Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Chemical Physics

Elixir Chem. Phys. 53 (2012) 12079-12081



Analysis of Natural Radionuclides in Soil in the Northern and central Iraq Ammar A. Battawy^{1,*}, Mohamad Suhaimi Jaafar¹, Nada F. Tawfiq², Iskandar Shahrim Mustafa¹ and Fouzey Hasan Kitah³

¹USM, School of Physics, Malaysia.

²Department of Physics, College of Science, AL-Nahrain University, Iraq.

³Ministry of Science and Technology, Iraq.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 13 September 2012; Received in revised form: 7 December 2012; Accepted: 17 December 2012;

Keywords Soil.

Radionuclide, Activity, Analysis, HPGe detector.

ABSTRACT

Naturally occurring radionuclides of terrestrial origin (also called primordial radionuclides) are present in various degrees in all media in the environment, which includes the human body itself. Their concentrations in the environment decrease continually by decaying. In this study, the specific activities of different natural radionuclides were determined by using gamma-ray spectrometry. The analysis of two radionuclides (214 Bi, 234m Pa) that belong to the 238 U series and one radionuclide 228 Ac belonging to the 232 Th series, 40 K, 226 Ra, and 137 Cs, was done for soil samples obtained from different locations in Iraq, which include factories, hospitals, and other facilities. The analysis of radionuclides measurement was done by using the high purity Germanium (HPGe) detector coupled with a computer-based high-resolution multichannel analyzer. The results showed that the average specific activities of 228 Ac in sample 6, 214 Bi, 234m Pa, and 226 Ra in sample 2, 40 K in sample 10, and 137 Cs in sample 21 were higher than other samples. However, the activity concentrations of the analyzed radionuclides were very low in most samples.

© 2012 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Humans are exposed to radiation from natural sources, such as ²³⁸U and ²³²Th series, and natural ⁴⁰K or anthropogenic sources, such as ¹³⁷Cs [1]. Assessing the effects of radiation exposure based on the knowledge of radionuclide distribution and radiation levels in the environment [2] is important for public health protection [3]. Such studies are important in analyzing the absorbed doses and health risks. Furthermore, documenting any future possible changes in the environmental radioactivity induced by humans [4], especially in Iraq, is important because Iraq has undergone series of wars since 1980. The main objective of this study is to evaluate the radioactivity levels and health risks due to terrestrial radionuclide in soil. In this study, the specific activities of the radionuclides and the γ radiation dose were measured by using the high purity Germanium (HPGe) detector.

Experimental details:

Soil samples were obtained from different locations in the middle and northern parts of Iraq. These locations have different geographies, soil types, and nature of work. The locations are shown in Table 1.

The samples were dried at 60 °C [5] and crushed into fine powder. The crushed powder was weighed and sealed in Marinelli beakers. The sealed beakers were stored in the laboratory (under natural conditions) for four weeks prior to measurement to achieve a radiological equilibrating [6]. The HPGe detector used had a relative efficiency of 40% and energy resolution of 1.745 keV at 1332 keV ⁶⁰Co peak. Before measurement, HPGe was calibrated by using ¹³⁷Cs with gamma ray energy of 662 keV and 60 Co with energy of 1173 and 1332 keV. Converting the channel number to the energy scale is possible by using these sources.

Prior to the activity measurement of the selected samples, the environmental gamma background in the laboratory was determined by using an empty Marinelli beaker under identical measurement conditions, while measuring time was adjusted to 7200 sec. The ²²⁶Ra concentration was determined from gamma lines of 295, 352, 609, and 1765 keV, which originated from ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi as the mean values of the results of these gamma lines. The concentration of ²³²Th was determined from gamma lines of 583, 911, and 2614 keV from 208 Tl and 228 Ac. The 40 K concentration was calculated from its 1460.8 keV gamma line. The specific activity concentration of the investigated samples was calculated using Equation (1) [7].

$$A = \frac{CPS \times 1000}{\varepsilon(abs) \times I_{\gamma}(abs) \times W} \qquad \dots \qquad 1$$

Where:

 $A_{\rm i}$ is the activity concentration (Bq/kg).

