



Study of various routing protocols in VANET

Poonam Dhamal¹, Uma Nagaraj² and Disha Devotale³

¹Department of IT, G.H.R.C. E.M.Wagholi, Pune.

²Department of Computer Engineering, M.A.E. Alandi, Pune.

³Department of Computer Engineering, G.H.R.I.T., Wagholi, Pune.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 15 June 2011;

Received in revised form:

20 July 2011;

Accepted: 30 July 2011;

Keywords

Vanet,
Its,
Manet,
Fsr,
Aodv,
Tora.

ABSTRACT

Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANET) is a subclass of Mobile ad hoc networks which provides a distinguished approach for Intelligent Transport System (ITS). The survey of routing protocols in VANET is important and necessary for smart ITS. This paper discusses the advantages / disadvantages and the applications of various routing protocols for vehicular ad hoc networks. It explores the motivation behind the designed, and traces the evolution of these routing protocols. This paper discusses the main 5 types of protocols for VANET Topology Based, Positioned Based, Geo Cast, Broad Cast, Cluster Based Protocols.

© 2011 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Vehicular ad hoc network is a special form of MANET which is a vehicle to vehicle & vehicle roadside wireless communication network. It is autonomous & self-organizing wireless communication network, where nodes in VANET involve themselves as servers and/or clients for exchanging & sharing information. The network architecture of VANET can be classified into three categories: pure cellular/WLAN, pure ad hoc, and hybrid [1].

Due to new technology it has taken huge attention from government, academy & industry. There are many research projects around the world which are related with VANET such as COMCAR [2], DRIVE [3], FleetNet [4] and NoW (Network on Wheels) [5], CarTALK 2000 [6], CarNet [7].

There are several VANET applications such as Vehicle collision warning, Security distance warning, Driver assistance, Cooperative driving, Cooperative cruise control, Dissemination of road information, Internet access, Map location, Automatic parking, Driverless vehicles.

Routing Protocols

In VANET, the routing protocols are classified into five categories:

Topology based routing protocol, Position based routing protocol, Cluster based routing protocol, Geo cast routing protocol and Broadcast routing protocol. These protocols are characterized on the basis of area / application where they are most suitable.

Topology Based Routing Protocols

These routing protocols use links information that exists in the network to perform packet forwarding. They are further divided into Proactive, Reactive & Hybrid Protocols.



Figure-1 Routing Protocols for VANET

i) Proactive routing protocols

The proactive routing means that the routing information, like next forwarding hop is maintained in the background irrespective of communication requests. The advantage of proactive routing protocol is that there is no route discovery since the destination route is stored in the background, but the disadvantage of this protocol is that it provides low latency for real time application. The various types of proactive routing protocols are: FSR, DSDV, OLSR, CGSR, WRP, TBRPF.

FSR: Fisheye state routing [8]

FSR is Fisheye state routing, in FSR node maintains a topology table (TT) based upon the latest information received from neighboring and periodically exchange it with local neighbors. For large networks to reduce the size of message the FSR uses the different exchange period for different entries in routing tables. Routing table entries for a given destination are updated preferably with the neighbors having low frequency, as the distance to destination increase.

DSDV: Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing [9]

DSDV is a table-driven routing scheme for adhoc mobile networks based on the Bellman- Ford algorithm. It was developed by C. Perkins and P.Bhagwat in 1994. It eliminates route looping, increases convergence speed, and reduces control message overhead. In DSDV, each node maintains a next-hop table, which it exchanges with its neighbors.

OLSR: Optimized Link State Routing Protocol [10]

It is an optimization of a pure link state protocol for mobile adhoc networks. Each node in the network selects a set of neighbor nodes called as multipoint relays (MPR) which retransmits its packets. The neighbor nodes which are not in its MPR set can only read and process the packet. This procedure reduces the number of retransmissions in a broadcast procedure.

CGSR: Clusterhead Gateway Switch Routing[11]

The CGSR protocol differs from the previous protocol in the type of addressing and network organization scheme employed. Instead of a "flat" network, CGSR is a clustered multihop mobile wireless network with several heuristic routing schemes. It states that by having a cluster head controlling a group of ad-hoc nodes, a framework for code separation, channel access, routing and bandwidth allocation can be achieved.

