



## Molecular interaction on conducting polymer (Polypyrrole) in different solutions by ultrasonic interferometer technique

M.E.Raja Saravanan\* and S.Sivakumar

Department of Physics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Salem-636 007, Tamilnadu, India.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 15 January 2013;

Received in revised form:

21 March 2013;

Accepted: 28 March 2013;

#### Keywords

Polypyrrole ,  
Conduction polymer,  
Molality(M),  
Ultrasonic velocity,  
Thermodynamic parameters.

### ABSTRACT

The conduction polymer Polypyrrole base is soluble in selected organic solvents. The Polypyrrole becomes conductive upon partial oxidation or reduction by a process commonly known as doping. The Polypyrrole base was doped by chemical method using Hydrochloric acid (HCl) as a dopant. The doped conducting polymer was dissolved in three selective organic solvents namely p-Cresol (PCL), Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and 2-Chlorophenol (2CPL). The variation in the ultrasonic velocity values measured using fixed frequency (3MHz) continuous wave ultrasonic interferometer technique with temperature and their related thermodynamic parameters have been discussed with a view to understand the molecular interactions of the conduction polymers in solutions. Hence the acoustic properties of Polypyrrole base and its doped polymer in solutions will pave the way to obtain possibly a new product ideas and benefits over the traditional polymers.

© 2013 Elixir All rights reserved.

### Introduction

In recent years the measurement of ultrasonic velocity has been adequately employed in understanding the nature of the molecular interactions of polymers in solutions. It is also noted that the ultrasonic investigations find extensive applications in identifying the characteristic aspects of molecular interactions existing in binary systems (Anwar Ali et al, 2004; Moore and Uddin, 1969). Further the ultrasonic velocity is also useful for evaluating the some important acoustic parameters Adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta$ ), Intermolecular frelength ( $L_f$ ), Relaxation time( $\tau$ ) and Specific acoustic impedance (Z). The physical nature and strength of the intermolecular interactions in solutions are also being obtained qualitatively from the ultrasonic velocity values and their variations with temperature (Palandhi and Singh, 1990).

In the recent past a large number of research and review articles have been published on conductivity of polymers (Pethric, 1973; Desai and Prasania, 1996). The unique stability of polymers has become so important on the development of conducting polymers as a class of synthetic metals with promising applications. Compared to solid polymers, solutions of synthetic polymers find widespread technological applications. In solutions, it is possible to gain the details of information about the dimensions of the solute polymer molecules. Such information cannot be ascertained with so ease from the solid polymers (Kamani and Parsania, 1996).

The present paper discusses the synthesis of Polypyrrole in base form and its behavior in solutions of different organic solvents. Since the solubulization of Polypyrrole is important for the development of many technologies involving Polypyrrole as a class of new electronic material.

### Materials and Methods

Polypyrrole is synthesized through chemical polymerization method from its monomer pyrrole following the procedure

reported in the literature (Bell and Pethrick, 1982; Kalyanasundaram, 1995)

The purity of the solvents selected was maintained by repeated distillation. The measured values of ultrasonic velocity (U), density ( $\rho$ ) and viscosity ( $\eta$ ) for the solvents(PCL, 2CPL and DMSO) are found as  $U_{2CPL}=1377.6 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ,  $\rho_{2CPL}=1.241 \text{ Kg/m}^3$  and  $\eta_{2CPL}=3.054 \text{ Nsm}^{-2}$ ;  $U_{PCL}=1441.1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ,  $\rho_{PCL}=1.016 \text{ Kg/m}^3$  and  $\eta_{PCL}=5.537 \text{ Nsm}^{-2}$  and  $U_{DMSO}=1487 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ,  $\rho_{DMSO}=1.0955 \text{ Kg/m}^3$  and  $\eta_{DMSO}=1.989 \text{ Nsm}^{-2}$  and are in agreement with the reported value (Manwar et al, 2004). The accuracy of the measurement of the ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity was within  $\pm 0.02$ ,  $\pm 0.2$  and  $\pm 0.2\%$  respectively.

