



Justifications of weighted composition operators of relations between different Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains

Elhadi Elnour Elniel

Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 7 May 2012;

Received in revised form:

28 June 2012;

Accepted: 23 July 2012;

ABSTRACT

The verifications of boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operators of relations between different Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains which are Hilbert are characterized by using Carleson measure. As an application, we study the relations of multipliers between different Bergman spaces

© 2012 Elixir All rights reserved.

Keywords

Weighted composition operators;
Bergman space;
Bounded symmetric domains;
Carleson measure

Introduction

In this paper we follow the same Literature and methods of XIAOFEN LV and XIAOMIN TANG [15] with a little change.

Let Ω be the bounded symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n with Bergman Kernel $K(z, w)$. We assume that Ω is in its Harish-Chandra realization and the volume measure dv of Ω is normalized so that $K(z, 0) = K(0, w) = 1$ for all z and w in Ω . Define the Bergman Matrix of Ω to be

$$G_z = (g_{ij}(z)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log K(z, z) \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

For $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$, a piecewise smooth C^1 curve, we set

$$l(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_i(t) \overline{\gamma'_j(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

The Bergman distance function on Ω is defined as

$$d(z, w) = \inf \{ l(\gamma) : \gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega, \gamma(0) = z, \gamma(1) = w \}.$$

For $z \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$, introduce the ball $E(z, r) = \{ w \in \Omega : d(z, w) < r \}$, and denote $|E(z, r)|$ the normalized volume of $E(z, r)$, that is,

$$|E(z, r)| = \int_{E(z, r)} dv(w). \quad (1)$$

Let $H(\Omega)$ be the family of all holomorphic functions on

$$\Omega, \text{ set } dv_\alpha(z) = K(z, z)^{1-\alpha} dv(z), \text{ where } \alpha > \frac{N-1}{N},$$

N is the genus of Ω , then dv_α is a finite measure on Ω see, [1, 16]. For $0 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman

space $A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ is the space of all functions $f \in H(\Omega)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \left(\int_\Omega |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

For any $z \in \Omega$, set $k_z(w) = \frac{k(w, z)}{\sqrt{k(z, z)}}$, $w \in \Omega$,

Then k_z is the normalized reproducing kernel for $A_a^2(\Omega, dv)$, and k_z^α is a unit vector of $A_a^2(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$. For any $r > 0$, there exists some $C > 0$ such that for all $z \in \Omega$ and $w \in E(z, r)$,

$$C^{-1} \leq |E(z, r)| |k_z(w)|^2 \leq C. \quad (2)$$

Taking $w = z$, we get

$$C^{-1} \leq |E(z, r)| |k(z, z)| \leq C, \quad z \in \Omega. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, for any fixed $r > 0$, $s > 0$, $R > 0$, there exists some $C > 0$ (depending only on r, s, R) such that

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{|E(z, r)|}{|E(w, s)|} \leq C,$$

For every $z, w \in \Omega$ with $d(z, w) \leq R$ for proofs see [3].

Given $\phi, \psi \in H(\Omega)$ and $\phi(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$, the weighted composition operator $W_{\phi, \psi}$ is defined as

$$W_{\phi, \psi} f(z) = \psi(z) (f \circ \phi)(z) = \psi(z) f(\phi(z)), \quad f \in H(\Omega), z \in \Omega. \quad (4)$$

It is obvious that $W_{\phi, \psi}$ is a linear operator, which is closely related to the composition operator and multiplier. The behavior

of these two operators have been studied extensively on various spaces of holomorphic function see [6,7,13]. It is natural to consider the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators on Bergman spaces. In the unit disk and the unit ball, the problem has been studied by many authors see [4,5,11,14]. In the bounded symmetric domain considered the composition operator C_φ on Bergman spaces in [10,11]. Recently, the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces studied [12]. Relatively to these papers, our work is to obtain the sufficient and necessary conditions on φ, ψ such that the operator $W_{\varphi,\psi} : A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega, dv_\beta)$ is bounded (or compact) for all $0 < p, q < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > \frac{N-1}{N}$, where

$\varphi, \psi \in H(\Omega)$ which $\varphi(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$.