WRP: The Wireless Routing Protocol [12]

The WRP described in [12] is a table-based protocol with the goal of maintaining routing information among all nodes in the network. Each node in the network is responsible for maintaining four tables: (a) distance table, (b) routing table, (c) link-cost table, and (d) message retransmission list (MRL) table.

TBRPF: Topology Dissemination Based on Reverse-Path Forwarding [13]

It is a link-state routing protocol designed for ad-hoc networks. Every node constructs a source tree which contains paths to all reachable nodes by using topology table. Nodes are periodically updated with only the differences between the previous and current network state using HELLO messages. Therefore, routing messages are smaller, can therefore be sent more frequently to neighbors.

Reactive/Ad hoc based routing

Reactive routing opens the route only when it is necessary for a node to communicate with each other. Reactive routing consists of route discovery phase in which the query packets are flooded into the network for the path search and this phase completes when route is found. The various types of reactive routing protocols are AODV, PGB, DSR, TORA, JARR.

AODV : Ad Hoc On Demand Distance Vector [14]

In AODV (Perkins, 1999) routing, upon receipt of a broadcast query (RREQ), nodes record the address of the node sending the query in their routing table. This procedure of recording its previous hop is called *backward learning*. Upon arriving at the destination, a reply packet (RREP) is then sent through the complete path obtained from backward learning to the source.

PGB : Preferred Group Broadcasting [15]

PGB (Naumov, 2006) is a broadcasting mechanism that aims to reduce broadcast overhead associated with AODV's route discovery and to provide route stability especially important in VANETs where fast moving vehicles are used as wireless hosts. Based on the received signal of the broadcast, receivers can determine whether they are in the preferred group and which one in the group to broadcast.

DSR : Dynamic Source Routing [16]

DSR (Johnson, 1996) uses *source routing*, that is, the source indicates in a data packet's the sequence of intermediate nodes

on the routing path. In DSR, the query packet copies in its header the IDs of the intermediate nodes that it has traversed. The destination then retrieves the entire path from the query packet, and uses it to respond to the source.

TORA : Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm [17]

TORA (Park, 2007) routing belongs to a family of link reversal routing algorithms where a directed acyclic graph (DAG) toward the destination is built based on the height of the tree rooted at the source. The directed acyclic graph directs the flow of packets and ensures reachability to all nodes. When a node has a packet to send, it broadcasts the packet. Its neighbor only broadcasts the packet if it is the sending node's downward link based on the DAG.

JARR: Junction-based Adaptive Reactive Routing[18]

The network topology of vehicular ad-hoc network (VANET) in a city environment consists of many possible paths and junctions that forms the routing paths. Shortest path routing is not viable because each path has to be populated with vehicles. A scalable multi-hop routing protocol that adapts well to the city environment even with rapidly changing network topologies and lots of disconnected and dense network conditions is sought after. A novel position based routing protocol i.e. JARR, which will address the shortcomings of the current protocols by estimating the density of paths to be used.

Hybrid Protocols:

The hybrid protocols are introduced to reduce the control overhead of proactive routing protocols and decrease the initial route discovery delay in reactive routing protocols.

ZRP : Zone routing protocol [19]

In this the network is divided into overlapping zones. The zone is defined as a collection of nodes which are in a zone radius. The size of a zone is determined by a radius of length α where α is the number of hops to the perimeter of the zone. In ZRP, a proactive routing protocol (IARP) is used in intra-zone communication and an inner-zone reactive routing protocol (IARP) is used in intra-zone communication. Source sends data directly to the destination if both are in same routing zone otherwise IERP reactively initiates a route discovery.

HARP: Hybrid Ad Hoc Routing Protocol [20]

It divides entire network into non-overlapping zones. It aims to establish a stable route from a source to a destination to improve delay. It applies route discovery between zones to limit flooding in the network, and choose best route based on the stability criteria. In HARP routing is performed on two levels: intra-zone and inter-zone, depending on the position of destination. It uses proactive and reactive protocols in intrazone and inter-zone routing respectively. It is not applicable in high mobility adhoc networks.

Position Based Routing Protocols

Position based routing consists of class of routing algorithm. They share the property of using geographic positioning information in order to select the next forwarding hops. Position based routing is broadly divided in two types: Position based greedy V2V protocols, Delay Tolerant Protocols.

