



Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in 0.5 H₂SO₄ with leaves extract

S.V. Priya^a and R. Saratha^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai – 638 052, Erode, Tamilnadu, India.

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Avinashilingam Deemed University for Women, Coimbatore– 641 043, Tamilnadu, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 24 May 2011;

Received in revised form:

15 July 2011;

Accepted: 26 July 2011;

Keywords

Mild steel,
Polarization,
Weight loss,
Acid corrosion.

ABSTRACT

Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was investigated in the absence and presence of different concentrations of extract of *Crossandra infudibuliformis* leaves [C.I.L]. Weight loss measurements and electrochemical studies were employed. The results obtained show that the inhibition was found to increase with increasing concentration of the plant extract. The inhibitive actions of plant extract are discussed on the basis of adsorption of stable complex at the mild steel surface. Theoretical fitting of different isotherms were tested to clarify the nature of adsorption. Polarization curves revealed that C.I.L inhibitor acts as mixed type inhibitor and the inhibition efficiency up to 96.40% was obtained.

© 2011 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Mild steel has been extensively used under different conditions in chemical and allied industries in handling alkaline, acid and salt solutions. Chloride, sulphate and nitrate ions in aqueous media are particularly aggressive and accelerate corrosion. A wide range of materials known as inhibitors are used to control corrosion [1]. Consequently, several authors conducted a lot of studies to find effective inhibitors for mild steel in different media [2-15]. The known hazardous effects of most synthetic corrosion inhibitors are the motivation for the use of some natural products [16]. The recent trend is towards developing environment friendly inhibitors. Most of the natural products are non – toxic, bio – degradable and readily available in plenty. Various parts of plants – seeds, fruits, leaves, flowers etc., have been used as corrosion inhibitors [17]. Several investigations have reported using such naturally occurring substances as corrosion inhibitor for several metals in different media [18-31]. Our previous work [32] reported a successful use of *Citrus aurantiifolia* leaves as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in 1 M HCl respectively.

The present work is another trail to find a naturally occurring cheap and environmentally safe substance that could be used for inhibiting the corrosion of mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ medium. For the present study, natural product of C.I.L is used as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. The leaves were collected from a farm in Duraiyur, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. The choice of the present inhibitor is based on the following considerations: less – expensive, non – toxic, possesses no threat to the environment and easy availability.

Material and Methods

Sample Preparation

Mild steel specimens of composition (wt %): C - 0.0577, Mn - 0.1756, Si - 0.0722, P - 0.0168, S - 0.0165, Cr - 0.0096, Mo - 0.0015, Ni - 0.0041, V - 0.0004, Al - 0.0392, Cu - 0.0061, Ti - 0.0009, Nb - 0.0007, W - 0.0002, Ar - 0.0015, Pb - 0.0015, B - 0.0001, Sb - 0.0011, Bi - 0.0014, Ca - 0.0004, Zn - 0.0001, Ce - 0.0002 & Fe - 99.5904 were used for the

experiment. The composition of the sample was analyzed using ARL 3460 Metal Analyzer, Optical Emission Spectrometer. Rectangular samples of area 5 x 1 cm² have been cut from a large sheet of mild steel. The samples were polished, drilled at one end and numbered by punching. During the study, the samples were polished with 400 grade emery papers, degreased in a solution of non – toxic detergent, washed with distilled water, dried, weighed and stored in desiccators for further use.

Extraction of Plant material

The leaves were collected, shade dried and powdered. The extract was prepared by refluxing 25 g of powdered dry leaves in 500 ml of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ for 3 h and kept overnight. Then it was filtered and the volume of the filtrate was made up to 500 ml using the same acid and this was taken as stock solution.

Weight loss measurements

Weighed samples in triplicate were immersed in 100 ml of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in absence and in presence of different concentrations of the inhibitor for various intervals of time. They were then taken out and immersed in saturated sodium bicarbonate solution to remove residual acids and then washed thoroughly with tap water, rinsed with distilled water, dried, stored in desiccators and reweighed. The parameters used for the present study are

1. Time : ½ h, 1 h, 3 h, 7 h, 24 h, 48 h and 168 h
2. Concentration of the inhibitor: 0.005 %, 0.05 %, 0.15 %, 0.5 % and 2.5 % (V/V)
3. Temperature: (303, 313, 323, 333 and 343) ± 2 K

Determination of Corrosion rate

The rate of dissolution of metal is calculated in terms of corrosion rate. The corrosion rate was calculated using the expression,

$$\text{Corrosion rate (C.R)} = \frac{534 \cdot W}{\text{DAT}} \text{ mpy}$$

where,

mpy = miles per year,

W = weight loss in mg

- D = density in g / cm² (7.9 g / cm²),
 A = area in square inch and
 T = exposure time in hours.

