Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Social Science

Elixir Soc. Sci. 52 (2012) 11389-11396



A comparative study of I.T. benefits in construction industry in Malaysia and Nigeria

O. B. Idowu¹, R. O. A. Iyagba² and Y. L. Gambo³ ¹Department of Estate Management, University of Lagos, Nigeria. ²Department of Building, University of Lagos, Nigeria. ³Department of Estate Management, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi, Nigeria.

| ARTICLE INFO |
|----------------------------|
| Article history: |
| Received: 3 August 2012; |
| Received in revised form: |
| 9 November 2012; |
| Accepted: 9 November 2012; |

Keywords

Construction, IT Benefits, Malaysia, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The study compared the IT benefits in Malaysia with Nigeria construction industry using data obtained from internet for information on Malaysia while it relied on primary survey to elicit information on Nigeria. SPSS 17.0 statistical package was used for the analysis to arrive at the result. The Descriptive Statistics (DS) showed a summary of the results from likert scales while Factor analysis showed the reduction of factors by combining the data. Tables were placed in sequence for both countries for easy comparison and quick deduction. The study showed awareness of IT benefits in the two countries with Malaysia a bit ahead of Nigeria. However, Investment in both countries is low; Component Principal Analysis in Malaysia revealed three components i.e. improving profitability, Improving Management and Increasing Flexibility. In Nigeria it is four with Increasing Market share. Literature also revealed that local construction industry in Malaysia was impeded by insufficient bandwidth, lack of training and unavailability of expert users. Whereas, this study showed 14 items as responsible factors affecting IT Facilities procurement in Nigeria with cost and erratic power supply on the lead with 4.851 and 3.914 mean scores respectively.

© 2012 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Construction processes which span from land acquisition to documentation, design, construction and disposal or occupation are lengthy, disjointed and cumbersome (Nubi, 2007). Most often this results in delay in completion of work and eventually higher construction costs. In most cases this happens because of the way and manner the entire processes are managed. With Information Technology it is envisaged that the processes can be shortened. According to Sommerville and Craig (2006) in Hassan & Hassan (2011) the nature of construction companies is information based with the majority jobs related to projects.

The importance of Information and communication Technology cannot be underestimated in the present world of automaton where no activity can be performed successfully without it. With IT businesses are no longer as usual. Farag et al (2009) noted that IT is essential to businesses today including the construction industry. Due to the importance of the construction industry to a nation's economy it can no longer be treated with levity. Construction industry contributes to sustainable economic growth and development of a nation (Olalokun, 1987, ogunsemi, 2004) cited in Musa et. al (2010)

According to (Technology strategy board 2008-2011) ICT is said to be the backbone of digital economy while creating wealth in firms. Participants in construction industry are managers who engage in activities such as planning, forecasting, monitoring, influencing, co-ordinating, etc. While performing their day to day activities there is need for accurate, sufficient, timely and relevant information on the past, present and anticipated future activities. These tasks become easier with ICT. ICT impacts on professional practice by making jobs easier for the professions, facilitating decision making and saving operating costs (Oladapo, 2006). The use of computer based processes such as computer aided design (CAD) spreadsheets, word processing and accounting has become normal practice within the construction industry. Cost, efficiency and time considerations have driven the change (Rowlinson & Croker, 2006).

The benefits associated with the use of information technology (IT) in an organizational environment are well established (Mitra, 2005; Bharadwaj, 2000). *IT enables organizations to manage organizational knowledge, to improve decision making, and to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of many crucial organizational processes (Garud & Kumaraswamy, 2005; Barua, Konana, Whinstone, & Yin, 2004; Todd & Benbasat, 2000)* as reiterated in Thouin (2007). It is in view of this that it is necessary to examine the level of these benefits in Nigeria and Malaysia.

ICT tools in the European construction industry (InPro internal report D2, 2007), revealed a lack of use of ICT tools in construction projects, especially in the early stages, despite several good alternatives available as expressed in Dehlin & Olofson (2008).

This study is imperative due to the importance of the construction to the Nigerian economy and the relevance of the ICT to the sector. The Nigeria construction industry is beset with myriad of problems instigating studies by many scholars. However, these studies mostly address materials used, workmanship, supervision and design with little or no mention given to IT benefits.

Tele: E-mail addresses: yusuf_gambo@yahoo.com

^{© 2012} Elixir All rights reserved

The aim of this study is to compare IT benefits in the Nigerian construction Industry with her Malaysian counterpart with a view to identifying IT benefits in both countries and draw lessons from the comparisons of the two countries for more efficient construction industry now and in the nearest future

Case Studies

The case studies are Malaysia and Nigeria.Malaysia covers a total land area of 329,847 Sq. Km. comprising of 13 states and 3 federal territories. It is bordered on land by Thailand, Indonesia and Bruner while sharing maritime borders with Singapore, Vietnam and the Philippines. Its capital city is Kuala Lumpur.