Non DTN:**GPSR : Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing [21]**

In Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR) (Karp, 2000), a node forwards a packet to an immediate neighbor which is geographically closer to the destination node. This mode of forwarding is termed *greedy mode*. When a packet reaches a local maximum, a recovery mode is used to forward a packet to a node that is closer to the destination than the node where the packet encountered the local maximum.

PRB-DV : Position-Based Routing with Distance Vector Recovery [22]

PBR-DV uses AODV-style recovery as packets fall into a local maximum. The node at the local maximum would broadcast a request packet in which is the node's position and destination's location. Upon receiving a request packet, a node would first check if it is closer to the destination than the node at the local maximum. If it is not, it records the node from which it receives the request packet (similar to backward learning) and rebroadcasts the request; otherwise, it sends a reply to the node from which it receives the request.

GRANT : Greedy Routing with Abstract Neighbor Table [23]

GRANT (Schnauffer, 2008) uses the concept of *extended greedy routing* where every node knows its x hop neighborhood. This gives every node a far sighted vision of the best route to take to avoid local maximum.

GPCR : Greedy Perimeter Coordinator Routing [24]

GPCR is based upon the fact that city street form a natural planner graph. GPCR does not require external static street map for its operation. GPCR consists of two components: A Restricted Greedy forwarding procedure, A repair strategy for routing algorithm. A GPCR follows a destination based greedy forwarding strategy, it routes messages to nodes at intersection. Since GPCR does not use any external static street map so nodes at intersection are difficult to find.

GpsrJ+ : [25] GpsrJ+ (Lee, 2007) removes the unnecessary stop at a junction while keeping the efficient planarity of topological maps. It uses two-hop neighbor beaconing to predict which road segment its neighboring junction node will take. If the prediction indicates that its neighboring junction will forward the packet onto a road with a different direction, it forwards to the junction node; otherwise, it bypasses the junction and forwards the packet to its furthest neighboring node.

CAR : Connectivity Aware Routing Protocols [26]

Following their work on Preferred Group Broadcast (PGB) to minimize broadcast from AODV route discovery and Advanced Greedy Forwarding (AGF) to account for node mobility, Naumov et al. (2007) presented Connectivity-Aware Routing (CAR) in VANETs. CAR protocols find a route to a destination; it has unique characteristics that it maintains the cache of successful route between various source and destination pairs.

GSR : Geographic Source Routing [27]

Earlier GSR was used in MANET. Then it was improved to use in VANET scenario by incorporating in to it greedy forwarding of messages toward the destination. If at any hop there are no nodes in the direction of destination then GPSR utilizes a recovery strategy known as perimeter mode.

A-STAR : Anchor-Based Street and Traffic Aware Routing [28]

A-STAR (Seet, 2004) is similar to GSR in that packets are routed through anchor points of the overlay. However, A-STAR is traffic aware: the traffic on the road determines whether the anchor points of the road will be considered in the shortest path. A-STAR routes based on two kinds of overlaid maps: a statically rated map and a dynamically rated map. A statistically rated map is a graph that displays bus routes that typically imply stable amount of traffic.

STBR : Street Topology Based Routing [29]

STAR (Forderer, 2005) went further than A-STAR by computing the road connectivity at junction nodes. One of the nodes at a junction is selected as a master that is responsible for

checking if links to the next junctions are up or down. Within the broadcast from every master, there is also link information to all neighboring links.

GyTAR : Greedy Traffic Aware Routing protocol [30]

GyTAR (Jerbi, 2007) is an overlaid approach similar to the approaches mentioned above in that packets are forwarded greedily toward the next junction which will then determine the best junction to forward next. GyTAR assumes that the number of cars is given per each road from roadside units and determines the connectivity of roads.

LOUVRE : Landmark Overlays for Urban Vehicular Routing Environments [31]

Lee et al. (2008) has summarized geographic greedy overlay routing into two camps. The first camp is geo-reactive overlay routing where the next overlaid node is determined based on their neighboring nodes' distance to the destination (STBR) or a combination of it and traffic density (GyTAR). The second camp is geo-proactive overlay routing where the sequence of overlaid nodes is determined a-priori (GSR and A-STAR). Landmark Overlays for Urban Vehicular Routing Environments (LOUVRE) belongs to the second camp.

