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## Introduction

## Difinitions and background:

## Definition 1: Degree of vertex:

Let $G$ be an undirected graph or multigraph. For each vertex of $G$, the degree of $v$, written $\operatorname{deg}(v)$, is the number of edges in G that are incident with v .[3]

## Definition 2: bipartite graph:

A graph $G$ is bipartite if the set of its vertices can be divided into two disjoint subsets such that each edge has an endvertex in each subset. We denote a bipartite graph by $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{X} ; \square \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{E})$, where $\mathrm{X} \square$ and $Y$ are the two subsets of vertices (and so XUY $\square$ is the set of all vertices) and $E$ is the set of edges.[2]

## Definition 3: complete bipartite graph:

A bipartite graph $G \square=(\mathrm{X} ; \square \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{E})$ is complete if it is simple and the set of its edges is $E \square=\{\mathrm{xy} \mid \mathrm{x} € \mathrm{X} ; y € Y\}$ that is any pair of a vertex of $X$ and of a vertex of $G \square i s$ an edge of $G$. It is denoted by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$; where $\mathrm{p} \square$ is the cardinality of $\mathrm{X} \square$ and q the cardinality of Y.[1]

## Definition 4: Cycle graph:

A cycle graph $C^{\#}$, sometimes simply known as an $n_{\text {-cycle is }}$ a graph on $n_{\text {nodes containing a single cycle through all nodes. }}$
Alternatively, a cycle can be defined as a closed path.[4]

## Definition 5: Regular graphs:

A graph $\mathrm{G} \square$ is said to be regular when the degrees of its vertices are all equal.[2]

## Definition 6: Complete graph:

Let v be a set of n vertices, the complete graph on v denoted $k_{n}$, is a loop free undirected graph, where for all $a, b € v$ ,$a \neq b$ there is an edge $\{a, b\} .[1]$

## Definition7: weighted graph:

Is a graph for which each edge has an associated real number weight.[5]

## Definition 8:

Spanning tree for a graph G is a subgraph of G that contains every vertex of $G$ and is a tree.[5]
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Minimal spanning tree for a weighted graph is a spanning tree that has at least possible total weight compared to all other spanning trees for the graphs.[5]

## Main Result:

## Definition 1:

First neighborhood of vertex $v$ on graph $G$ denoted by $N^{1}(v)$ or $\mathrm{N}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{v})$ is the set of all vertices adjacent to v by one vertex.

## Definition 2:

Second neighborhood of vertex v on graph $G$ denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ is the set of all vertices adjacent to v by path of length two.

## Definition 3:

$N_{\text {_ }}$ neighborhood of vertex $v$ on graph $G$ denoted by $N_{G}{ }_{G}(v)$ ) is the set of all vertices adjacent to $v$ by path of length $n$.

## Definition 4:

First neighborhood of edge e on graph $G$ denoted by $N^{1}(e)$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{e})$ is the set of all edges connect e by one edge.

## Definition 5:

Second neighborhood of edge e on graph G denoted by $N^{2}(e)$ or $N_{G}^{2}(e)$ is the set of all edges connect e by path of length two.

## Definition 6:

N_ neighborhood of edge e on graph $G$ denoted by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{e})$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{e})$ is the set of all edges connect e by path of length n .
Neighborhood of vertex $v$ on graph G.

## Lemma 1:

First neighborhood of vertex v on graph $G$ equal to the degree of this vertex.

## Example 1:

Consider a graph shown in fig(1), we can compute first and second neighborhood , and degree of all vertex as follows:

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | Deg $(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(1)=\{2,5\}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(1)=\{4,3\}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(2)=\{1,3,5\}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(2)=\{4\}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(3)=\{2,4\}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(3)=\{1,5,6\}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(4)=\{3,5,6\}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(4)=\{1,2\}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(5)=\{1,2,4\}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(5)=\{3,6\}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~N}^{1}(6)=\{4\}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}(6)=\{3,5\}$ |



Fig (1)
First and second neighborhood for special graphs:
For complete bipartite graph:
There are many types of complete bipartite graphs $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}$.