This will extend the results in [12].

In what follows we always suppose $\varphi, \psi \in H(\Omega)$ $\varphi(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\alpha, \beta > \frac{N-1}{N}$. C will stand for a positive constant whose value may change from line to line but is independent of the functions in $H(\Omega)$. The expression $A; B$ means $C^{-1}A \leq B \leq CA$.

2 Boundedness and compactness of $W_{\varphi,\psi}$

First, we collect a few preliminary results that will be needed later in this paper. We begin with a result on compact weighted composition operators. For any $0 < p, q < \infty$, the operator $W_{\varphi,\psi} : A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega, dv_\beta)$ is compact if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|W_{\varphi,\psi} f_k\|_{q,\beta} = 0$

For any norm bounded sequence $\{f_k\} \subseteq A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ that converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subsets of Ω .

Suppose $q > 0$, $\psi \in A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\beta)$, define the nonnegative measure $\mu_{\varphi,\psi,q,\beta}$ to be

$$\mu_{\varphi,\psi,q,\beta}(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} |\psi|^q dv_\beta,$$

here E is a measurable subset of Ω . Using Theorem C in p. 163 of [8], we have the following change of variables formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} g d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,q,\beta} = \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^q (g \circ \varphi) dv_\beta, \quad (5)$$

where g is an arbitrary measurable positive function on Ω .

Suppose μ is a finite positive Borel measure on Ω . It is said to be a δ -Carleson measure if

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} \frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^\delta} < \infty, \text{ where}$$

$$\mu(E(z, r)) = \int_{E(z, r)} d\mu(w).$$

Moreover, if $\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^\delta} = 0$, then μ is called a vanishing δ -Carleson measure. It is well-known that δ -

Carleson measure plays an important role in weighted Bergman space. More precisely, the following result holds.

Lemma2.1. Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on Ω , $0 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There exists some C such that for any $f \in A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(ii) μ is a $\frac{\alpha q}{p}$ -Carleson measure;

(iii) $\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{\frac{2\alpha q}{p}} d\mu(w) < \infty$.

Proof. This easily follows from Theorem2.1 in [9], just taking

$$\eta = \frac{q}{p}.$$

Lemma2.2. Let μ be a finite positive Borel Measure on Ω , $0 < q < p < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There exists some C such that for any $f \in A_a^p(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(ii) $\frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^\alpha} \in A^s(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$,

where $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{q}{p} = 1$, $A^s(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ is the usual Lebesgue space.

Our main justifications results are the following three theorems.

Theorem2.3. Suppose $0 \leq \varepsilon < 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $W_{\varphi,\psi} : A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha) \rightarrow A_a^2(\Omega, dv_\beta)$ is bounded;

(ii) $\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}$ is a $\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}$ -Carleson measure;

(iii) $\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) < \infty$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). For $z \in \Omega$, set

$$g_z(w) = (k_z(w))^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}, w \in \Omega. \quad (6)$$

Then $g_z \in H(\Omega)$ and $\|g_z\|_{2-\varepsilon,\alpha} \leq C$. By the boundedness of $W_{\varphi,\psi}$, (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) &= \int_{\Omega} |g_z(w)|^2 d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\psi(w)|^2 (g_z \circ \varphi)(w)^2 dv_\beta(w) \\ &= \|W_{\varphi,\psi} g_z\|_{2,\beta}^2 \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left| k_z(w) \right|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) < \infty$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). The condition (iii) implies

$$\frac{\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(E(z,r))}{\left| E(z,r) \right|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} = \frac{1}{\left| E(z,r) \right|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} \int_{E(z,r)} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w)$$

$$; \int_{E(z,r)} \left| k_z(w) \right|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w)$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| k_z(w) \right|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w).$$