DIR : Diagonal-Intersection-Based Routing Protocol [32]

DIR protocol constructs a series of diagonal intersections between the source and destination vehicle. The DIR protocol is based upon the geographic routing protocol in which source vehicle geographically forwards the data packets towards the first diagonal intersection, second diagonal intersection and so on until the last diagonal intersection and finally geographically reaches to designation vehicle.

ROMSGP : Receive on Most Stable Group-Path [33]

The ROMSGP algorithm is an integration of the receive on most stable path (ROMSP), with the grouping of nodes according to their velocity vectors, as previously demonstrated, with certain modifications to suit it to the VANET scenario. For example, the non-disjoint nature of ROMSP is not considered due to the strict mobility pattern of VANET networks.

AMAR : Adaptive movement aware routing protocol [34]

AMAR [Brahmi (2009)] is a Movement Aware Greedy Forwarding (MAGF) based on the greedy forwarding scheme to select next-hop node towards the destination. AMAR scheme makes use of additional information about vehicle movement to select an appropriate packet's next-hop that ensures the data delivery.

EBGR : Edge node based greedy routing protocol [34]

EBGR [Prasanth (2009)] is the position based routing protocol based on greedy forwarding strategy. EBGR protocol uses unicast for sending message from any node to any other node or broadcast for sending message from one node to all other nodes in highly dynamic networks. This method selects the edge node of the limited transmission range as a next hop node for sending message from source to destination.

B-MFR : Border-node based most forward within radius routing protocol [34]

Next-hop forwarding method like greedy forwarding scheme for linear network does not support well in highly mobile ad hoc network such as vehicular ad hoc network. Therefore, other position based protocols such as MFR, GEDIR, Compass routing, etc. have been used for VANET to improve its performance for non-linear network in a high vehicular density environment.

ARBR : The Associativity-Based Routing [35]

(ABR) protocol defines a routing metric named as degree of association stability. That is free from loops, deadlock, and packet duplicates. Signal Stability-Based Adaptive Routing

protocol (SSR) employs route selection criteria to choose the routes that have “stronger” connectivity. SSR can be divided into two cooperative protocols: the Dynamic Routing Protocol (DRP) and the Static Routing Protocol (SRP).

MORA : Movement-Based Routing [36]

Recent interest in car-to-car communications and networking has led to the definition of the concept of VANET as an infrastructure-free ad-hoc networking solution in the automotive scenario. The requirement for providing reliable and efficient routing schemes in presence of relative movement motivates the proposal of MORA, a movement-based routing algorithm for VANETs.

VGPR: Vertex-Based predictive Greedy Routing [37]

It is a multi-hop vehicle-to-infrastructure routing protocol for urban environment. It estimates a sequence of valid junctions from a source node to fixed infrastructure and then, transmit message to the fixed infrastructure through the sequence of junctions. It uses position, velocity and direction of vehicles for calculating both sequence of valid junctions and greedy forwarding.

MIBR: Mobile Infrastructure Based VANET Routing [38]

It uses buses as a key element in route selection and data transfer process. While designing the protocol quality of transmission for each road segment and different transmission abilities of various vehicles are also considered. It measures the density of every road segment using bus line information. MIBR is a location based reactive routing protocol. Source node uses GPS system to get the destination information. Each bus contains two heterogeneous wireless interfaces and other vehicles have single interface.

DTSG Dynamic Time-Stable Geocast Routing: [39]

The main aim of this protocol is to work even with sparse density networks. It dynamically adjusts the protocol depending on network density and the vehicles speed for better performance. It defines two phases: pre-stable and stable period. Pre-stable phase helps the message to be disseminated within the region, and stable-period intermediate node uses store and forward method for a predefined time within the region.

TO-GO: Topology-assist Geo-Opportunistic Routing [40]

TO-GO is a geographic routing protocol which improves packet delivery in greedy & recovery forwarding that can bypass the junction area by using two hop beaconing. No hidden terminal occurs because all nodes can hear one another. From simulation result TO-GO, GPCR, GpsrJ+ have similar packet delivery ratio. Low S/N ratio is taken care of.

CBF: Contention-Based Forwarding [41]

CBF is a geographic routing protocol that does not make use of beacons. In CBF if there has a data packet to send, the sending node will broadcast the packet to all direct neighbors & these neighbors will find out among themselves the one that will forward the packet. Elimination of beacon message saves bandwidth.