CPS: is the net peak count per second.

 $\mathcal{E}(abs)$: is the absolute gamma peak detection efficiency.

 I_{ν} : is the absolute gamma intensity of the corresponding gamma ray energy considered.

And *W*: is the weight of sample (g).

The distribution of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, and ⁴⁰K in soil was not uniform. Uniformity with respect to exposure to radiation was defined in terms of radium equivalent activity (Ra_{eq}) in Bq/kg to compare the specific activity of materials containing different amounts of Where:

 C_{Ra} , C_{Th} and C_{K} are the activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K in Bq/kg, respectively.

The 370 Bq/kg 226 Ra, 259 Bq/kg 232 Th, and 4810 Bq/kg 40 K were assumed to produce the same gamma dose rate [8].

External terrestrial gamma dose rate as a result of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th in soil was calculated by assuming that a secular equilibrium was established with their decay products [²³⁸U 3

series: 226 Ra (186.0 keV), 214 Pb (351.9 keV), and 214 Bi (609.2 keV); 232 Th series: 228 Ac (911 keV), 208 Tl (583.1 keV)]. The absorbed dose rate was calculated from the concentrations of the radionuclide based on the radioactivity levels of ²²⁶Ra. ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K, and ¹³⁷Cs. The gamma absorbed dose rate in air (ADRA) in nGy/h at 1 m above the ground level was calculated using Equation (3) [9].

ADRA (nGy/h) = $0.461C_{\text{Ra}} \ge 0.623C_{\text{Th}} \ge 0.0417C_{\text{K}} \ge 0.1243C_{\text{Cs}}$

Where:

 $C_{\rm Cs}$ is activity concentration (Bq/kg) of ¹³⁷Cs. The external hazard index, H_{ex} , is given as [6, 8].

 $H_{\rm ex} = C_{\rm Ra}/370 + C_{\rm Th}/259 + C_{\rm K}/4810$

..... 4 From the viewpoint of radiation protection, the value of this index must be less than unity to keep the radiation hazard insignificant. The maximum value of H_{ex} equal to unity corresponds to the upper limit of Ra_{eq} (370 Bq/kg).

To estimate annual effective doses, (a) the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose in air to effective dose and (b) the indoor occupancy factor were obtained. The annual estimated average effective dose equivalent received by a member was calculated using a conversion factor of 0.7 Sv/Gy. This factor was used to convert the absorbed dose rate to the human effective dose equivalent to an outdoor occupancy of 20% and 80% for indoors. The annual effective doses were determined as follows [6, 8, and 9]:

Indoor (Sv) = absorbed dose (Gy/h) \times 24 \times 365 \times 0.7 \times 0.8 5 Outdoor (Sv) = absorbed dose (Gy/h) \times 24 \times 365 \times 0.7 \times 0.2 6

Results and discussion:

The specific activities of radionuclides, ²²⁸Ac, ²¹⁴Bi, ^{234m}Pa, ⁴⁰K, ²²⁶Ra, and ¹³⁷Cs in the soil samples collected from the mentioned locations are given in Table 2. The range of activity

concentration in the soil samples for ²²⁸Ac varied from 5.8 to 34.5 Bq/kg, 10.9 to 431.3 Bq/kg for ²¹⁴Bi, 102.2 to 485.9 Ba/kg for 40 K, and 18.41 to 728.55 Bq/kg for 226 Ra. The minimum activity concentration for 234m Pa and 137 Cs was below the detector detection limit, whereas the maximum activity concentration was 548 and 17.7 Bq/kg, respectively. The highest ¹³⁷Cs activity levels were found in sample 2, which can be referred to as the accumulation of this radionuclide in the soil. Specific activities of the radionuclides at locations 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, and 20 were below the detection limit. Therefore, these locations were considered to be safe working environments for workers. The remaining locations were categorized as unsafe sites because of the presence of high activity concentration. Raeq, Hex, ADRA, and annual effective dose in soil samples are shown in Table 3. Samples 1, 2, and 21 had higher values than other samples.

