Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

# **Applied Mathematics**

Elixir Appl. Math. 41 (2011) 5863-5866

# On product of intuitionistic L – fuzzy H-ideals of BF-algebras

P.Muralikrishna<sup>1</sup> and M.Chandramouleeswaran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Fatima Michael College of Engineering & Technology, Madurai-625 020, Tamilnadu, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Saiva Bhanu Kshatriya College, Aruppukkottai - 626 101, Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article history: Received: 15 November 2011; Received in revised form: 22 November 2011; Accepted: 12 December 2011;

## Keywords

BF-algebra,
H-ideals,
Intuitionistic L-fuzzy BF-subalgebra,
Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal and
Product on Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-
ideal.
AMS Classification: 08A72,
03E72.

#### Introduction

Lofti A. Zadeh[9] introduced the notion of a fuzzy subset of a set as a method for representing uncertainty in real physical world. As a generalization of this, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subset was defined by K.T.Atanassov [2] in 1986. BF-Algebras were introduced by Andrzej Walendziak[1] introduced in 2007. The Fuzzy BF\_subalgebras of were developed by A. Borumand Saeid and M. A. Rezvani[3] in 2009. Motivated by this, we have introduced the notions Intuitionistic L-fuzzy BFsubalgebras<sup>[5]</sup> and Intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideals of BFalgebras[6]. Intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideals of H-ideals of BFalgebras[8] and the Product[7] on Intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideals of a BF-algebras. In this paper, we discuss the Product on Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of two BF-algebras and study some results.

### Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1**.[2] Let  $L = (L, \leq)$  be complete lattice with an involutive order reversing operation  $N: L \rightarrow L$ . Then an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Subset (ILFS) A in a non-empty set X is defined as an object of the form  $A = \left\{ < x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) > / \ x \in X \right\} \text{ where } \mu_A : X \to L$ is the degree membership and  $v_A: X \to L$  is the degree nonmembership element  $x \in X$ of the satisfying  $\mu_A(x) \leq N(\nu_A(x))$ .

**Definition 2.2** [1] A BF-algebra is a non-empty set X with a consonant 0 and a binary operation \* satisfying the following axioms:

i. 
$$x * x = 0$$
  
ii.  $x * 0 = x$   
iii.  $0 * (x * y) = y * x \quad \forall x, y \in X$ 

Tele: E-mail addresses: pmkrishna@rocketmail.com, moulee59@gmail.com



(1) A non-empty subset S of a BF-algebra X is said to be a BF-subalgebra if

 $x^* y \in S \quad \forall x, y \in S.$ 

This paper introduces the notion of the Generalization of Cartesian Product on Intuitionistic

L-fuzzy H-ideals of BF-algebra and deals some simple but interesting results.

(2) A non-empty subset I of a BF-algebra X is said to be a Ideal of X if

$$(i) 0 \in I$$

(*ii*) 
$$x * y \in I$$
 and  $y \in I$ 

imply that 
$$x \in I \forall x, y \in I$$
.

(3) An ideal I of X is called closed

if 
$$0 * x \in I \quad \forall x \in I.$$

(4) A non-empty subset I of a BF-algebra X is said to be a H-ideal of X  $% \left( X_{1}^{A}\right) =0$ 

(*i*) 
$$0 \in I$$

(ii) 
$$x^*(y^*z) \in I$$
 and  $y \in I$ 

imply that 
$$x * z \in I \forall x, y z \in I$$
.

 $0 * x \in I \qquad \forall x \in I$ 

**Definition.2.4.** Let  $(X, *_X, 0_X), (Y, *_Y, 0_Y)$  be two BFalgebras. The Cartesian product of X × Y is defined to be the set  $X \times Y = \{(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}$ 

In X × Y we define the product x × y as follows:  $(x_1, y_1)^*_{X \times Y} (x_2, y_2) = (x_1^*_X x_2, y_1^*_Y y_2)$ 

One can easily verify that the Cartesian product of two BF-algebras is again a BF-algebra.

**Definition.2.5.**[6] An Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Subset A in a BFalgebra X is said to be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy BF-ideal of X if 1)  $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$ 



© 2011 Elixir All rights reserved.