Determination of Inhibition efficiency

The effectiveness of the inhibitor in terms of efficiency is found by

$$\text{I.E. (\%)} = \frac{\text{C.R. (without inhibitor)} - \text{C.R. (with inhibitor)}}{\text{C.R. (without inhibitor)}}$$

where,

I.E. = Inhibition efficiency and

C.R. = Corrosion Rate

Determination of Surface Coverage (θ)

Surface coverage of active sites by the inhibitor was determined by the relation,

$$\text{Surface Coverage } (\theta) = \frac{\text{C.R. (without inhibitor)} - \text{C.R. (with inhibitor)}}{\text{C.R. (with inhibitor)}}$$

where,

Determination of Activation energy

The corrosion reaction can be regarded as an Arrhenius type process. The rate of which is given by

$$\text{C.R.} = \text{K}e^{-E_a/RT}$$

where,

C.R. is the corrosion rate,

E_a is the activation energy,

T is the absolute temperature,

K is the pre-exponential constant and

R is the Universal gas constant.

Determination of Free energy of adsorption

The free energy of adsorption at different temperatures was calculated from the equation,

$$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} = 2.303 RT (1.74 + \text{Log } (\theta/1-\theta - \text{Log } C))$$

where,

$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ is the free energy of activation,

R is the gas constant,

T is the temperature in Kelvin,

θ is the degree of coverage on metal surface and

C is the concentration of inhibitor in mole/litre.

Determination of Change in enthalpy (ΔH°) and Change in entropy (ΔS°)

The change in enthalpy and change in entropy are calculated from the values of free energy of adsorption ($\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$) by Gibbs Helmholtz relationship,

$$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - T\Delta S^{\circ}$$

By plotting $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ vs. T, gives the slope, ΔS° and intercept, ΔH°

Adsorption Isotherms

In the present study, the values of surface coverage (θ) were evaluated using the values of inhibition efficiency at different immersion periods. Attempts were made to fit the θ values to various isotherms including Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Frumkin and Flory-Huggins.

Potentiodynamic Polarization Measurements

Potentiodynamic polarization studies were carried out using Solartron 1280 B. The cell of the polarization studies was a glass beaker containing the aerated unstirred test solution with a platinum electrode as the counter electrode, a saturated calomel electrode as reference electrode and the mild steel electrode as the working electrode. 100 ml of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in absence and in presence of different concentrations of the inhibitor was taken in an electrochemical cell. The polished electrode with an exposed area of 1 cm² was then introduced. The electrode was

placed at -0.2 mv/sec towards the anodic direction in the Tafel extrapolation. Applied potential vs. current was plotted and on extrapolation of linear portion to the corrosion potential gives the corrosion current (I_{corr}). In anodic and cathodic plot, the slope of the linear portion gives Tafel constants, b_a and b_c respectively. According to the Stern – Geary equation, the steps of the linear polarization plot are substituted to get corrosion current.

$$I_{\text{corr}} = \frac{b_a \times b_c}{2.303 (b_a + b_c)} \times \frac{1}{R_p}$$

where,

R_p is polarization resistance.

Results and Discussion

Weight loss data

The inhibitor was tested for five different concentrations and their corresponding weight loss data are presented in Table 1. The addition of inhibitor increases the inhibition efficiency, irrespective of the time of immersion. This may be due to the adsorption of phytochemical constituents of the extracts on the metal surface. The inhibition efficiency is 99.06 % at a concentration of 2.5 % V/V.

The results concerned with the effect of period of immersion at various concentration of the inhibitor on mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ are also shown in Table 1. From the tabulated data, it is clear that for all concentrations of the inhibitor, inhibition efficiency increases from ½ h to 7 h period of immersion. The decrease in inhibition efficiency with time may be attributed to various factors such as an increase in the ferrous ion concentration.