Thus $\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}$ is a $\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}$ -Carleson measure.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose $f \in A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$. Lemma 2.1 and (ii) show

$$\left\| w_{\varphi,\psi} f \right\|_{2,\beta}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\psi(w)|^2 |(f \circ \varphi)(w)|^2 dv_\beta(w)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^2 d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w)$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(w).$$

Hence, $w_{\varphi,\psi} : A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha) \rightarrow A_a^2(\Omega, dv_\beta)$ is bounded. The proof is completed.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $0 \leq \varepsilon < 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $w_{\varphi,\psi} : A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha) \rightarrow A_a^2(\Omega, dv_\beta)$ is compact;

(ii) $\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}$ is a vanishing $\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}$ -Carleson measure;

(iii) $\lim_{z \in \partial\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left| k_z(w) \right|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) = 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). For $z \in \Omega$, define g_z as in (6).

Then $g_z \in H(\Omega)$ and $g_z \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. By Lemma 3.2 in [12] and equation (4), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| k_z(w) \right|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w) = \int_{\Omega} |g_z(w)|^2 d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(w)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |\psi(w)|^2 |(g_z \circ \varphi)(w)|^2 dv_\beta(w)$$

$$= \left\| w_{\varphi,\psi} g_z \right\|_{2,\beta}^2$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \quad (z \rightarrow \partial\Omega).$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). This is similar to the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 2.3. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Notice that, for any $r > 0$, by Lemma 5 in [2], we can choose a sequence $\{a_j\} \subseteq \Omega$ which $a_j \rightarrow \partial\Omega$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying (i) $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E(a_j, r)$; (ii) there is a

positive integer N such that each point $z \in \Omega$ belongs to at most N of the sets $E(a_j, 2r)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, by (ii), we get

$$\frac{\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(E(a_j, r))}{\left| E(a_j, r) \right|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} < \delta, \quad (7)$$

If j is sufficiently large. Suppose $\{f_k\}$ is any norm bounded sequence in $A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_\alpha)$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subsets of Ω . We claim $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| w_{\varphi,\psi} f_k \right\|_{2,\beta} = 0$.

In fact,

$$|f(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{C}{\left| E(z, r) \right|} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv(w)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left| E(z, r) \right|} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} \frac{dv_\alpha(w)}{k(w, w)^{1-\alpha}}$$

From (3) we have

$$|f(z)|^{2-\varepsilon}; \frac{1}{\left| E(z, r) \right|} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} \left| E(w, r) \right|^{1-\alpha} dv_\alpha(w)$$

$$; \frac{1}{\left| E(z, r) \right|^\alpha} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(w),$$

the first inequality follows from Lemma 7 in [2]. Then

$$\sup \left\{ |f(z)|^p : z \in E(a, r) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{C}{\left| E(z, r) \right|^\alpha} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(w) : z \in E(a, r) \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\left| E(z, r) \right|^\alpha} \int_{E(a, 2r)} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(w).$$

Thus, from (4) we have

$$\left\| w_{\varphi,\psi} f_k \right\|_{2,\beta}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\psi(z)|^2 |(f_k \circ \varphi)(z)|^2 dv_\beta(z)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(z)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_j, r)} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(z)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(E(a_j, r))}{\left| E(a_j, r) \right|^\alpha} \sup \left\{ |f_k(z)|^2 : z \in E(a_j, r) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(E(a_j, r))}{\left| E(a_j, r) \right|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} \left(\int_{E(a_j, 2r)} |f_k(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J_0} + \sum_{j=J_0}^{\infty} \right) \frac{\mu_{\varphi,\psi,2,\beta}(E(a_j, r))}{\left| E(a_j, r) \right|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} \left(\int_{E(a_j, 2r)} |f_k(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}}$$

$$= I_1 + I_2.$$

On the one hand, when $1 \leq j \leq J_0$, $E(a_j, 2r)$ is a compact subset of Ω , if k is sufficiently large. Then $I_1 \leq C\delta^2$.