As at 2010 its population exceeded 27.5 Million. Malaysia was restructured in 1948 and later became independent in August 31, 1957 but later united with Sabah, Sarawak and Singapore on 16th September, 1963. Malaysia since independence had the best economic record in Asia.

The country's infrastructure is one of the most developed in Asia. It has 118 Airports which consist seven International Airports. Malaysia road network covers 98,721 Sq. Km. Energy production is based on oil and natural gas. (Wikipedia, 2012)

The Federal Republic of Nigeria lies between latitudes 4^{0} & 14^{0} N and longitudes 2^{0} and 15^{0} East. It comprises of 36 states with its Federal Capital Territory at Abuja. Nigeria is bounded by the Republic of Benin in the West, Chad and Cameroun in the East, Niger, in its North and along the South Coast by Gulf of Guinea and the Atlantic Ocean. It has three major languages i.e. Yoruba, Igbo and Hausa. It has 3 major religions i.e. Christianity, Islam and the traditional religion. Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa and 7th most populous country in the World. She is a member of the Commonwealth of Nations. It occupies an area of 923,768km² and an estimated population of 167 Million. The country's per capital Income is 2589 Dollars.

Nigeria is also a member of the Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Country (OPEC) while it is ranked the world 37th in term of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). It is 12th largest producer of petroleum and has one of the fastest growing communications markets in the World (Wikipedia, 2012)

Literature Review

ICT have been recognized as an emerging technology because of its robustness and has the capacity to deal with a multitude of communication problems between contractors and its subcontractors (Maqsood,Walker, & Finegan, 2003). This has an edge over conventional method of disseminating information. The later wastes time because information takes days to reach its destination. This is costly because it delays the delivery time of the project and sometimes may have financial implication in construction.

ICT has in the recent years provided a platform for easy and quick survey and retrieval of information. Zarli, Rezgui and Kazi, 2002 In Karzi, Zali & Rezgur (2003) summarised the areas where studies have been embarked in UK in construction industries. Their studies have shown that the UK construction industry is already taking full advantage of technology although they discovered the need for improved trust and social cohesion between stakeholders in the built environment and attitudinal problems and perceptions of the industry towards ICT. Also their study identified the need for improved reliability and security of data and information exchange. Talja (2005) emphasised the essence of computer literacy. Soh and Markus (1995) and Kholi and Sherer 2002 as cited in Sorrentino(2004) discussed efficiency and organisational performance and their relationship with ICT. However, as revealed by literatures many studies have been done on IT although each of them addressed specific areas such as e tendering,(Akintola & Oyediran, 2011). There is still low ICT uptake and ICT based developments in Europe (E Business Watch 2005) reported in Malaysia Business Times via Thomson Dialog News Edge (2007). The study observed that basic internet was established in the construction industry whereas ICT infrastructure is poorly developed particularly for SMEs in the construction industry. If UK has been benefitting in ICT there is no reason why Nigeria and Malaysia who are former colonies of Britain cannot tow the same line of their master.

Soh and Markus 1995 as contained in Sorrentino (2004) while explaining the relationship between organisation and performance and IT is of the opinion that the demand for hardware, software and IT services is a feature of every organisation and maintains that only a part of the investment is transformed into assets. They argued that only appropriate use of IT assets produce positive organisational impacts

ICT has been accepted to international communities and has also begun to gain ground in developing countries.

It does so by:

• Promoting the use and efficient deployment of ICTs to contribute to a highly competitive European Construction networked economy.

• Reinforcing collaboration with Standardisation bodies (a CEN/ISSS rolling workshop on e-Construction has already been instantiated) to ensure coherence in European technology deployment and in creation of a new open framework for fair competition and fast innovation (Zarli, et al., 2002).

Mangini & Pelli (2003) summarised the benefits of Automatic Engineering Service Provider which includes

1. An information level where the user provides to the system the information about the service he needs as well as the data available to him.

2. An "on-line" service level where the user starts a free of charge interaction with the system obtaining some preliminary results that he can use as precious feed-back in order to decide whether he actually needs the "off line" specialized service.

3. An "offline" service level where the consulting service is carried out at the central Design Office following a negotiation conducted between the client and the consulting company by means of a negotiation tool which is part of AESP.

According to Andresen et al., (2000), Suwardy et al., (2003), Love et al., (2004) and Eadie et al.(2010), cited in Hassan & Abu (2011) construction companies have enjoyed benefits such as improved management, competitive advantage, improved business success criteria, improved information quality, improved organization growth, improved work relationships and reduced work time. However, these are not so with some small construction companies which are yet to realise some of the benefits.

Other studies such as Brewer, Gajendran & Chen, nd, Oladapo, 2006; Oyediran and Akintol, 2011 identified the following barriers.