Effect of Temperature


The effect of temperature on the inhibitory action of the inhibitor was determined by weight loss method at various concentrations at different temperatures for a fixed immersion time of ½ h. The tabulated data (Table 2) reveal that as the concentration of the inhibitor increases, the corrosion rate has decreased at all temperatures.

Though the corrosion rate has increased with temperature for a given concentration of the inhibitor, there is no regular trend in the change of inhibition efficiency. This may be explained on the basis of the time lag between the process of adsorption and desorption. However, the inhibitor of 2.5 % (V/V) at 323 K shows the better inhibition (I.E – 92.43 %) than the other concentrations at different temperatures.

Interpretation of Thermodynamic data

Activation energy (E_a) and thermodynamic data, such as change in free energy ($\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$), enthalpy (ΔH°) and entropy (ΔS°) for mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ in the absence and in the presence of the inhibitor was calculated and listed in Table 3. The activation energy at different concentrations of the inhibitor in H₂SO₄ is calculated by plotting log C.R vs. 1/T (Fig 1).

Fig 1 Arrhenius plot for mild steel dissolution Process in 0.5 m h2so4



E_a values for inhibited systems are lower than those for uninhibited system indicating that the inhibitor exhibit high inhibition efficiency at elevated temperatures.


The negative values suggest the strong interaction of the inhibitor molecules whereas low value of ΔG°_{ads} indicated spontaneous adsorption of inhibitor on mild steel surface.

The negative values of ΔH° indicate that the adsorption of inhibitor molecules is an exothermic reaction. The change in entropy was found to be greater than zero. This indicates that the reaction is irreversible. It is clear that the complete desorption of the inhibitor is not possible.

Applicability of Adsorption Isotherms

The surface coverage (θ) values for different concentrations of the inhibitor in H_2SO_4 medium have been evaluated from the weight loss data. The data were tested graphically to find a suitable adsorption isotherm. A plot of $\log (\theta / (1-\theta))$ against $\log C$ (Fig 2) shows a straight line ($R>0.9$) indicating that adsorption from the acid follows the Langmuir adsorption isotherm.

Fig 2 Langmuir isotherm plot for the adsorption of inhibitor in 0.5 m h2so4




It is observed that although the plot is linear, the gradients are never unity, contrary to what is expected for ideal Langmuir adsorption isotherm equation.

Organic molecules having polar atoms or groups which are adsorbed on the metal surface may interact by mutual repulsion or attraction and this may be advocated as the reason for the departure of the slope values from unity. The number of active sites of the surface occupied by one molecule of the inhibitor is given by the value of $(1/y)$. A straight line was obtained when the surface coverage (θ) was plotted against $\log C$ for the inhibitor.

This shows that the adsorption obeys a Temkin adsorption isotherm, which is graphically represented in Fig 3.

Fig 3 Temkin isotherm adsorption model for inhibitor in 0.5 m h2so4 on the surface of mild steel



The plot of $\log \theta$ Vs $\log C$ is shown in Fig 4. The linearity shows that the adsorption of the inhibitor on mild steel surface follows Freundlich isotherm. The plot of Inhibition Efficiency (IE) against $\log C$ is shown in Fig 5. The sigmoidal shape shows that the adsorption of the inhibitor on mild steel surface in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 follows Frumkin isotherm. The equation of Flory Huggins Isotherm is

$$\log \theta / C = \log XK + X \log (1-\theta)$$

where, θ is the degree of coverage.

X is the number of active sites occupied by one inhibitor molecule or number of water molecules replaced by one molecule of the adsorbate. The value of $X>1$, implied that one inhibitor molecule replaces more than one water molecule. The plots of $\log (\theta/C)$ against $\log (1-\theta)$ are shown in Fig 6.

Fig 4 Freundlich isotherm adsorption model of inhibitor on mild steel in 0.5 m h2so4




Fig 5 Frumkin Adsorption Isotherm Model Of Inhibitor On The Surface Of Mild Steel In 0.5 M H2so4






Fig 6 Curve Fitting of the Corrosion Data Of Mild Steel In The Presence Of Inhibitor In 0.5 M H2so4 To Flory-Huggins Isotherm



Potentiodynamic Polarisation results

The various electrochemical parameters calculated from Tafel plot (Fig 7) are given in Table 4.