2) 
$$v_A(0) \le v_A(x)$$
  
3)  $\mu_A(x) \ge \mu_A(x^*y) \land \mu_A(y)$ .  
4)  $v_A(x) \le v_A(x^*y) \lor v_A(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$   
Definition 2.6 [6] An Intritingiation 1. forms Subset A in a

**Definition.2.6.**[6] An Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Subset A in a BFalgebra X is said to be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy closed BF-ideal of X if

1) 
$$\mu_{A}(0 * x) \ge \mu_{A}(x)$$
  
2)  $\nu_{A}(0 * x) \le \nu_{A}(x)$   
3)  $\mu_{A}(x) \ge \mu_{A}(x * y) \land \mu_{A}(y)$   
4)  $\nu_{A}(x) \le \nu_{A}(x * y) \lor \nu_{A}(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$ 

**Definition.2.7.** [8] An intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset A of a BFalgebra X is said to be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of X if 1)  $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$ 

2) 
$$v_A(0) \le v_A(x)$$
  
3)  $\mu_A(x * z) \ge \mu_A(x * (y * z)) \land \mu_A(y)$   
4)  $v_A(x * z) \le v_A(x * (y * z)) \lor v_A(y)$   
 $\forall x, y, z \in X$ 

**Definition.2.8.** [8] An Intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset A of a BF - algebra X is said to be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Closed H-ideal of X if

1) 
$$\mu_{A}(0 * x) \ge \mu_{A}(x)$$
  
2)  $\nu_{A}(0 * x) \le \nu_{A}(x)$   
3)  $\mu_{A}(x * z) \ge \mu_{A}(x * (y * z)) \land \mu_{A}(y)$   
4)  $\nu_{A}(x * z) \le \nu_{A}(x * (y * z)) \lor \nu_{A}(y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$ 

**Product on intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of BF-algebras** In this section we introduce the notion of Cartesian Product of two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of two BF-algebras X and Y. We start with the following definition.

**Definition.3.1.**[7] For any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets A and B of X, their Cartesian Product is defined to be the set  $A \times B = (X \times X, \mu_A \times \mu_B, \nu_A \times \nu_B)$  with the membership and non-membership functions  $\mu_A \times \mu_B : X \times X \to L$  and  $\nu_A \times \nu_B : X \times X \to L$  such

$$(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$$
 and

 $(V_A \times V_B)(x, y) = V_A(x) \vee V_B(y)$  where  $\forall x, y \in X$ .

In the following we extend the above definition to the Cartesian product of two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets of any two BF-algebras X and Y.

**Definition.3.2.**[7] For any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets A and B of X and Y, their Cartesian Product is defined to be the set  $A \times B = (X \times Y, \mu_A \times \mu_B, \nu_A \times \nu_B)$  with the membership and non-membership functions  $\mu_A \times \mu_B : X \times Y \to L$  and

$$\begin{array}{l} \nu_A \times \nu_B : X \times Y \to L \qquad \text{such} \qquad \text{that} \\ (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y) \qquad \text{and} \\ (\nu_A \times \nu_B)(x, y) = \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(y) \end{array}$$

where  $\forall x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y$ .

**Theorem.3.3.** Let A and B be any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Hideals of X and Y. Then  $A \times B$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Hideal of  $X \times Y$ .

Proof. Take 
$$(x, y) \in X \times Y$$
.  
Then  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0, 0) = \mu_A(0) \wedge \mu_B(0)$   
 $\geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$   
 $= (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y)$   
 $\forall x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y$   
And  $(v_A \times v_B)(0, 0) = v_A(0) \vee v_B(0)$   
 $\leq v_A(x) \vee v_B(y)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)(x, y)$   
 $\forall x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y$   
Now take  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$  and  $(x_3, y_3) \in X \times Y$ .  
Then  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_3, y_3)]$   
 $= (\mu_A \times \mu_B)[(x_1 * x_3), (y_1, * y_3)]$   
 $= (\mu_A \times \mu_B)[(x_1 * x_3), (y_1, * y_3)]$   
 $= (\mu_A (x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \wedge \mu_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \wedge (\mu_A(x_2) \wedge \mu_B(y_2))$   
 $= (\mu_A(x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \wedge \mu_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \wedge (\mu_A(x_2) \wedge \mu_B(y_2))$   
 $= (\mu_A \times \mu_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \wedge (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (\mu_A \times \nu_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_3, y_3)]$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1^*x_3), (y_1, * y_3)]$   
 $= (v_A (x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \vee v_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \vee (v_A(x_2) \vee v_B(y_2))$   
 $= (v_A(x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \vee v_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \vee (v_A(x_2) \vee v_B(y_2))$   
 $= (v_A (x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \vee v_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \vee (v_A(x_2) \vee v_B(y_2))$   
 $= (v_A (x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \vee v_B(y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))) \vee (v_A (x_2) \vee v_B(y_2))$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1^*(x_2^*x_3)) \vee (y_1^*(y_2^*y_3))] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_1)^*(x_2, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (v_A \times v_B)(x_2, y_2)$   
 $= (v_A \times v_B)[(x_1, y_2)^*(x_3, y_3)] \vee (y_A \times v_B$ 