- Legal ramifications of electronic communications
- Misunderstanding of security capabilities
- Ownership of intellectual property

• Capturing/ management of the knowledge generated during the project

• Matters related to trust and the organisation

- Technological capabilities of organisations and the
- Organisation area of concentration within the supply chain.

Research Method

The study compares Malaysia with Nigeria because of the similarities between the two countries that were former colonies of Britain and both now belong to the Commonwealth of Nations. The two countries are regarded as developing nations and had their independence about the same time. Malaysia's independence was in 1957 and Nigeria in 1960.

This study relied on a previous work by Abdul Kareem and Abu Bakar (2011) for Malaysia from where information was distilled for the comparison. It talked about Grade 7 (G7) construction companies. According to the paper G7 company is a company of at least two persons.

For Nigeria, a self administered questionnaire survey that contains common and related set of questions with some additional questions adapted to the Nigerian environment. The questionnaire adapted from several works such as Oyediran & Akintola (2011) and Oladapo (2006).

The choice of Lagos is based on the fact that it accounts for 60% of professional clients that patronise construction industry in Nigeria (Ajanlekoko, 2001) as contained in Wahab & Lawal (2011). The questionnaires were divided into four sections. Section A Background information of the respondents firms/ individuals. Section B, IT Benefits and Section C is on Level of IT Facilities and Section D is on Factors affecting IT Facilities procurement. The questionnaire is attached as appendix.

The research used the SPSS software 17.0 to analyse the questionnaire using a combination of descriptive statistics (DS), Factor analysis and a One Way Analysis of Variance The Descriptive analysis is used to show the mean values for results from likert scales while Factor analysis showed the reduction of factors by combining the data.

The ANOVA tests compared the mean values of the combined factors of IT benefits with reference to the demographic data of both the respondents and companies. The final result is then compared with the Malaysian experience to make our deduction.

Results and Discussions

From Table 1a Amount of Investment in IT in Malaysia showed Respondents investing 7(7%) of their total investment falling within RM < 2500, while 47 (46%) companies were those who invested RM 2500-12,500.

There is thus a clear indication of low investment in IT. The position in Nigeria in Table 2b seems similar because the percentage of IT Investment in Nigeria shows the highest investors falling within N132, 000.00-N675, 500.00 i.e. 17(35%). The situation therefore is same as in Malaysia.

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| RM < 2,500 | 7 | 6.8 |
| RM 2,500 – 12,500 | 47 | 45.6 |
| RM 15,000- 25,000 | 14 | 13.6 |
| RM 27,500 -50,000 | 10 | 9.7 |
| >RM 50,000 | 13 | 12.6 |
| Undefined | 12 | 11.7 |

Source: Abu Kareem & Abu Bakar, 2011

Table 2 shows the Mean Value of IT benefits in Nigeria and Malaysia respectively. Where Improving Information quality takes the highest mean score of 4.3404 in Nigeria, improving business criteria ranks 1st in Malaysia with a score of 4.09, Reducing work time is next in ranking in Nigeria while Improving Management assumes the second position in Malaysia. Where increasing work flexibility takes the 8^{th} position in Nigeria. There is a slight difference in Malaysia where increasing responding rate and increasing market share are both in the 8^{th} position. At the 12^{th} position is Increasing Market share in Nigeria. However, cost reduction occupies the last ranking at the 11^{th} position.

| Table | 1b Nigeria | |
|-------|------------|--|
|-------|------------|--|

| Description | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Amount of Investment | | |
| Below N132,000 = $RM < 2,500$ | 5 | 10.4 |
| N132,000-N657,5000 = RM 2,500-12,500 | 17 | 35.4 |
| N658,000-N1,315,000= RM 15,000-25,000 | 7 | 14.6 |
| N1,316,000-N2,630,000 = RM 27,500-50,000 | 4 | 8.3 |
| Above N2,630,000 = > RM 50,000 | 3 | 6.3 |
| Undefined | 12 | 25.0 |
| Total | 48 | 100 |

Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2012

In Table 3a &3b, Table 4a &4b; and Table 5a the significance level was set at p < 0.05 with ANOVA Tests conducted for a series of ANOVA tests to investigate the hypothesis there were no significant differences between the mean values of the scores for improving management, increasing flexibility and increasing profitability with regard to respondents' background.

Based on Pallant (2001) in Abdul Kareem and Abu Bakar (2011) "the variances are homogeneous if the significant values of Levene's test are greater than 0.05.

In Malaysia the result showed the respective tests revealed no significant differences. The same variables revealed no significant differences in Nigeria except for respondent education where the Variance Test for IT on improving management and increasing profitability with respect to respondent education showed a result of p=0.018 respectively meaning it is significant.

For overall IT Benefits in Table 5b, a significant difference was found for construction year of experience and IT investment. People with higher level of experience attributed high regards to overall IT benefits. With increase in IT investment, the Overall level of IT benefit increases. For other background information, no significant difference is found for overall IT benefits.