Fig 7



The lower corrosion current density (I_{corr}) values in the presence of inhibitor without causing significant changes in corrosion potential (E_{corr}) suggests that the compound is mixed type inhibitor (i.e., inhibit both the anodic and cathodic reactions) and are adsorbed on the surface thereby blocking the

corrosion reaction. In all concentrations, b_a is greater than b_c suggesting that though the inhibition is under mixed control, the effect of the inhibitor on the anodic polarization is more pronounced than on the cathodic polarization.

Fig 8




Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results

Impedance diagram (Nyquist plot) obtained for mild steel in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 in the presence of various concentrations of the inhibitor is depicted in Fig 8.

They are perfect semicircles and this was attributed to charge transfer reaction. Impedance parameters derived from Nyquist plots are tabulated in Table 5. It can be seen that as the concentration of inhibitor increases, C_{dl} values decrease. Decrease in C_{dl} , which can result from an increase in thickness of electrical double layer, suggests that the inhibitor molecule function by adsorption at the metal- solution interface.

Mechanism of Inhibition

The constituents present in C.I.L are DIBOA 7-HYDROXY DIBOA. The probable mechanism can be explained on the basis of adsorption process and the structure of the constituents present in the extract.



The inhibition may be due to the adsorption of phytochemical constituents present in the extract through oxygen atoms on to the surface of the metal.

Conclusions

The principal conclusions are

1. The natural extract of the inhibitor was found to be effective inhibitor in the acidic medium giving up to 99.06 % efficiency. The extract under study resists corrosion at higher temperature in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . The optimum temperature was found to be 323 K.
2. Adsorption models – Langmuir, Temkin, Freundlich, Frumkin and Flory – Huggins isotherm fit well as evident from the correlation coefficient values ($R \cong 1$ in all cases). This proves the applicability of all the models to the process.
3. The results obtained from the polarization study revealed that the extract under study behaved as a mixed type of inhibitor.

4. The inhibitor can be adsorbed on the metal surface through their oxygen atom of the constituents present in the extract.

Acknowledgement:

The authors sincerely thank the Avinashilingam Deemed University for Women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India for their continuous support to carry out this research work.

References:

- [1] Uhlig HH. Corrosion Handbook, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1955.
- [2] Abd El-Maksoud SA. Electrochemical studies on the effect of pyrazolo-containing compounds on the corrosion of carbon steel in 1 M sulphuric acid. *Mater. Corros.* 2003; 54: 106 - 12.
- [3] Abdel Rahim MA, Hanaa B. Haasan, Khalil MW. Naturally occurring organic substances as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in acid medium. *Materialwiss. Werkstofftech.* 2004; 28: 98 - 102.
- [4] Abiola OK, Oforika NC. Adsorption of (4-amino-2-methyl-5-pyrimidinyl methylthio) acetic acid on mild steel from hydrochloric acid solution (HCl) - Part 1. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2004; 83: 315 - 22.
- [5] Larabi L, Harek Y, Benalio, Ghalem S. Hydrazide derivatives as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in 1 M HCl. *Prog. Org. Coat.* 2005; 54: 256 - 62.
- [6] Ashassi-Sorkhabi H, Shaabaniss B, Seifzadeh D. Effect of some pyrimidinic Schiff bases on the corrosion of mild steel in hydrochloric acid solution. *Electrochim. Acta.* 2005; 50: 3446 - 52 .
- [7] Atia AA and Saleh MM. Inhibition of acid corrosion of Steel using cetyl pyridinium chloride. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2003; 33: 171-77.
- [8] Bastidas JM, Polo JM, Cano E. Substituted inhibition mechanism of mild steel-HCl corrosion by hexylamine and dodecylamine. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2000; 30: 1173 - 77.
- [9] Bentiss F, Bouanis M, Mernari B, Traisnel M, Vezin H, Lagrenee M. Understanding the adsorption of 4H-1,2,4-triazole derivatives on mild steel surface in molar hydrochloric acid. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2007; 253: 3696 -704.
- [10] Divakara Shetty S, Prakash Shetty, Sudhaker Nayak HV. Inhibition of corrosion of mild steel in hydrochloric acid by N-cyclohexyl- N'-phenyl thiourea. *Indian J. of Chem. Technol.* 2005; 12: 446 - 71.
- [11] Ebenso EE, Oguzie EE. Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in acidic media by some organic dyes. *Mater. Lett.* 2005; 59: 2163 -65.
- [12] Larabi L, Harek Y, Traisnel M, Mansri A. Synergistic influence of poly(4-vinylpyridine) and potassium iodide on inhibition of corrosion of mild steel in 1 M HCl. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2004; 34: 833 - 39.
- [13] Noor EA. The Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in phosphoric acid solutions by some N-heterocyclic compounds in the salt form. *Corros. Sci.* 2005; 47: 33 - 35.
- [14] Popova A, Christov M, Raicheva S, Sokolova E. Adsorption and inhibitive properties of benzimidazole derivatives in acid mild steel corrosion. *Corros. Sci.* 2004; 46: 1333 -50.
- [15] Saratha R, Marikannu C, Sivakamasundari S. Corrosion behaviour of mild steel in sulphuric acid - Effect of halides. *Bull. Electrochem.* 2002; 18: 141 - 44.
- [16] Abdel - Gaber AM, Abd-El-Nabey BA, Sidahmed IM, El-Zayady AM , Saadawy M. Inhibitive action of some plant extracts on the corrosion of steel in acidic media. *Corros. Sci.* 2006; 48: 2765 - 79.