On the other hand, (7) yields

$$I_2 \leq CN\delta \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_k(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(z) \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}} \leq CN\delta \|f_k\|_{2-\varepsilon, \alpha}^2 \leq C\delta.$$

Therefore $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{\varphi, \psi} f_k\|_{2, \beta} = 0$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $w_{\varphi, \psi} : A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}) \rightarrow A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\beta})$ is bounded;
- (ii) $w_{\varphi, \psi} : A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}) \rightarrow A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\beta})$ is compact;
- (iii) $\frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} \in A^s(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$, where $s = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). The operator $w_{\varphi, \psi} : A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}) \rightarrow A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\beta})$ is bounded if and only if, there exists some C such that for any $f \in A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{\varphi, \psi} f\|_{2-\varepsilon, \beta}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\psi(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} |(f \circ \varphi)(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\beta}(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(w) \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\psi(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(w). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 2.2, the necessary and sufficient condition for (8) is

$$\frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} \in A^s(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}), \text{ where } s = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}.$$

Now, we will show that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose $\{f_k\}$ is any norm bounded sequence in $A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subsets of Ω . Since $\chi_{E(z, r)}(w) = \chi_{E(w, r)}(z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{\varphi, \psi} f_k\|_{2-\varepsilon, \beta}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\psi(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} |(f_k \circ \varphi)(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\beta}(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f_k(z)|^{2-\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(z) \end{aligned}$$

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|w_{\varphi, \psi} f_k\|_{2-\varepsilon, \beta}^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(z) \int_{E(z, r)} |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(z) \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E(z, r)}(w) |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(w) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\chi_{E(w, r)}(z)}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\alpha}(w) \int_{E(w, r)} \frac{d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(z)}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} \\ &: \int_{\Omega} |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} \frac{d\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(w, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} dv_{\alpha}(w) = I. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, (iii) implies, there exists some $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus r\Omega} \left(\frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(w, r))}{|E(w, r)|^{\alpha}} \right)^s dv_{\alpha}(w) < \delta^{s(2-\varepsilon)}, \quad (9)$$

Where $r\Omega = \{rz : z \in \Omega\}$ is a compact subset of Ω . Hence, using the Holder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus r\Omega} + \int_{r\Omega} \right) |f_k(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} \frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(w, r))}{|E(w, r)|^{\alpha}} dv_{\alpha}(w) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_k(w)|^2 dv_{\alpha}(w) \right)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus r\Omega} \left(\frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(w, r))}{|E(w, r)|^{\alpha}} \right)^s dv_{\alpha}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{r\Omega} |f_k(w)|^2 dv_{\alpha}(w) \right)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\int_{r\Omega} \left(\frac{\mu_{\varphi, \psi, 2-\varepsilon, \beta}(E(w, r))}{|E(w, r)|^{\alpha}} \right)^s dv_{\alpha}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &< C \delta^{(2-\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

If k is sufficiently large. The last inequality is obtained by (9) and the fact $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $r\Omega$. Thus,

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{\varphi, \psi} f_k\|_{2-\varepsilon, \beta} = 0$, which means that $w_{\varphi, \psi} : A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}) \rightarrow A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\beta})$ is compact.

Application

Let X and Y be two spaces of holomorphic function. We call ψ a pointwise multiplier from X to Y if $M_{\psi} f = \psi f \in Y$ for every $f \in X$. The collection of all pointwise multipliers from X to Y denoted by $M(X, Y)$. Setting $\varphi(z) = z$, the weighted composition operator $w_{\varphi, \psi}$ is just the multiplication operator M_{ψ} . The multiplication operators have been studied by many authors for example [7]. By the main results in §2, we can obtain the property of ψ .