As revealed by Yussuf and Osman(2008) cited in Abdul Kareem et al (2011) local construction industry in Malaysia was impeded by insufficient bandwidth, lack of training and unavailability of expert users. Table 12 reveals the position in Nigeria. 14 out of the 15 items showed the respondents' mean scores of above average as responsible factors affecting IT Facilities procurement.

The issue of cost and erratic power supply are on the lead with 4.851 and 3.9149 respectively while fear of ICT making the professional redundant is at the least position with a mean of 2.5745. Inadequate ICT legislation was unanimously seen as not a responsible factor affecting IT facilities procurement. **Discussion**

The results of both countries revealed that construction companies in Malaysia and Nigeria respectively have enjoyed IT benefits in their operations although it is not all the benefits that have been realised. This has been summarised into four by Abdul Kareem et al (2011) in their conceptual framework. It includes IT Project selection, IT Project Planning, IT Implementation and IT Evaluation.

| Description | Mean | Ranking | Description | Mean | Ranking |
|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|
| | Nigeria | Nigeria | L | Malaysia | Malaysia |
| Improving information quality | 4.3404 | 1 st | Improving business | 4.09 | 1 st |
| | | | criteria | | |
| Reducing work time | 4.2708 | 2^{nd} | Improving Mgt. | 4.08 | 2 nd |
| Improving management | 4.2500 | 3 rd | Competitive | 3.97 | 3 rd |
| | | .4 | advantage | | .4 |
| Client satisfaction | 4.2292 | 4 th | Improving | 3.94 | 4 th |
| | | | Information | | |
| | | | Technology | | |
| Improving business success criteria | 4.2128 | 5 th | Improving Growth | 3.88 | 5 th |
| Improving organizational growth | 4.1957 | 6 th | Improving Work | 3.64 | 6 th |
| | | | Relationship | | |
| Increasing response rate | 4.1702 | 7 th | Increasing Work | 3.49 | |
| | | | Flexibility | | 7 th |
| Increasing work flexibility | 4.1304 | 8 th | Increasing | 3.42 | 8 th |
| | | | Responding rate | | |
| Improving work relation | 4.0652 | 9 th | Increasing Mkt. Share | 3.42 | 8 th |
| Cost reduction | 4.0208 | 10 th | Reducing Work time | 3.15 | 9 th |
| Competitive advantage | 3.9149 | 11^{th} | Client Satisfaction | 3.13 | 10 th |
| Increase market share | 3.6905 | 12 th | Cost Reduction | 2.96 | 11 th |

Table 2 Mean Value of IT Benefits for Responded Companies (Malaysia & Nigeria)

Source : Abu Kareem & Abu Bakar, 2011 & Authors' Field Survey, 2012

Table 3a Results of ANOVA Tests for Benefit of Improving Management with Regard to Demography (Malaysia)

| Demography | | Ν | Mean | Std. Deviation | Results of ANOVA |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | IT User Engineer Managing Director | 27 16 31 | 30.37 33.62 29.94 | 6.17 3.98 6.96 | F(53.11, 35.06)=1.51 |
| Respondent Position | Project Manager Total | 29 103 | 30.45 30.77 | 5.32 5.97 | P=0.22 |
| | Diploma Bachelor | 29 55 | 29.10 31.55 | 8.23 4.91 | F(57.57, |
| Respondent Education | Postgraduate Total | 19 103 | 31.05 30.77 | 4.20 5.97 | 35.15)=1.64 P=0.20 |
| Respondent Experience | < 5 5-10 >10 Total | 20 31 52 103 | 29.10 31.55 31.05 30.77 | 3.43 6.22 6.49 5.97 | F(48.83, 35.33)=1.38 P=0.26 |
| Company Category | Mechanical and Electrical Building | 9 | 27.67 | 9.58 | |
| | Civil Engineering Building & Civil Engineering Building, Civil Engineering and Mechanical and Electrical Total | 25 17 42 10 | 31.00 31.71 30.43 32.80 | 3.81 6.48 6.05 5.03 | F(37.25, 35.52)=1.05 P=0.39 |
| | Central Northern East Coast | 10 | 52.80 | 5.05 | |
| Company Region | Southern Bornco | 103 | 30.77 | 5.97 | |
| | Total | 45 25 11 11 11 | 30.09 32.12 29.73 31.18 31.09 | 7.52 5.09 3.90 2.60 4.70 | F(20.35,36.21)=0.56 P=0.69 |
| | | 103 | 30.77 | 5.97 | |

