technological and management techniques to bring about an effective degree of control in a cost effective manner (Kumar *et al*., 2002). The management of mosquitoes requires to collect adequate knowledge about the species diversity and distribution pattern in a given area in order to evolve suitable strategy and to implement the same for the meaningful control of the population and in turn to reduce the menace and the incidence of the disease(Rajavel *et al*.,2001).

Though several studies have been conducted at various places in the world, particularly in India and in a few places in Tamilnadu, there is a need to study the bionomics of mosquitoes in all areas. Hence, an attempt has been made to survey the mosquito fauna in three selected sites of Elumalai, Kottaipatti and Usilampatti, Madurai district, Tamilnadu

Materials and Methods

Sampling strategy:

The mosquito sampling was carried in three selected sites of Elumalai, Kottaipatti and Usilampatti, Madurai district, Tamilnadu. Monthly sampling was done from July 2010 to December 2010. The Sampling was done between 6.00 pm to 6.00 am hours. The sampling sites were chosen at random and each sampling was done at the same place.

Collection of adult female mosquitoes:

The patterns of biting rhythm of mosquitoes were studied in two different sites in the selected village by collecting the biting mosquitoes continuously throughout the night by using human bait. Therefore, human was considered as bait for the present study. The biting mosquitoes were collected by using transparent vials (4.5 x 2.5 cm) with a lid. The vials were directed towards the mosquitoes and placed suddenly over it, while they were biting. The collected mosquitoes were anaesthetized and killed by using ether and kept in separate vials, which were labeled with time and place of collection. The collected adult female mosquitoes were identified by the experts of Centre for Research in Medical Entomology (CRME) in Madurai.

Diversity measures:

The data collected in the present study was used in to calculate various diversity measures by following Southwood (1978).

Results and Discussion

Mosquitoes had evolved by the Jurassic period, approximately 210 million years ago (Edwards, 1932). This was around the time continental drift began (Wilson, 1963), leading to the continental fragmentation and geographic isolation presumably promoting rapid speciation. There are more than 3200 species of mosquitoes belonging to 37 genera recorded so far world over and are grouped in to three subfamilies, Anophelenae, Culicinae and Toxorhynchitinae, which consist of

Tele:

E-mail addresses: pnkramesh@gmail.com

3 genera, 33 genera and 1 genus respectively (Ward, 1992). Out of these, genus Anopheles consists of about 420 species, Aedes 950 species, Culex 800 species and Mansonia 25 species.

The voracious feeding habit, high reproductive and dispersal potential and successful exploitation of the environment are the causes of their rapid proliferation (Berlin, 1972). Moreover the distribution of mosquitoes is almost world wide as they range from equator nearly to the poles and from sea level to atleast 7000 feet altitude.

Some species are important as vectors of malaria, filariasis, yellow fever, dengue fever and other arboviral diseases (Ross 1965, Service, 1983 and Rai, 1999). In India vector mosquitoes belong to four genera viz., Anopheles (malaria), Aedes (dengue fever), Culex (filariasis and Japanese encephalitis) and Mansonia (filariasis).

The Indian mosquito fauna include 255 species grouped under 16 genera. Fifty eight species belong to genus Anophneles, 57 species to Culex, 111 species to Aedes and 7 species to Mansonia (Nagpal and Sharma,1995).

It has been reported that in Madurai there are 27 species of mosquitoes belonging to the genera *Aedes, Anopheles, Armigeres, Culex* and *Mansonia* (Pandian 1998). Among these genera of mosquitoes Anopheles, Aedes and Culex are considered to be most important because of their potential ability in transmitting fatal diseases like Malaria, Dengue, Filariasis and Japanese encephalitis respectively.

In the present study, the survey conducted during the period of July 2010 – December 2010 shows that ten species belonging to four genera namely, *Aedes, Armigeres Anopheles* and C*ulex* are prevelant in the study area.

Armigeres and Anopheles were represented by only one species. *Aedes* is represented by four species namely *Aedes is represented by four species namely, Aedes (adenomorphus) vexans, Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus and Aedes lineatophenetus. Culex* is also represented by four species, *Culex gelidus Culex infula, Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Culex tritaneorhyncus*

Table 1. provides the various diversity measures of mosquito fauna recorded in Elumalai during July 2010 to December 2010.The mosquito dominance was maximum in the month of September. The Shannon's index was maximum (1.804) in the month of July and minimum (1.267) in the month of September. A maximum of Simpson's index value (0.7677) was observed in July and a minimum index value (0.5321) was noted in the month of September.

The Dominance and diversity indices of mosquito fauna recorded in Kottaipatti are given in Table 2. The highest mosquito dominance was recorded in the month of September. The Shannon's index was maximum (1.883) in the month of October and minimum (1.488) in the month of September. The Simpson's index was maximum (0.7994) in the month of July and minimum (0.6288) in the month of September.