DTN Position Based Routing Protocols:

VADD: Vehicle-Assisted Data Delivery [42]

VADD (Zhao et al., 2006) is a vehicular routing strategy aimed at improving routing in disconnected vehicular networks by the idea of carry-and-forward based on the use of predictable vehicle mobility. A vehicle makes a decision at a junction and selects the next forwarding *path* with the smallest packet delivery delay. A path is simply a branched road from an intersection.

GeOpps : Geographical Opportunistic Routing [43]

GeOpps (2007) takes advantage of the suggested routes of vehicles' navigation system to select vehicles that are likely to

move closer to the final destination of a packet. It calculates the shortest distance from packet's destination to the nearest point (NP) of vehicles' path, and estimates the arrival of time of a packet to destination.

Hybrid Position Based Protocols:

GeoDTN+Nav: [44]

GeoDTN+Nav (Cheng et al., 2008) is a hybrid of non-DTN and DTN approach that includes the greedy mode, the perimeter mode, and the DTN mode. It switches from non-DTN mode to DTN mode by estimating the connectivity of the network based on the number of hops a packet has travelled so far, neighbor's delivery quality, and neighbor's direction with respect to the destination.

Cluster Based Routing Protocols

Cluster based routing is preferred in clusters. A group of nodes identifies themselves to be a part of cluster and a node is designated as cluster head will broadcast the packet to cluster. Good scalability can be provided for large networks but network delays and overhead are incurred when forming clusters in highly mobile VANET. The various Clusters based routing protocols are COIN, LORA-CBF, TIBCRPH, CBDRP.

CBDRP : Cluster-Based Directional Routing Protocol [45]

It divides the vehicles into clusters and vehicles which are moving in same direction form a cluster. The source sends the message to its cluster header and then it forwards the message to header which is in the same cluster with the destination. At last the destination header sends the message to the destination. The cluster header selection and maintenance is same like CBR but it considers velocity and direction of a vehicle.

TIBCRPH: Traffic Infrastructure Based Cluster Routing Protocol with Handoff [46]

Node's high mobility leads to frequent broken routes in VANET, using the idea of cluster can help to achieve efficient transmission of messages. The exiting traffic infrastructures to cluster the network effectively, which will assist the transmission of data packets. Due to the characteristics of radio-communication, the overlap between clusters will occur inevitably, in order to ensure the service quality of nodes' communications, it make use of the handoff idea of cellular networks and propose a new protocol which is special for VANET, the proposed scheme is dubbed Traffic Infrastructure Based Cluster Routing Protocol with Handoff.

LORA-CBF: Location Routing Algorithm with Cluster-Based Flooding [47]

In LORA_CBF, each node can become the cluster-head, gateway or cluster member. For each cluster, there is one cluster-head. The node which connects two clusters are called gateway. The cluster-head maintains information about its members and gateways. The packet forwarding is same the greedy routing. Only clusterhead and gateways can send out the location request (LREQ) packets when the location of the destination is not available as well as the phase of the Location Reply (LREP) messages. The proposed LORA-CBF shows highly heterogeneous performance results.

COIN: Clustering for Open IVC Network [48]

Cluster head selection in COIN is based on vehicular dynamics and driver intentions instead of ID or relative mobility as in conventional clustering methods. IVC also accommodates the oscillatory nature of inter-vehicle distances. Ideally, the relative mobility between a cluster head and a member node should be low, so they remain in radio contact for as long as possible.

HCB: Hierarchical Cluster Based Routing[49]

It is a novel based Hierarchical Cluster routing protocol designed for highly mobility adhoc networks. HCB is two-layer communication architecture. In layer-1 mostly nodes have single radio interface and they communicate with each other via multi-hop path. Among these nodes some also have another interface with long radio communication range called super nodes which exist both on layer-1 and 2. Super nodes are able to communicate with each other via the base station in layer-2.

CBLR: Cluster Based Location Routing [50]

This algorithm assumes all vehicles can gather their positions via GPS. The algorithm divides the network into multiple clusters. Each cluster has a cluster-head and a group of members within the transmission range of the cluster-head. The cluster-head and members are formed as follow: A new vehicle transmits a Hello Message. If the vehicle gets a reply from the cluster-head vehicle, the new vehicle would become a member of the cluster. If not, the new vehicle becomes the clusterhead.