And it can be extended for any n BF-algebras.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let A and B be two intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsets of X and Y. If A  $\times$  B is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of X  $\times$  Y then following are true.

(i) 
$$\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_B(y)$$
 and  $\mu_B(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$   
for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .  
(ii)  $\mu_A(0) \le \mu_B(y)$  and  $\mu_B(0) \le \mu_A(x)$ 

(ii) 
$$V_A(0) \le V_B(y)$$
 and  $V_B(0) \le V_A(x)$   
for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

Proof Assume  $\mu_B(y) > \mu_A(0)$  and  $\mu_A(x) > \mu_B(0)$ for some  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

Then  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y) \ge \mu_B(0) \wedge \mu_A(0) = (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0,0)$  which is a contradiction. Similarly, assume  $\nu_A(x) < \nu_B(0)$  and  $\nu_B(y) < \nu_A(0)$  for

some  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

Then  $(v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = v_A(x) \lor v_B(y) \le v_B(0) \lor v_A(0) = (v_A \times v_B)(0,0)$ which is also a contradiction, thus proving the result. Theorem.3.5. Let A and B be any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsets of X and Y such that  $A \times B$  is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ . Then either A is an intuitionistic Lfuzzy H-ideal of X or B is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of Y. Proof. Now by lemma 3.4 if we take  $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_B(y)$  and  $\nu_A(0) \le \nu_B(y)$ then  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0, y) = \mu_A(0) \wedge \mu_B(y) = \mu_B(y)$ and  $(v_A \times v_B)(0, y) = v_A(0) \vee v_B(y) = v_B(y)$ .....(1) Since  $A \times B$  is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .  $(\mu \times \mu)((x - y)) * (x - y))$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mu_A \times \mu_B)((x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_3, y_3)) \\ \geq (\mu_A \times \mu_B)[(x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))] \\ & \wedge (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x_2, y_2) \\ & \dots \end{array}$$

Putting  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$  in (2) we get,

$$(\mu_{A} \times \mu_{B})((0, y_{1})*(0, y_{3})) \geq (\mu_{A} \times \mu_{B})[(0, y_{1})*((0, y_{2})*(0, y_{3}))]_{i.e.} \land (\mu_{A} \times \mu_{B})(0, y_{2}) (\mu_{A} \times \mu_{B})(0, y_{1}* y_{3})$$

$$\geq (\mu_A \times \mu_B)[0, (y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)] \land (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0, y_2)$$
  
Using equation (1) in (3) we

Using equation (1) in (3) we have  $\mu_B(y_1 * y_3) \ge \mu_B(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)) \land \mu_B(y_2)$ In the similar way we can prove

In the similar way we can prove  $v_B(y_1 * y_3) \le v_B(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)) \lor v_B(y_2)$ 

This proves that B is an Intuitionistic L- fuzzy H-ideal of Y.

**Theorem.3.6.** Let A and B be any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Hideals X and Y. Then  $A \times B$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Hideal of  $X \times Y$  if and only if  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y)$  and  $(\overline{\nu_A \times \nu_B})(x, y)$  are L-fuzzy H-ideals of  $X \times Y$ .

Proof. Let  $A \times B$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .

Clearly  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$  is L-fuzzy Hideal of  $X \times Y$ .