Source: Abu Kareem & Abu Bakar, 2011

Table 3b Variance test for IT Benefit, Improving Management with Regard to Background Information (Nigeria)

| Background Information | | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Results of ANOVA |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Respondent position | Engineer | 10 | 4.13 | 0.549 | F(3, 40) = 0.706; p = 0.554 |
| | Managing director | 10 | 3.87 | 0.422 | |
| | Project manager | 13 | 4.13 | 0.617 | |
| | Any other | 11 | 4.18 | 0.545 | |
| | Total | 44 | 4.08 | 0.539 | |
| Respondent Education | Bachelor | 27 | 4.21 | 0.563 | t(45) = 2.457, p = 0.018 |
| | Postgraduate | 20 | 3.83 | 0.452 | |
| | | | | | |
| Respondent Experience | < 5 years | 12 | 3.81 | 0.577 | F(2, 43) = 2.542; p = 0.090 |
| | 5-10 years | 20 | 4.03 | 0.561 | |
| | > 10 years | 15 | 4.27 | 0.440 | |
| | Total | 47 | 4.05 | 0.547 | |
| Company Category | Mechanical & Electrical | 2 | 4.00 | 0.000 | F(3, 41) = 2.299; p = 0.092 |
| | Building | 9 | 4.04 | 0.539 | |
| | Building & Civil Engineering | 26 | 3.94 | 0.581 | |
| | Building, Civil, | 8 | 4.50 | 0.356 | |
| | Mechanical & Electrical | 1.7 | 1.0.5 | 0.774 | |
| | Total | 45 | 4.06 | 0.556 | |
| IT Investment | Below N132,000 | 5 | 3.80 | 0.606 | |
| | N132,000-N657,500 | 17 | 3.92 | 0.547 | F(4, 30) = 0.775; p = 0.550 |
| | N658,000-N1,315,000 | 7 | 4.14 | 0.573 | |
| | N1,316,000-N2,630,000 | 3 | 4.33 | 0.333 | |
| | Above N2,630,000 | 3 | 4.22 | 0.509 | |
| | Total | 35 | 4.01 | 0.539 | |

Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2012

Table 4a Results of ANOVA Tests for Benefit of Increasing Profit with Regard to Demography (Malaysia)

| Demography | | Ν | Mean | Std. Deviation | Results of ANOVA |
|----------------------|---|-----|------|----------------|---------------------|
| | IT User | 27 | 6.81 | 1.94 | |
| | Engineer | 16 | 7.25 | 1.73 | F(1.02, 4.10)=0.25 |
| | Managing Director | 31 | 6.74 | 2.11 | P=0.86 |
| Respondent | Project Manager | 29 | 6.97 | 2.15 | |
| Position | Total | 103 | 6.90 | 2.00 | |
| | Diploma | 29 | 6.55 | 2.43 | F(2.99, 4.03)=0.74 |
| | Bachelor | 55 | 7.11 | 1.81 | P=0.48 |
| Respondent | Post graduated | 19 | 6.84 | 1.83 | |
| Education | Total | 103 | 6.90 | 2.00 | |
| | | | | | F(1.26,4.07)=0.31 |
| | < 5 | 20 | 7.10 | 1.89 | P=0.73 |
| Respondent | 5-10 | 31 | 7.03 | 2.06 | |
| Experience | >10 | 52 | 6.75 | 2.04 | |
| | Total | 103 | 6.90 | 2.00 | |
| | Mechanical and Electrical | 9 | 6.89 | 1.83 | |
| Company Category | Building | | | | F(5.83,3.94)=1.48 |
| | Civil Engineering | 25 | 6.20 | 1.89 | P=0.21 |
| | Building & Civil Engineering | 17 | 7.06 | 2.28 | |
| | Building, Civil Engineering and Mechanical and | 42 | 7.02 | 2.05 | |
| | Electrical Total | 10 | 7.90 | 1.37 | |
| | Central | | | | |
| | Northern | 103 | 6.90 | 2.00 | F(4.20, 4.00)=1.05 |
| | East Coast | | | | P=0.39 |
| | Southern | 45 | 6.62 | 2.28 | |
| Company Region | Bornco | 25 | 7.20 | 1.76 | |
| | Total | 11 | 7.09 | 1.81 | |
| | | 11 | 7.73 | 1.68 | |
| | | 11 | 6.36 | 1.80 | |
| Cource: Abu Kareem & | | 103 | 6.90 | 2.00 | |