Conclusion:

The examined sites produced a prospective radiological map for the radionuclides that existed in the investigated workplaces. This survey is important in identifying locations with high activities that can lead to health hazards to employees. The specific activities of radionuclides in soil are:

1. The specific activities of ⁴⁰K are mostly below the permissible value of 420 Bq/kg [9] except for samples 9, 10, 15, 16, 18, 19, and 21. Generally, they are close to the permissible value.

2. For 228 Ac, 137 Cs, 214 Bi, 234m Pa, and 226 Ra, the specific activities are within the permissible values except for samples 1 and 2.

3. Regions 1 and 2 have the maximum values of Ra_{eq} , H_{ex} , ADRA, and annual effective dose compared with other regions. The maximum values are attributed to the use of banned weapons in 2003.

4. Workers are recommended to take preventive measures and be subject to periodic radiological checks.

Sample Number	Location of samples					
1	The State Company of Phosphate - location 1					
2	The State Company of Phosphate - location 2					
3	The State Company of Glasses and Ceramic (Glasses) - location 1					
4	The State Company of Glasses and Ceramic (Glasses) - location 2					
5	The State Company of Glasses and Ceramic (Ceramic) - location 1					
6	The State Company of Glasses and Ceramic (Ceramic) - location 2					
7	Arab Company for Detergent Chemicals - location 1					
8	Arab Company for Detergent Chemicals - location 2					
9	The State Company of North Oil - location 1					
10	The State Company of North Oil - location 2					
11	Mishraq Sulphur State Company - location 1					
12	Mishraq Sulphur State Company - location 2					
13	The State Company of North Fertilizer Plant - location 1					
14	The State Company of North Fertilizer Plant - location 2					
15	Nuclear Medicine - Basra General Hospital					
16	Nuclear Medicine – Department of Health Mosul					
17	X-Ray - Basra General Hospital					
18	X-Ray - Al-salaam hospital					
19	X-Ray - Shirqat General Hospital					
20	CT Scanning – Tikrit General Hospital					
21	Ministry of Science and Technology - location 1					
22	Ministry of Science and Technology - location 2					

Table (1): Locations of the collected samples

Sample Code	²³² Th	²³⁸ U		⁴⁰ K	²²⁶ P a	¹³⁷ Cs		
	(^{228}Ac)	²¹⁴ Bi	^{234m} Pa	К	Ка	Ċs		
1	10.8±1.7	379.6±5.09	489.6±67.7	137±10.9	641.22±8.60	5.66 ± 0.55		
2	5.8±1.4	431.3±5.36	548±63.7	102.2±9.3	728.55±9.05	17.7±0.8		
3	15.09±1.04	17.4±0.8	BDL^*	$28\overline{4.9\pm15.48}$	29.39±1.35	5.6±0.43		
4	14.23±1.1	17.9±0.9	BDL	237.7 ± 14.19	30.24 ± 1.52	3.48 ± 0.37		
5	13.2±0.99	14.6±0.79	BDL	207.5 ± 12.5	24.66 ± 1.33	1.39±0.26		
6	34.5±1.9	$25.4{\pm}1.58$	BDL	411.8±25.2	42.91 ± 2.67	BDL		
7	8.6±1.01	15.6±0.9	BDL	259.8±15.5	26.35±1.52	2.25 ± 0.32		
8	9.3±0.84	13.01±0.7	BDL	216.5±12.9	21.98±1.18	BDL		
9	$17.35{\pm}1.18$	21.1±1.01	BDL	478.4±23.3	35.64±1.71	2.39±0.33		
10	18.4±1.39	25.6 ± 1.24	BDL	485.9±24.7	43.24±2.09	1.7 ± 0.36		
11	10.9±0.94	15.6±0.83	BDL	404.1±19.9	26.35±1.40	2.26±0.3		
12	8.4 ± 0.89	13.78±0.79	BDL	332.2±17.7	23.28 ± 1.33	1.68±0.28		
13	10.6±1.23	12.4±1	BDL	278.8±18.29	20.95 ± 1.69	2.28±0.4		
14	11.02±1.2	16.8±1.02	BDL	325.7±19.37	28.38±1.72	5.04±0.52		
15	21.5±1.4	20.67±0.77	BDL	452.4±23.15	34.92±1.30	BDL		
16	16.8±0.93	19.2±0.9	BDL	435.19±21.14	32.43±1.52	2±0.28		
17	13.14±0.99	13.57±0.76	BDL	376.6±18.8	22.92±1.28	2.97±0.33		
18	9.17±0.89	10.9±0.72	BDL	462.1±22.16	18.41±1.22	BDL		
19	9.56±1.05	14.65±0.89	BDL	453.03±23.28	24.75±1.50	BDL		
20	7.57±0.77	11.64±0.66	BDL	$383.77{\pm}18.4$	19.66±1.11	BDL		
21	24.5±1.76	21.9±1.45	BDL	429.4±19.2	36.99 ± 2.45	10.5±0.86		
22	11.7±0.85	12.4±0.81	BDL	214.3±10.7	20.95 ± 1.37	2.19±0.3		
*P.D.L. holow detection limit								