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $0 \leq \varepsilon < 2$ or $\varepsilon > 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in M(A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}), A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\beta}))$;

$$(ii) \sup_{z \in \Omega} \frac{\int_{E(z, r)} |\psi(w)|^2 dv_{\beta}(w)}{|E(z, r)|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}}} < \infty;$$

$$(iii) \sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{\frac{4\alpha}{2-\varepsilon}} |\psi(w)|^2 dv_{\beta}(w) < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < 2$. Then $\psi \in M(A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}), A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\beta}))$ if and only if

$$\frac{\int_{E(z, r)} |\psi(w)|^{2-\varepsilon} dv_{\beta}(w)}{|E(z, r)|^{\alpha}} \in A^s(\Omega, dv_{\alpha}),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Set $\psi \equiv 1$. Then $w_{\varphi, \psi}$ is just the composition operator C_{φ} , and we can easily obtain the main theorems in, [9,10] by the results in §2. Furthermore, we can obtain the results as follows.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and let C_{φ} be bounded on $A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$. Then C_{φ} is bounded on $A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and let C_{φ} be compact on $A_a^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$. Then C_{φ} is compact on $A_a^2(\Omega, dv_{\alpha})$.

References

- [1] Aulaskari, R., D. A. Stegenga, and J. Xiao: Some subclasses of BMOA and their characterization in terms of Carleson measure, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 26, 1996, 485-506.
- [2] Bekolle D, Berger C, Coburn L and Zhu K H, BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains, J. Funct. Anal. 93(2) (1990) 310-350.
- [3] Beger C A, Coburn L A and Zhu K H, Function theory on Cartan domain and the Berezin-Toeplitz symbol calculus, Amer. J. Math. 110(1988) 921-953.
- [4] Contreras M D and Hernandez- Diaz A G, weighted composition operators between different Hardy spaces, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 46(2)(2003) 165-188.
- [5] Cuckovic Z and Zhao R H, weighted composition operators on the Bergman space, J. London Math. Soc. 70(2)(2004) 499-511.
- [6] Cowen C C and MacCluer B D, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studied in Advanced Mathematics (FL: CRC press, Boca Raton)(1995).
- [7] Elhadi. E. Elniel: Compact composition operators on weighted Hardy and Bergman spaces, Lambert academic publishing, GmbH & Co. KG, amazon.com (2012).
- [8] Halmos P R, Measure Theory (New York: Springer-Verlag)(1974).
- [9] Luo L and Shi J H, Composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces on bounded symmetric domains in C^n (Chinese), Chin. Ann. Math. 21A(1)(2000) 45-52; translation in Chinese J. Contemp. Math. 21(1)(2000) 55-64.
- [10] Luo L and Shi J H, Composition operators between the weighted Bergman spaces on bounded symmetric domains (Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica 49(4)(2006) 853-856.
- [11] Luo L and Ueki S, Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces on the unit ball of C^n , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326(1)(2007) 88-100.
- [12] Sanjay K and Kanwar J S, Weighted composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains, proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 117(2)(2007) 185-196.
- [13] Sh. H. Abdalla and Elhadi. E. Elniel: Relations on Weighted Quadratic Factor of Hardy and Bergman Spaces with a sharp estimate, Australian Journal of Basic and applied Sciences, 5(10)(2011), pp. 1122-1129.
- [14] Ueki S, Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces in the unit ball of C^n , Nihonkai Math. J. 16(1)(2005) 31-48.
- [15] XIAOFEN LV and XIAOMIN TANG: Weighted composition operators between different Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains, Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.). 4(11)(2009), pp. 521-529.
- [16] Zhu K H, Harmonic analysis on bounded symmetric domains (eds) MD Cheng, DG Deng, S Gong and C C Yang (1995) Harmonic Analysis in China (Kluwer Academic pub.) pp. 287-307.