Source: Abu Kareem & Abu Bakar, 2011

| Table 4bVariance test for IT Benefit, Improving Profitability with Regard to Background Information (Nigeria) |
|---|
| |

| Background Information | | Ν | Mean | Std. | Results of |
|---------------------------|---|----|------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Respondent Position | Engineer | 10 | 4.22 | Deviation 0.520 | ANOVA F(3, 39) = 0.103; p |
| Respondent Position | 6 | - | | 0.320 | F(5, 59) = 0.105; p = 0.958 |
| | Managing director | 10 | 4.32 | | = 0.958 |
| | Project manager | 13 | 4.25 | 0.612 | - |
| | Any other | 10 | 4.20 | 0.471 | - |
| | Total | 43 | 4.25 | 0.501 | |
| Respondent Education | Bachelor | 26 | 4.27 | 0.452 | t(44) = 0.95; p = |
| | Post graduate | 20 | 4.15 | 0.565 | 0.431 |
| Respondent Experience | <5 years | 11 | 3.85 | 0.594 | F(2, 43) = 4.393; p |
| | 5-10 years | 20 | 4.31 | 0.456 | = 0.018 |
| | >10 years | 15 | 4.36 | 0.372 | |
| | Total | 46 | 4.22 | 0.502 | |
| Company Category | Mechanical & Electrical | 2 | 4.10 | 0.141 | F(3, 40) = 1.194; p |
| | Building | 9 | 4.36 | 0.517 | = 0.324 |
| | Building & Civil Engineering | 25 | 4.14 | 0.519 | |
| | Building, Civil, Mechanical & Electrical | 8 | 4.48 | 0.399 | |
| | Total | 44 | 4.24 | 0.497 | |
| IT Investment | Below N132,000 | 5 | 3.72 | 0.729 | |
| | N132,000-N657,500 | 16 | 4.34 | 0.299 | F(4, 29) = 2.365; p |
| | N658,000-N1,315,000 | 7 | 4.37 | 0.509 | = 0.076 |
| | N1,316,000-N2,630,000 | 3 | 4.13 | 0.115 | |
| | Above N2,630,000 | 3 | 4.47 | 0.503 | |
| | Total | 34 | 4.25 | 0.472 | |

Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2012

| Table 5a Results of ANOVA | Tests for Averall IT Reputits wi | ith Regard to Demography (Malaysia) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Table Sa Results of ANOVA | I resis for Overall II Dellettis wi | in Regard to Demography (Malaysia) |

| Demography | | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Results of ANOVA |
|-----------------------|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | IT User | 27 | 43.04 | 7.37 | ANOVA |
| | Engineer | 16 | 47.50 | 5.14 | |
| | Managing Director | 31 | 42.42 | 9.59 | F(97.89, 55.02) = 1.78 |
| Respondent | Project Manager | 29 | 43.79 | 5.61 | P= 0.16 |
| Position | Total | 103 | 43.76 | 7.50 | |
| | | | | | |
| | Diploma | 29 | 41.21 | 10.74 | F(136.70, 54.68) = 2.50 |
| | Bachelor | 55 | 44.98 | 5.34 | P= 0.09 |
| Respondent Education | Post graduated | 19 | 44.11 | 6.24 | |
| | Total | 103 | 43.76 | 7.50 | F(45.60, 56.50 = 0.81) |
| | | | | | P= 0.45 |
| Respondent Experience | < 5 | 20 | 45.65 | 5.15 | |
| | 5-10 | 31 | 43.52 | 6.93 | |
| | >10 | 52 | 43.17 | 8.52 | |
| | Total | 103 | 43.76 | 7.50 | |
| Company Category | | | 10.00 | 10.01 | |
| | Mechanical and Electrical | 9 | 40.33 | 10.01 | F(40.00 56.54) 0.00 |
| | Building | 25 | 44.00 | 1.26 | F(49.92, 56.54) = 0.88 |
| | Civil Engineering | 25 17 | 44.00 44.71 | 4.36 6.99 | P= 0.48 |
| | Building & Civil Engineering Building, Civil Engineering and Mechanical and Electrical | 42 | 44.71 | 8.80 | |
| | Total | 42 | 45.55 | 0.00 | |
| | Total | 10 | 46.40 | 6.00 | |
| | Central | 10 | +0.+0 | 0.00 | |
| | Northern | | | | |
| | East Coast | | | | |
| | Southern | 103 | 43.76 | 7.50 | F(51.59, 56.48) = 0.91 |
| Company Region | Bornco | | | | P = 0.46 |
| | Total | 45 | 42.69 | 9.49 | |
| | | 25 | 45.80 | 5.60 | |
| | | 11 | 42.45 | 2.73 | |
| | | 11 | 45.36 | 3.88 | |
| | | 11 | 43.18 | 7.72 | |
| | | 103 | 43.76 | 7.50 | |
| | Abu Bakar 2011 | | | | |