We have

$$(v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = v_A(x) \vee v_B(y)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow 1 - (v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = (1 - v_A(x)) \vee (1 - v_B(y))$$
  

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \{(1 - v_A(x)) \vee (1 - v_B(y))\} = (v_A \times v_B)(x, y)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = v_A(x) \wedge v_B(y).$$
  
Thus  $(v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = v_A(x) \wedge v_B(y)$  is L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .

Conversely, assume  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y)$  and  $(\overline{\nu_A \times \nu_B})(x, y)$  are L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .

Now 
$$A \times B = (X \times Y, \mu_A \times \mu_B, \nu_A \times \nu_B)$$
  
Since  
 $(\overline{\nu_A} \times \overline{\nu_B})(x, y) = \overline{\nu_A(x)} \wedge \overline{\nu_B(y)}$   
 $\Rightarrow (\nu_A \times \nu_B)(x, y) = \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(y)'$ 

we can easily observe that  $A \times B$  is

an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ . **Theorem.3.7.** Let A and B be any ILFS of X and Y. A and B are Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of X and Y if and only if

 $\Box(A \times B) = (X \times Y, \mu_A \times \mu_B, \overline{\mu_A} \times \overline{\mu_B}) \text{ and}$  $\diamondsuit(A \times B) = (X \times Y, \overline{\nu_A} \times \overline{\nu_B}, \nu_A \times \nu_B) \text{ are Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of } X \times Y.$ 

Proof. Since 
$$(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y) = \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(y)$$

and

$$(v_A \times v_B)(x, y) = v_A(x) \vee v_B(y) \Rightarrow (\overline{v_A} \times \overline{v_B})(x, y) = \overline{v_A(x)} \wedge \overline{v_B(y)}$$

the proof is clear.

**Theorem.3.8.** Let A and B be any two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Closed H-ideals of X and Y. Then  $A \times B$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Closed H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .

Proof. Take  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Then  $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)((0,0)^*(x, y)) = (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0^*x, 0^*y)$   $= \mu_A(0^*x) \wedge \mu_B(0^*y)$   $\geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$   $= (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x, y)$  $\forall x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y$ 

Now

$$(\nu_A \times \nu_B)((0,0)^*(x, y)) = (\nu_A \times \nu_B)(0^*x, 0^*y)$$
  
=  $\nu_A(0^*x) \vee \nu_B(0^*y)$   
 $\leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(y)$   
=  $(\nu_A \times \nu_B)(x, y)$   
 $\forall x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y$ 

Thus  $A \times B$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Closed H-ideal of  $X \times Y$ .

And it can be extended for any n BF-algebras.

One can easily prove theorem 3.6 and 3.7 for Intuitionistic L-fuzzy Closed H-ideals.

#### Conclusion

In this paper, we have extended the notion of the Cartesian product of Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets to the notion of the generalized Cartesian product of Intuitionistic L-fuzzy H-ideals of BF-algebras. In [4] we have discussed the concept of Intuitionistic L-fuzzy subalgebras of BG-algebras. We expect that all the results proved in this paper can be proved for BG-algebras.

#### References

1. Andrzej Walendziak, On BF-algebras, Mathematica Slovaca, Vol.57 (2007), No.2, [119]128

2. K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (1986).

3. Borumand Saeid and M. A. Rezvani On Fuzzy *BF*-Algebras, International Mathematical Forum, 4, 2009, no.1,13–25.

4. M.Chandramouleeswaran and P.Muralikrishna, On Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Subalgebras of BG-algebras, International Mathematical Forum, 5, 2010, no.20, 995 – 1000.

5. M.Chandramouleeswaran and P.Muralikrishna, The Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy *BF*\_Subalgebras, Global Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol 6, No.1 (2010), pp. 1–6.

6. P.Muralikrishna and M.Chandramouleeswaran, Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy ideals of BF\_algebras, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Vol. 2(10), 2010, 5413-5418.

7. P.Muralikrishna and M.Chandramouleeswaran, Generalaisation Of Cartisean Product of Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Bf-Ideals, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 6, 2011, no. 14, 671-679

8. P.Muralikrishna and M.Chandramouleeswaran, A Note on Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy H-ideals of BF-Algebras,(Accepted in International Review of Fuzzy Mathematics)

9. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform.Control. 8(1965), 338-353.