## Cas(1): when $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$

## Example 2:

We can compute first and second neighborhood for complete bipartite graph shown in $\operatorname{fig}(2)$ as follows:

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 6\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 5, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ |
| V2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 6\}$ |
| V3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 6\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 6\}$ |
| V5 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 6\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ |
| V6 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |



Fig (2)

## Example 3:

For fig(3) , $\mathrm{K}_{4,4}$ we have:


Fig (3)

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{2,3,4\}$ |
| 2 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{1,3,4\}$ |
| 3 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{1,2,4\}$ |
| 4 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{1,2,3\}$ |
| 5 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{6,7,8\}$ |
| 6 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{5,7,8\}$ |
| 7 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{5,6,8\}$ |
| 8 | $\{5,6,7,8\}$ | 4 | $\{5,6,7\}$ |

Cas(2): when $m \neq n$

## Example 4:

Consider bipartite graph $\mathrm{K}_{3,2}$ with $\mathrm{m}=3, \mathrm{n}=2$ as shown in fig(4).

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{4,5\}$ | 2 | $\{2,3\}$ |
| 2 | $\{4,5\}$ | 2 | $\{1,3\}$ |
| 3 | $\{4,5\}$ | 2 | $\{1,2\}$ |
| 4 | $\{1,2,3\}$ | 3 | $\{5\}$ |
| 5 | $\{1,2,3\}$ | 3 | $\{4\}$ |



Fig (4)

## Theorem 1:

For complete bipartite graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}$, for $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$
i. first neighborhood for every vertex on set $m$ is equal to all vertices on a set n , and converse is true.
ii.second neighborhood for every vertex is equal to (m-1) or (n1).

For $\mathrm{m} \neq \mathrm{n}$
i. first neighborhood for every vertex on set $m$ is equal to all vertices on a set n . and the converse is true.
ii.second neighborhood for every vertex on set $m$ is equal to ( $m$ 1 ), and for every vertex on a set $n$ is equal to ( $n-1$ ).

## Proof:

The proof comes directly from the above discussion.

## For regular graph:

We can compute first and second neighborhood for regular graph on the same way.

## Example 5:

Consider 4-regular graph as shown in fig (5),we have:

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V5 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |



Fig(5)

## Example 6:

For 3-regular graph shown in fig(6) we have:

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |
| V 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |
| V3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |
| V4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ |



Fig (6)

## Theorem 2:

For regular graph K-regular. First neighborhood for each vertex equal to second neighborhood, $N^{1}(v)=N^{2}(v)$.

## For complete graph:

All results discussed on regular graph is the same on complete graph.

## Example 6:

For $K_{4}$ (regular graph with 4 vertices) shown in fig(7), we have:

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{2,3,4\}$ | 3 | $\{2,3,4\}$ |
| 2 | $\{1,3,4\}$ | 3 | $\{1,3,4\}$ |
| 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ | 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ |
| 4 | $\{1,2,3\}$ | 3 | $\{1,2,3\}$ |



Fig (7)

## For cyclic graph:

We have two cases on cycle graph, n-cycle.
Cas (1) for $\mathrm{n}=3$,(3-cycle)

## Example 7:

Consider cycle graph with 3 vertices(3-cycle) fig(8), we can compute first and second neighborhood as follows:

| vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{2,3\}$ | 2 | non |
| 2 | $\{1,3\}$ | 2 | non |
| 3 | $\{1,2\}$ | 2 | non |



Fig (8)

## Cas (2): for $\mathrm{n}>3$

## Example 8:

Consider cycle graph (4-cycle) with 4 vertices shown in fig (9),

| vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{~V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{2,4\}$ | 2 | $\{3\}$ |
| 2 | $\{3,1\}$ | 2 | $\{4\}$ |
| 3 | $\{2,4\}$ | 2 | $\{1\}$ |
| 4 | $\{1,3\}$ | 2 | $\{2\}$ |



Fig (9)

## Example 9:

For 5-cycle graph $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ with 5 vertices shown in fig (10),

| Vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1 | $\{\mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ |
| V2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ | 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V3 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ |
| V4 | $\{\mathrm{v} 3, \mathrm{v} 5\}$ | 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2\}$ |
| V5 | $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 4\}$ | 2 | $\{\mathrm{v} 2, \mathrm{v} 3\}$ |



Fig (10)

## Theorem 2:

For cycle graph n-cycle we have two cases,
Cas (1): for $\mathrm{n}=3$
i. First neighborhood for each vertex equal to ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ).
ii. Second neighborhood doesn't exist.

Cas (2): for $n>3$
i. First neighborhood for each vertex equal to 2 .
ii. Second neighborhood for each vertex equal to ( $n-3$ ).