- [17] Rajendran S, Ganga Sri V, Arockiaselvi J, John Amalraj A. Corrosion inhibition by plant extracts – an overview. Bull. Electrochem. 2006; 21: 367 - 78.
- [18] Rehan HH. Corrosion control by water soluble extracts from leaves of economic plants. 2003; 34: 232 – 37.
- [19] Al-Sehaibani H. Evaluation of extracts of Henna leaves as Environmentally Friendly corrosion Inhibitors for Metals. Materialwiss. Werkstofftech. 2000; 31: 1060 - 63.
- [20] Chaieb E, Bouyanzer E, Hammouti B, Benkaddour M, Berrabah M. Corrosion inhibition of steel in hydrochloric acid solution by *Rosemary* oil. Trans. SAEST 2004; 39: 58 - 60.
- [21] Chandrasekaran V, Kannan K. Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in citric acid at various temperatures. Orient. J. Chem. 2005; 21: 477 - 82.
- [22] Chauhan LR, Gunasekaran G. Corrosion inhibition of mild steel by plant extract in dilute HCl medium. Corros. Sci. 2007; 49: 1143 - 61.
- [23] El- Etre AY. Inhibition of aluminium corrosion using *Opuntia* Extract. Corros. Sci. 2003; 45: 2485 – 2495.
- [24] Olusegun K Abiola, Oforka NC, Ebenso E E. The Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in an acidic medium by fruit juice of *Citrus paradise*. J. Corros. Sci. Eng. 2004; 5.
- [25] Ramesh S, Rajeswari S. Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in neutral aqueous solution by new triazole derivatives. Electrochim. Acta 2004; 49: 811 - 20.
- [26] Ehteram A Noor. Comparative study on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel by aqueous extract of Fenugreek seeds and leaves in acidic solutions. J. Eng. Appl. Sci. 2008; 3: 23-30.
- [27] Saratha R, Kasthuri S, Sivakamasundari S, Inhibitive effect of extract of leaves and vegetable of *Solanum verbascifolium* (Sundai) on corrosion of mild steel in acidic medium. J. Electrochem. Soc. India 2003; 52: 163 - 68.
- [28] Saratha R, Savitha R, Sivakamasundari S. The influence of *Michelia champaca* extract on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in sulphuric acid medium. J. Electrochem. Soc. India 2003; 52: 59 - 63.
- [29] Sheyrese M Vincent, Cyril B Okhio. Inhibiting Corrosion with Green Tea. J. Corros. Sci. Eng. 2005; 7.
- [30] Smita A Verma, Mehta G N. Effect of acid extracts of *Acacia arabica* on acid corrosion of mild steel. Bull. Electrochem. 1999; 15: 67 - 70.
- [31] Tripti Jain, Rittu Chowdhary, Paresh Arora, Mathur S P. Corrosion inhibition of aluminium in hydrochloric acid solutions by peepal (*Ficus religiosa*) extracts. Bull. Electrochem. 2005; 21: 23 - 27.
- [32] Saratha R, Priya SV, Thilagavathy P. Investigation of *Citrus aurantiifolia* leaves extract as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in 1 M HCl. E - J. Chem. 2009; 6: 785 – 95.