Table (2): The Specific Activities of Radionuclides in Soil samples (Bq/Kg)

^{*}**B.D.L** below detection limit.

Table (3): The radium equivalent (Ra_{eq}), external hazard index (H_{ex}), absorbed dose rate in air (ADRD) and annual effective dose in soil samples

Sample Code	Ra _{eq} (Bq/kg)	H _{ex}	ADRD (nGy/h)	Indoor (mSv)	Outdoor (mSv)
1	667.21	1.80	7993.95	39.21	9.8
2	744.71	2.01	11379.05	55.82	13.96
3	72.91	0.20	1053.4	5.17	1.29
4	68.89	0.19	529.84	2.6	0.65
5	59.52	0.16	139.78	0.69	0.17
6	123.95	0.33	BDL	BDL	BDL
7	58.65	0.16	197.2	0.97	0.24
8	51.95	0.14	BDL	BDL	BDL
9	97.29	0.26	1052.56	5.16	1.29
10	106.97	0.29	978.43	4.8	1.2
11	73.05	0.20	390.5	1.92	0.48
12	60.87	0.16	162.45	0.8	0.2
13	57.57	0.16	210.1	1.03	0.26
14	69.22	0.19	764.21	3.75	0.94
15	100.5	0.27	BDL	BDL	BDL
16	89.97	0.24	705.98	3.46	0.87
17	70.71	0.19	501.5	2.46	0.62
18	67.11	0.18	BDL	BDL	BDL
19	73.30	0.20	BDL	BDL	BDL
20	60.04	0.16	BDL	BDL	BDL
21	105.09	0.28	6083.3	29.84	7.46
22	54.18	0.15	171.2	0.84	0.21

Acknowledgement

All the investigated locations were mentioned in Table1.

References

1-Sandeep Kansal, et al., Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics, 48(2010)512-515.

2-Hasan M. Khan, et al., CHIN. PHYS. LETT., 28, 1(2011)019301.

3-Mohammad Kamal Hossain, et al., Journal of Environmental Protection, 2010, 1, 10-14.

4- Rohit Mehra, Manmohan Singh, *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 2011, 2, 960-966.

5-Shawpan Chandra Sarkar, et al., Journal of Environmental Protection, 2011, 2, 1353-1359.

6-Marlinda Musa, et al., 3rd International Symposium & Exhibition in Sustainable Energy & Environment, 1-3 June 2011, Melaka, Malays, 2011.

7-Rohit Mehra, et al., Environ Earth Sci, 59 (2010) 1159-1164.

8-M.M. Janković, et al., J. Min. Metall. Sect. B-Metall. 47 (2) B (2011) 149 – 155.

9-E. Kapdan, et al., Int. J. Environ. Res., 5(4):837-846, Autumn 2011.