## Proof:

The proof comes directly from the above discussion.
Neighborhood for edge e on graph G:
Example 10:
For bipartite graph shown in fig(2),

| Edge | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{e})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{e})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e 1 | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 6, \mathrm{e} 7, \mathrm{e} 8\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 5\}$ |
| e 2 | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 8, \mathrm{e} 9\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ |
| e 3 | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 7, \mathrm{e} 9\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ |
| e 4 | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 7, \mathrm{e} 8\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ |
| e 5 | $\{\mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 6, \mathrm{e} 8, \mathrm{e} 9\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 3\}$ |
| e 6 | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 7, \mathrm{e} 9\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 4\}$ |
| e 7 | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 5\}$ |
| e 8 | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 5\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ |
| e 9 | $\{\mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 6\}$ | $\{\mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 4\}$ |

Neighborhood and shortest path algorithm in weighted graph:

## Definition 1:

Shortest path algorithm for $n$-neighborhood for a vertex v on graph $G$ is the $n$-neighborhood of a vertex that have the smallest weight.

## Note:

To find shortest path algorithm for n-neighborhood we must optain minimum spanning tree first by using appropriate algorithm.

## Kruskal's Algorithm[5]

Input: $G$ [a weighed graph with $n$ vertices]

## Algorithm Body:

[Build a subgraph $T$ of $G$ to consist of all the vertices of $G$ with edges added in order of increasing weight. At each stage, let $m$ be the number of edges of $T$.]

1. Initialize $T$ to have all the vertices of $G$ and no edges.
2. Let $E$ be the set of all edges of $G$, and let $m:=0$.
[pre-condition: $G$ is connected.]
3. while ( $m<n-1$ )

3a. Find an edge $e$ in $E$ of least weight.
3b. Delete $e$ from $E$.
3c. If addition of $e$ to the edge set of $T$ does not produce a circuit
then add $e$ to the edge set of $T$ and set $m:=m+1$
end while
[post-condition: $T$ is a minimum spanning tree for $G$.]
Output: $T$

## Example 11:

Describe the action of Kruskal's algorithm for the graph shown in Figure 11


Figure (11)

| Solution | Iteration Number | Edge Considered | Weight | Action Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Chicago-Milwaukee | 74 | added |
|  | 2 | Louisyille-Cincinnati | 83 | added |
|  | 3 | Louisville-Nashrille | 151 | added |
|  | 4 | Cincinnati-Detroit | 230 | added |
|  | 5 | St. Louis-Louisville | 242 | added |
|  | 6 | St. Lavis-Chicago | 262 | added |
|  | 7 | Chicago-Louisville | 269 | not aded |
|  | 8 | Louisvilie-Detroit | 306 | notaded |
|  | 9 | Louisvilie-Milwauke | 348 | notaded |
|  | 10 | Minneapolis-Chicago | 355 | added |

The tree prodsod by Krukal's algorithm is mown in Figure \{Z


Then we can determine first and second neighborhood as follows:

| vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ (v) | $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ (v) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneapolis | \{Chicago \} | non |
| Chicago | \{Milwaukee \} | \{ Louisvill\} |
| Milwaukee | \{ Chicago \} | \{St.Louis\} |
| Detroit | \{ Cincinnati \} | \{ Louisvill\} |
| Cincinnati | \{Louisvill\} | \{ Nashvill \} |
| Louisvill | \{ Cincinnati \} | \{ Detroit \} |
| Nashvill | \{ Louisvill\} | \{ Cincinnati \} |
| St.Louis | \{ Louisvill \} | \{ Cincinnati \} |

## Example 12:

For a graph shown in $\mathrm{fig}(13)$,compute first and second neighborhood of shortest path.

## Solution:

First we find minimum spanning tree by describing the action of Kruskal's algorithm.

| Iteration no. | Edge considered | weight | Action taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCA - JFK | 370 | added |
| 2 | YYZ - YUL | 516 | added |
| 3 | YUL - JFK | 544 | added |
| 4 | YYZ - JEK | 593 | not added |
| 5 | YYZ - LAX | 3523 | added |
| 6 | LAX - JFK | 4010 | not added |



Fig (12)
the tree produced by Kruskal' s algorithm will be:


Fig (14)
then we can compute first and second neighborhood as follows:

| vertex | $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAX | $\{\mathrm{YYZ}\}$ | $\{\mathrm{YUL}\}$ |
| YYZ | $\{\mathrm{YUL}\}$ | $\{\mathrm{JFK}\}$ |
| YUL | $\{\mathrm{YYZ}\}$ | $\{\mathrm{DCA}\}$ |
| JFK | $\{\mathrm{DCA}\}$ | $\{\mathrm{YYZ}\}$ |
| DCA | $\{\mathrm{JFK}\}$ | $\{\mathrm{YUL}\}$ |
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