Table -1 Inhibition efficiency of mild steel in 0.5 m H₂SO₄ in the presence of C.LL at 303 K

S. No	Conc. (% V/V)	½ h	1 h	3 h	7 h	24 h	48 h	168 h
1	0.005	62.77	62.61	78.26	92.23	72.57	22.79	11.69
2	0.05	74.26	80.30	89.77	96.71	91.51	80.96	44.13
3	0.15	80.65	84.24	92.13	97.75	94.70	87.53	63.40
4	0.5	83.59	85.82	94.14	98.07	96.63	91.83	74.02
5	2.5	85.61	90.61	96.77	99.06	98.01	96.58	91.33

Table – 2 Protection performance of C.LL on mild steel in 0.5 m H₂SO₄ (½ h) at different temperatures

S.No	Conc. (% V/V)	303 K		313 K		323 K		333 K		343 K	
		CR (mpy)	I.E (%)	CR (mpy)	I.E (%)	CR (mpy)	I.E (%)	CR (mpy)	I.E (%)	CR (mpy)	I.E (%)
1	Blank	948.94	-	358.90	-	2266.38	-	2807.14	-	6638.93	-
2	0.005	353.23	62.77	313.11	12.75	488.86	78.42	2492.28	11.21	3693.28	44.36
3	0.05	244.21	74.26	230.69	35.72	361.95	84.02	712.57	74.61	1539.41	76.81
4	0.15	183.39	80.65	198.48	44.69	296.61	86.91	580.83	79.30	1344.04	79.75
5	0.5	155.68	83.59	181.41	49.45	236.36	89.57	477.08	83.00	988.19	85.11
6	2.5	136.49	85.61	139.98	60.99	171.38	92.43	307.44	89.04	660.68	90.04

Table – 3 Activation parameters for the dissolution of Mild steel in the presence of C.LL in 0.5 m H₂SO₄

S. No	Conc. (% V/V)	-E _a KJ/mole	-ΔH ⁰ KJ/mole	ΔS ⁰ J/mole	-ΔG ⁰ _{ads} (KJ)				
					303 K	313 K	323 K	333 K	343 K
1	Blank	50.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	0.005	57.84	-13.69	0.0308	24.76	19.21	28.45	20.03	25.89
3	0.05	41.02	17.00	0.1182	20.31	16.69	23.26	22.37	23.38
4	0.15	43.17	12.67	0.0980	18.47	14.81	20.94	20.06	20.74
5	0.5	39.84	16.15	0.1009	15.94	12.17	18.39	17.40	18.37
6	2.5	33.53	21.62	0.1078	12.27	9.20	15.02	14.35	15.09

Table – 4 Electrochemical parameters for mild steel in 0.5 m H₂SO₄ with various concentrations of C.LL

S.No	Conc. (% V/V)	-E _{corr} mV	I _{corr} μAcm ⁻²	b _a mV/dec	b _c mV/dec	I.E (%)
1	Blank	551.39	7.274	394.07	257.46	-
2	0.005	546.64	3.859	302.38	179.65	46.94
3	0.05	526.90	0.733	159.64	98.91	89.92
4	0.15	519.25	0.059	73.54	58.79	99.18
5	0.5	514.69	0.266	171.99	81.58	96.34
6	2.5	512.13	0.016	75.12	1034.6	99.78

Table – 5 Impedance parameters for the corrosion of mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ containing different concentrations of C.I.L

S. No	Conc (%V/V)	R _{ct} (Ω cm ²)	C _{dl} (μ F cm ⁻²)	I.E (%)
1	Blank	20.84	99.01	-
2	0.005	37.33	71.48	44.17
3	0.05	77.80	66.09	73.21
4	0.15	90.30	54.82	76.92
5	0.5	123.97	36.48	83.18
6	2.5	244.36	25.39	91.47