CBR: Cluster Based Routing [51]

CBR (Cluster Based Routing) Protocol Just as its name implies, it's a routing protocol which based on position and clusters. In this protocol, the geographic area is divided into some foursquare grids. Only if there is a vehicle in a grid will a vehicle be elected to the cluster header, and the data packet is routed by cluster header across some grids one by one.

Geo Cast Routing

Geo cast routing is basically a location based multicast routing. Its objective is to deliver the packet from source node to all other nodes within a specified geographical region (Zone of Relevance ZOR). The various Geo cast routing protocols are IVG, DG-CASTOR and DRG

IVG : Inter-Vehicle Geocast [52]

IVG is proposed by Bachir et al. for disseminating safety messages to vehicles on highways. The protocol uses a timer-based mechanism for message forwarding and periodic broadcasts are used to overcome network fragmentation.

DG-CASTOR : Direction-based GeoCast Routing Protocol for query dissemination in VANET [53]

A novel geocast routing protocol is proposed, named DG-CastoR protocol and especially tailored for Infotainment applications in VANETs. It aims to build a virtual community based on future locations prediction of the mobile nodes in the network. We call this community a Rendez-vous group where the nodes may meet in the near future. However, the query is only disseminated between the nodes belonging to the same Rendez-Vous group.

DRG : Distributed Robust Geocast [54]

DRG routing protocol, is proposed by Joshi et al. The DRG protocol improves the reliability of message forwarding by defining the zone of forwarding (ZOF) which surrounds the region of interest. Vehicles in the ZOF region forward the message to other vehicles in the ROI.

ROVER: Robust Vehicular Routing [55]

It is a reliable geographical multicast protocol where only control packets are broadcasted in the network and the data packets are unicasted. The objective of the protocol is to send a message to all other vehicles within a specified Zone of Relevance (ZOR). The ZOR is defined as a rectangle specified by its corner coordinates. A message is defined by the triplet [A, M, Z] it indicates specified application, message and identity of a zone respectively. When a vehicle receives a message, it accepts the message if it is within the ZOR. It also defines a Zone of Forwarding (ZOF) which includes the source and the ZOR.

DTSG: Dynamic Time-Stable Geocast Routing [56]

The main aim of this protocol is to work even with sparse density networks. It dynamically adjusts the protocol depending on network density and the vehicles speed for better performance. It defines two phases: pre-stable and stable period. Pre-stable phase helps the message to be disseminated within the region, and stable-period intermediate node uses store and forward method for a predefined time within the region.

Broadcast Routing

Broadcast routing is frequently used in VANET for sharing, traffic, weather and emergency, road conditions among vehicles and delivering advertisements and announcements. The various Broadcast routing protocols are BROADCAST, UMB, V-TRADE, and DV-CAST.

BROADCAST : [57]

BROADCAST is based on hierarchal structure for highway network. In BROADCAST the highway is divided into virtual cells which move like vehicles. The nodes in the highway are organized into two level of hierarchy: the first Level includes all the nodes in a cell, the second level is represented by cell reflectors, which are few nodes located closed to geographical centre of cell. Cell reflected behaves for certain interval of time as cluster head and handles the emergency messages coming from same members of the cell or nearby neighbor.

UMB : Urban Multihop Broadcast Protocol [58]

UMB is designed to overcome the interference, packet collision and hidden node problems during message distribution in multi hop broadcast. In UMB the sender node tries to select the furthest node in the broadcast direction for forwarding and acknowledging the packet without any prior topology information. UMB protocol performs with much success at higher packet loads and vehicle traffic densities.

V-TRADE : Vector Based Tracing Detection [59]

It is a GPS based message broadcasting protocols. The basic idea is similar to unicast routing protocols Zone Routing Protocol (ZRP). V-TRADE classifies the neighbors into different forwarding groups depending upon position and movement information. For each group only a small subset of vehicles is selected to rebroadcast the message.

DV-CAST: Distributed vehicular broadcast protocol [60]

It uses local topology information by using the periodic hello messages for broadcasting the information. Each vehicle uses a flag variable to check whether the packet is redundant or not. This protocol divides the vehicles into three types depending on the local connectivity as well connected, sparsely connected, totally disconnected neighborhood.