Source: Abu Kareem & Abu Bakar, 2011

| Background Information | e test for Overall II belieftt with | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Results of ANOVA |
|------------------------|--|----|-------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 | Fasimen | 8 | | | |
| Respondent position | Engineer | - | 16.44 | 1.831 | F(3, 35) = 0.188; p = 0.904 |
| | Managing director | 10 | 16.29 | 1.627 | |
| | Project manager | 12 | 16.66 | 1.649 | |
| | Any other | 9 | 16.81 | 1.486 | |
| | Total | 39 | 16.55 | 1.593 | |
| Respondent Education | Bachelor | 22 | 16.55 | 1.732 | t(39) = 0.652, p = 0.518 |
| | Post graduate | 19 | 16.18 | 1.810 | _ |
| | | | | | |
| Respondent Experience | < 5 years | 11 | 15.32 | 1.511 | F(2, 38) = 3.214; p = 0.051 |
| | 5-10 years | 15 | 16.57 | 1.904 | |
| | >10 years | 15 | 16.96 | 1.509 | |
| | Total | 41 | 16.38 | 1.756 | |
| Company Category | Mechanical & Electrical | 2 | 16.10 | 0.141 | F(3, 36) = 1.736; p = 0.177 |
| | Building | 8 | 16.66 | 1.880 | |
| | Building & Civil Engineering | 22 | 16.08 | 1.475 | |
| | Building, Civil, Mechanical & Electrical | 8 | 17.54 | 1.660 | |
| | Total | 40 | 16.49 | 1.623 | |
| IT Investment | Below N132,000 | 5 | 15.42 | 1.161 | |
| | N132,000-N657,500 | 15 | 16.18 | 1.322 | F(4, 24) = 3.655; p = 0.018 |
| | N658,000-N1,315,000 | 5 | 17.42 | 1.193 |] |
| | N1,316,000-N2,630,000 | 3 | 17.13 | 0.996 | |
| | Above N2,630,000 | 1 | 19.67 | - | |
| | Total | 29 | 16.48 | 1.469 | |

Table 5b: Variance test for Overall IT Benefit with Regard to Background Information (Nigeria)

Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2012

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | Ν | Std | Mean |
| concerns over cost of ICT procurement | | 1.03902 | 4.0851 |
| erratic power supply | | 1.31595 | 3.9149 |
| high cost of ICT maintenance | | 1.25898 | 3.7174 |
| level of ICT literacy knowledge of staff | | 1.16718 | 3.5652 |
| uncertainty over business benefits | | 1.34560 | 3.4783 |
| concern over ICT security | | 1.14904 | 3.4565 |
| high cost of engaging competent staff | | 1.09831 | 3.4255 |
| job size/fees | | 1.25744 | 3.4130 |
| lack of internal IT experts | | 1.14733 | 3.3404 |
| concern over attitudes of staff | | 1.09747 | 3.2766 |
| fear of virus attacks | | 1.31012 | 3.1957 |
| low return on ICT investment | | 1.38731 | 3.1739 |
| scarcity of professional software expert | | 1.31032 | 3.0213 |
| fear of ICT making professional redundant | | 1.29790 | 2.5745 |
| Inadequate ICT legislations | | 1.26066 | 2.3830 |

Table 6 Factors Affecting IT Facilities Procurement (Nigeria)

Source: Authors' Field Survey, 2012

The cluster of the benefits in Malaysia include Client Satisfaction, Cost reduction, Improving Management, Competitive Advantages, Improving Business Success Criteria, Increasing Response Rate, Increasing Work flexibility, Increasing Market Share, Improving Information Quality, Improving Organisational Growth, Improving Work Relation and Reducing Working Time. In Nigeria, For Overall IT Benefits in Table 7b earlier shown above, a significant difference was found for construction year of experience and IT investment unlike in Malaysia where the result is contrary i.e. People with higher level of experience attributed high regards to overall IT benefits. Oladapo(2006) in a previous study revealed the benefits to include makes professional job easier, facilitates decision making, saves operating costs, improves public image of users, gives users competitive advantage, enhances productivity, saves time and improves document presentation. Thus, our study showed that all the benefits have not been realised. This agrees with an earlier study such as Oyediran & Akintola (2011) where it was reiterated that there is lack of basic hardware and software facilities, low proficiency bv

professionals in the use of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ICT}}$, average knowledge about electronic tendering etc .

The study also exhumed the fact that there is deficiency in IT procurement in Nigeria. All the respondents affirmed this. There is lack of IT facilities i.e. they are grossly inadequate.

Conclusion/Recommendation

IT benefits in G7 Construction companies in Malaysia is at a preliminary stage (Yusuf and Osman (2008) and Yoke et al. (2002) in Abdul Kareem et al, 2011). However, it is a bit ahead of its Nigerian counterpart. As revealed, office internet is used to simplify and speed up data while phones and emails serve as connection tools between projects and offices. Blackberry is a common device for communication. The Nigerian construction team should emulate their colleagues in Malaysia with the use of sophisticated hardware to enhance communication and also imbibe the use of internet. All companies should register their staff for the VPN facilities provided by telephone service providers. Every staff member should have Modem with Laptop. In Malaysia, IT benefits were classified into three main groups i.e. increased work flexibility using software facilities like Microsoft Office, AutoCAD and Primavera. The second classification of IT benefits is increasing profitability; study showed the response of respondents as negative but rather sees IT as a tool for solving problem although it recognised that in the long run it will increase profit. The last group is that IT brings improved management process via cash flow, project flow and MS project software. The Nigerian situation confirmed the relevance of the three classifications but with an addition of Increased Market share.