Table 3 provides the various diversity measures of mosquito fauna recorded in Usilampatti during July 2010 to December 2010. The dominance of mosquito population was maximum in the month of September. High Shannon's and Simpson's diversity indices (1.804 and 0.7579) were calculated for the month of October and minimum (1.295 and 0.5415) in the month of September.

The diversity measures (Shannon's and Simpson's) shows slight variation among the three selected sites studied.The Shannon's index for Kottaipatti is slightly higher (1.883) when compared to Elumalai and Usilampatti (1.804 and 1.804). The Simpson's index for Kottaipatti is slightly higher (0.7994) when compared to Elumalai and Usilampatti (0.7677 and 0.7579).

It is well known that some of the mosquitoes which were originally zoophilic and sylvatic have adapted to feeding on humans and became peridomestic and even periurban due to deforestation. The involvement of man in certain host-parasite cycles will depend on the effect of his activities on the breeding sites of vectors, their capacity to adopt to new ecology and the presence of animal reservoirs as well as human behavior pattern. In India, *Aedes albopictus* a vector of dengue haemorhagic fever was a sylvatic species as found in the present survey. *Culex qunquefasciatus* is a primary vector of urban filariasis caused by periodic *Wucheraria bancroftii.*

In the study period, the maximum density of the mosquito population noted in the month of July and October. The minimum density of mosquito population obtained in the month of September.

Biodiversity conservation needs the base line data of all fauna and flora, even the lesser known groups, as all have to play their own role as producers, consumers, pollinators and decomposers. The diverse micro and macro climatic conditions, harbors a variety of invertebrate fauna including mosquitoes that play a significant role in conserving and maintaining biodiversity in the study area.

Acknowledgement

The authors greatly acknowledged the Secretary, Principal and Head of the Department of Zoology of Vivekananda College for providing facilities during the course of studies.

References

Dutta, P.S., Khan, S.A., Khan, A.M., Sharma, C.K., Hazirika, N.C. and Mahanta,J. (2003) Survey of medically important mosquito fauna in Mizoram. *Entomon* 28(3): 237-240.

Kumar, S.R., Pandian, R.S. and ismail, M. (2002) Biting and breeding behaviour of mosquitoes in Devakottai, Tamilnadu, Southindia. *Env.Pol.Tech* 1 (2): pp 87-92.

Pandian, R.S. (1990) The seasonal prevalence of adults of *Armigers subalbatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Madurai, Tamilnadu. *J. Ecobiol* 2: 172-174.

Pandian, R.S. (1998) Biodiversity of mosquito fauna and efficacy of biopesticides againstnmosquitoes in an urban area in tamilnadu *Indian J.Environ. Sci 2(1)*: pp 7-16.

Rajavel, A.R., Munirathinam, A., Natarajan, R. and Vaidyanathan, K. (2001) species diversity of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in mangrove ecosystem in South INDIA. *Entomon* 16 (3&4): 271-277.

Reuban, R. (1978) A report on mosquitoes collected in the Krishna Godavari delta, Andhra Pradesh. *Indian J. Med. Res* 68: 603-609.

Southwood, T.R.E. (1978) Ecological methods. Chapman and Hall, U.K. pp 524.

Table: 1 Diversity indices of mosquito population in ELUMALAI

Diversity measures	July 2010	Aug 2010	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010
Taxa S	10	9	10	10	9	10
Individuals	209	227	516	450	579	496
Dominance_D	0.2323	0.3446	0.4679	0.2688	0.2668	0.2617
Shannon_H	1.804	1.519	1.267	1.707	1.7	1.764
Simpson_1-D	0.7677	0.6554	0.5321	0.7312	0.7332	0.7383
Evenness_e^H/S	0.6072	0.5078	0.3549	0.5512	0.608	0.5837

Table: 2 Diversity indices of mosquito population in KOTTAIPATTI

Diversity measures	July 2010	Aug 2010	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010
Taxa_S	10	9	10	10	10	10
Individuals	181	224	417	478	457	517
Dominance_D	0.2006	0.2357	0.3712	0.2181	0.2488	0.2464
Shannon_H	1.879	1.769	1.488	1.883	1.801	1.802
Simpson_1-D	0.7994	0.7643	0.6288	0.7819	0.7512	0.7536
Evenness_e^H/S	0.6548	0.6517	0.4428	0.6576	0.6055	0.6064

Table: 3 Diversity indices of mosquito population in USILAMPATTI

Diversity measures	July 2010	Aug 2010	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010
Taxa_S	10	10	9	10	10	10
Individuals	250	326	574	539	613	598
Dominance_D	0.2587	0.2509	0.4585	0.2421	0.2779	0.256
Shannon_H	1.785	1.766	1.295	1.804	1.715	1.752
Simpson_1-D	0.7413	0.7491	0.5415	0.7579	0.7221	0.744
Evenness_e^H/S	0.596	0.5848	0.4056	0.6074	0.5555	0.5768