EAEP: Edge-aware epidemic protocol [61]

It is reliable, bandwidth efficient information dissemination based highly dynamic VANET protocol. It reduces control packet overhead by eliminating exchange of additional hello packets for message transfer between different clusters of vehicles and eases cluster maintenance. Each vehicle piggybacks its own geographical position to broadcast messages to eliminate beacon messages. Upon receiving a new rebroadcast message, EAEP uses number of transmission from front nodes and back nodes in a given period of time to calculate the probability for making decision whether nodes will rebroadcast the message or not.

SRB: Secure Ring Broadcasting [62]

It is to minimize number of retransmission messages and to get more stable routes. It classifies nodes into three groups based on their receiving power as Inner Nodes (close to sending node), Outer Nodes (far away from sending node), Secure Ring Nodes

(preferable distance from sending node). It restricts rebroadcasting to only secure ring nodes to minimize number of retransmissions.

PBSB: Parameter less broadcasting in static to highly mobile wireless ad Hoc [63]

It is an adaptive broadcasting protocol that does not require nodes to know about position and movement of their nodes and itself. It uses connected dominating sets (CDS) and neighbor elimination concepts to eliminate redundant broadcasting. It employs two-hop neighbor information obtained by periodic beacons to construct CDS. Each vehicle A maintains two lists of neighboring vehicles: R and NR, containing neighbors that already received and that which did not receive the packet.

Conclusion

Routing is an important component in vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) and infrastructure-to-vehicle (I2V) communication. This paper discusses various routing protocols of VANET. Designing an efficient routing protocol for all VANET applications is very difficult. Hence a survey of different VANET protocols, comparing the various features is absolutely essential to come up with new proposals for VANET. The performance of VANET routing protocols depend on various parameters like mobility model, driving environment and many more. Thus this paper has come up with an exhaustive survey and comparison of different classes of VANET routing protocols. From the survey it is clear that position based, geocast and cluster based protocols are more reliable for most of the applications in VANET.

References

Kevin C. Lee, "Survey of Routing Protocols in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks," Oct, 2009.

Ericson, "Communication and Mobility by Cellular Advanced Radio", ComCar project, www.comcar.de, 2002.

Online, <http://www.ist-drive.org/index2.html>.

W. Franz., Inter-Vehicle-Communications Based on Ad Hoc Networking Principles-The Fleet Net .

A. Festag, et. al., "NoW-Network on Wheels: Project Objectives, Technology and Achievements".

Reichardt D., "CarTALK 2000 – safe and comfortable driving based upon inter-vehicle-communication," in Proc. IEEE IV'02.

Morris R., "CarNet: A scalable ad hoc wireless network system," Sept. 2000.

M. Gerla, X. Hong, G. Pei, "FSR", July 2002.

C.E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat, "Highly DSDV", 1994.

T. Clausen, et al., "Optimized Link State Routing Protocol (OLSR)", Oct. 2003.

C.S. Murthy & B.S. Manoj, AdHoc Wireless Networks, Pearson, 2004 pages 336-338 and 627.

S. Murthy, "An Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Networks," October 1996.

R. Ogier, et al., "Topology Dissemination Based on Reverse-Path Forwarding (TBRPF)", 2004.

C. Perkins, E. Belding-Royer, and S. Das, "AODV Routing", RFC 3561, Network Working Group, 2003.

NAUMOV V, An evaluation of inter-vehicle ad hoc networks based on realistic vehicular traces. MOBIHOC 2006.

D. Johnson, B.D.A. Maltz, and Y.C.Hu, "DSR", , 2004.

V. Park, "Temporally-Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA) Version 1 Functional Specification", 2001.

C. A. T. H. Tee, A. Lee, "ARP in City Environments".

Z. J. Haas, "The Zone Routing Protocol", Nov. 1997.

Navid Nikaein, Christian Bonnet and Neda Nikaein, "HARP", 2001.

B. Karp, "GPSR: Greedy perimeter stateless routing for wireless networks", 2000.

Kevin C. Lee," Survey of Routing Protocols in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks".

C. Lochert, "Geographic routing in city scenarios," 2005.

Kevin C. Lee," Survey of Routing Protocols in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks".