In both countries investment in IT is still very low, efforts should be made to improve it. In particular, this is necessary for the Nigerian construction industry in order to increase its market share. As both share common colonial heritage, there is need for collaboration between them in construction to learn from each other.

The Malaysian study is not elaborate as per the factors affecting IT facilities procurement, but the literature revealed insufficient bandwidth, lack of training and the unavailability of expert users. Whereas, In Nigeria, our study identified 14 items with their means score ranging from 3.02 to 4.08 .These include concern over costs of ICT procurement, erratic power supply, high cost of ICT maintenance, level of ICT literacy knowledge of staff, uncertainty over business benefit, concern over ICT security, high cost of engaging competent staff, job size fees, lack of internal experts, concern over attitude of staff, fear of virus attacks, low return on ICT Investment, security of professional software expert and fear of ICT making professional redundant. Realising the situation of electricity in Nigeria and its impact on business generally which has defied solutions the Nigerian construction industry can use alternative source of energy such as inverter, solar energy etc to keep their hardware working. There is no need to exercise any fear on ICT but rather manage the situation. The issue of ICT security is a global issue, thus they only need to exercise caution during usage. Some of the ICT services such as maintenance should be outsourced to reduce costs. There is also a need for the respective professional bodies like Council of Registered Engineers (COREN), Council of Registered Builders of Nigerian (CORBON) etc to continue to educate their members on the benefits of IT in today's construction industry.

References

Bfewer, G.J, Gajendran, T. & Chen, S.E(n.d.). The use of ICT in the construction industry: Critical success factors and strategic relationships in temporary projects organisations. Construction Informatics Digital Library. http://itc.scix.net

Business Times (Nov 29, 2007). ICT to enhance productivity, efficiency in construction industry. Retrieved from on 14/12/2011 www.google.com.

Dehlin, S. & Olofson, T.(2008). An evaluation model for ICT investments in construction projects. *ITCON*, 3, 344-361.

Farag, H.G. & Khalim, A.R. & Amiruddin, I. (2009). Usage of Information technology in construction firms: Malaysia construction industry. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 28(3), 412-421. Hassan, I. A. K & Abu, H.A.B (2011). Identifying it benefits for Malaysian construction companies. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction* (ITcon), 16, 477-492

http:// www.itcon.org/2011/28.

Mangini, M. & Pelli, F. (2003). E business scheme for engineering consultant. *ITcon*, (8), 309-318

Maqsood, T.,Walker, D.H.T., Finegan, A.D (2003). Investigating the role of ICT in improving productivity in construction supply chains in Australia construction industry. Second International Conference on Construction in the 21st Century (CITC 11) "Sustainability and Innovation in Management and Technology" 10-12 Dec, 2003 HongKong

Nubi, T. O (2007). Secondary mortgage market in Nigeria: The old is dead; is the new ready to be born. In Nubi, T.O, Omirin, M.M & Afolayan, A.S. (Eds). *Private Sector Driven Housing Delivery, Issues, Challenges and Prospects,* 196-221.

Oladapo, A. A. (2006). The impact of ICT on professional practice in the Nigerian construction industry. *The Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 24(2), 1-19.

Oyebisi, T. O & Babalola, M.O. & Musa, N.A. (2010). A study of the impact of information and communications technology (ICT) on the quality of quantity surveying services in Nigeria. *EJISDC*, 42(7), 1-9

Oyediran, O. S. Akintola, A. A. (2011). A survey of the state of the art of e-tendering in Nigeria, *Journal of Information Technology in Construction,. 16, 557-576, www.itcon.org/2011/32*

Rowlinson, S. & Croker, N. (2006). IT sophistication, performance and progress towards formal electronic communication in the Hong Kong construction industry, *Engineering*, *Construction and Architectural Management*, 13(2), 154-170.

Sorrentino, M. (2004) The implementation of ICT in public sector organisations. Analysing selection criteria for e Government projects. 17th Bled e commerce Conference, Slovenia June 21-23

South East England (2012) retrieved on 9th February, 2012 from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/

South East England, 1-17 Technology and Strategy Board. (2008). Information and communication technology.

Talja, S. (2005). The social and discursive construction of computing skills. Journal of the American Society for Information Science & Technology, 56(1), 13-22. www.wiley. Interscience.com.

Thouin, M.F.(2007). An empirical analysis of the value of IT investment outsourcing and strategy: An agile transaction cost perspective. A PhD. Dissertation in Business Administration (Management Information Systems submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Texas Tech University.

Wahab, A.B. Lawal, A.F. (2011). An evaluation of waste control measures in construction industry in Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*, 5(3), 246 - 254, March 2011ISSN 1996-0786X ©2011 Academic Journals.