Kevin C. Lee," Survey of Routing Protocols in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks".

Naumov, V., "CAR in VANET," May, 2007.

Lochert, C., "A routing strategy for vehicular ad hoc networks in city environments," June 2003.

Seet, B.-C., "A-STAR: A Mobile Ad Hoc Routing Strategy for Metropolis Vehicular Communications." 1980.

Forderer, D (2005). "Street-Topology Based Routing." Master's thesis, May 2005.

Moez Jerbi, " GyTAR", September 2006.

K. Lee, Gerla. LOUVRE, 2008.

Y. S. Chen, "A diagonal-intersection-based routing protocol for urban vehicular ad hoc networks," 2010.

T. Taleb, "A Stable Routing Protocol to Support ITS Services in VANET Networks", 2007.

Ram Shringar Raw, Sanjoy Das, "Performance Comparison of Position-based Routing Protocols in Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication," in IJEST, Jan 2011.

C.-K. Toh, "Associativity based routing for ad hoc mobile networks," Wirel. Pers. Commun.—Special Issue Mobile Networking Computing, Mar. 1997.

Fabrizio Granelli, "MORA: a Movement-Based Routing Algorithm for Vehicle Ad Hoc Networks".

Raj K. Shrestha, "Vertex-based multi-hop vehicle-to-infrastructure routing for vehicular adhoc networks", 2010.

Jie Luo, "A Mobile Infrastructure Based VANET Routing Protocol in the Urban Environment", 2010.

Hamidreza Rahbar, Kshira sagar Naik, Amiya Nayak, "DTSG: Dynamic Time-Stable Geocast Routing in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks", 2001.

Lee, K.C.; Lee, U.; Gerla, M. (2009), "TO-GO: TOPOlogy-assist geo-opportunistic routing in urban vehicular grids," 2009.

Füßler, H., "Contention-Based Forwarding for Street Scenarios," 2004.

J. Zhao, VADD: Vehicle-Assisted Data Delivery in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks, 2006.

I. Leontiadis, GeOpps: Opportunistic Geographical Routing for Vehicular Networks, 2007.

P.-C. Cheng, "Geodtn+nav: Geographic dtn routing with navigator prediction for urban vehicular environments," 2010.

Tao Song," A Cluster-Based Directional Routing Protocol in VANET"

Tiecheng Wang Gang Wang "TIBCRPH: Traffic Infrastructure Based Cluster Routing Protocol with Handoff in VANET".

R. A. Santos, "Performance evaluation of routing protocols in vehicular ad hoc networks," 2005.

J. Blum, "Mobility management in IVC networks," 2003.

Yang Xia, " Hierarchical Cluster Based Routing for Highly Mobile Heterogeneous MANET", 2007.

R. A. Santos, "Using the cluster-based location routing (CBLR) algorithm for exchanging information on a motorway". Sept. 2002.

Yuyi Luo,"A New Cluster Based Routing Protocol for VANET", 2010.

A. Bachir. A Multicast Protocol in Ad hoc Networks Inter-Vehicle Geocast. April 2003.

Talar Atechian," DG-CastoR: Direction-based GeoCast Routing Protocol for query dissemination in VANET".

- H. P. Joshi. Distributed Robust Geocast Multicast Routing for Inter-Vehicle Communication.
- M. Kihl, "Reliable Geographical Multicast Routing in Vehicular Adhoc Networks", 2007.
- Hamidreza Rahbar, "DTSG: Dynamic Time-Stable Geocast Routing in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks", 2001.
- M. Durrezi, "Emergency broadcast protocol for intervehicle communications," 2005.
- G. Korkmaz, "Urban multihop broadcast protocol for inter-vehicle communication systems,".
- M. Sum, "GPS-based message broadcasting for Inter-vehicle", 2000.
- O. K. Tonguz, "Broadcasting in VANET", 2007.
- M. Nekovee, "Reliable and efficient information dissemination in intermittently connected vehicular ad hoc networks", 2007.
- Rainer Baumann, "Vehicular Ad hoc Networks", Master's Thesis in Computer Science, ETH Zurich 2004.
- Adnan Afsar Khan, "Parameter less broadcasting in static to highly mobile wireless ad hoc, sensor and actuator networks", August 1999.