The molecular weight of the doped Polypyrrole was determined using MALDI-TOF-MS technique at Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India with laser radiation of wavelength 300 nm of accuracy 0.001nm as ionizing source. The molecular weight value so determined was 3846.542. The ultrasonic velocity values were measured for the conduction polymer Polypyrrole, using ultrasonic interferometer. The sample Polypyrrole is doped with Hydrochloric acid (0.01N) as the dopant. The Mittel ultrasonic interferometer was used for the polymer in solutions with PCL, 2CPL and DMSO as the solvents to measure the ultrasonic velocity values at three different fixed temperatures along with the density and velocity values respectively. The other related acoustic parameters were also calculated by applying the following relations I-IV.

$$\beta = \frac{1}{c^2 \rho} \quad \text{----- I}$$

$$L_f = K_T \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{----- II}$$

$$\tau = \frac{4}{3} \eta \beta \quad \text{----- III}$$

$$Z = \rho c \quad \text{----- IV}$$

In equation (II),  $K_T$  is the temperature dependent constant =  $[(93.875+0.345T) \times 10^{-8}]$  where T stands for the absolute temperature. (Kannappan and Hemalatha, 2005)

### Results and Discussion

The values of ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity of all the three systems increase with increasing of concentration and decrease with increasing of temperatures. In the present investigation also the adiabatic compressibility of polymer solutions is less than that of the three solvents employed in accordance with the data found in the literatures (Moore and Uddin, 1969; Paladhi and Singh, 1990)

In the process of solvation, highly polar forces result in a localized field and an incompressible region was formed by the solvating molecules which result in lesser value of adiabatic compressibility for the polymer in solutions than solvents (Kalyanasundaram et al, 2000). Increase of ultrasonic velocity with concentration and decrease of adiabatic compressibility with concentration indicate that the molecules are forming a more tightly bound system (Ali and Nain, 1997)

The increase in velocity with concentration suggests that increase in cohesive forces due to powerful polymer-solvent interactions, i.e., intermolecular interactions. The decrease of density and viscosity with temperature supports decrease in cohesive forces and hence decrease of intermolecular frelength. Increasing thermal energy of the system causes volume expansion and hence decreases in density and viscosity while an increasing in  $L_f$  is observed.

Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ) for doped Polypyrrole has been reported in solutions at different temperatures by varying the concentration from 0.001M to 0.005M. It is found the relaxation time in all the three solvents decrease non-linearly with temperature and increase with concentration as exhibited by viscosity values as a result of the change in the thermodynamic parameters. The non-linear increase the relaxation time over a range of increase in polymer concentration suggests the existence of interaction between the polymer and solvent molecules.

The acoustic impedance of the polymer is also increases with increase of concentration in all the three system and decreases with increase of temperature. The nonlinear variation of impedance both with concentration and temperature is the indication that there is a strong interaction between solute and solvent molecules. The specific acoustic impedance is a significant factor affecting reflection and transmission of sound waves in the medium and solvent molecules.

### References

1. Ali. A and A.K.Nain. 1997. Ultrasonic Studies Of Formamide + 1,2-Ethandiol And Sodium Iodide + Formamide + 1,2-Ethandiol Mixtures At 298.15 K Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Phys.35:729-733.
2. Anwar Ali, Anil Kumar Nair and Bhajan Lal. 2008. ultrasonic study of intermolecular interactions in non-aqueous binary liquid mixtures at 30oC. J. pure Appl. Ultrasonic 26:40-48.
3. Bell. W and R.A.Pethrick. 1982. Ultrasonic investigation of linear and star shaped polybutadiene polymers in solutions of cyclohexane, hexane and ethylbenzene, polymer. *J.Polymer* 23:369.
4. Desai. J.A and P.H. Prasanina. 1996. Acoustic studies of cardo polysulfonates of 1, 1'- bis (4- hydroxy phenyl) cyclohexane with 1, 3-benzene disulfonyl chloride in different solvents at 30<sup>o</sup>. *J.polym.Mater.*, 13:79.
5. Kalyanasundaram. S, B.Sundaresan and J.Hemalatha. 2010. Solvation study of polymers by Ultrasonic method. *J. Polm. Mate.*, 17:155-163.
6. Kalyanasundaram. V, M.Stephen and A.Gopalan. 1995. Molecular studies on poly (methylmethacrylate) in chloroform. *J.Polym.Meter*.12:323.
7. Kamani. M.M. and P.H.Parsania. 1996. Ultrasonic velocity studies of chloroform and dichloro Methane solutions of cardo polysulfone of 1,1'-bis (3-methyl-4-hydroxy phenyl) Cyclohexane and 4,4'-difluorodiphenyl sulfone, *J.pure Appl.Ultrasonic*.18:46.
8. Kannappan. V and G.Hemalatha. 2005. Ultrasonic studies on the molecular interaction of 1-chlorobenzotriazole with aromatic compounds in solution *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Phys.* 43:849-853.
9. Manwar. B.G, S.H.Kavitha and P.H.Parsania. 2006. ultrasonic velocity study of poly(R,R',4,4'-cyclohexane *J.Pure and Appl. Ultrasonic*. 26 :49-57.
10. Moore. W. R and M. A. Uddin. 1969. Dilute solution properties of bisphenol A polycarbonate—I Osmotic, light scattering and viscosity measurements *Eur. Polym. J.* 5:185-193.
11. Paladhi. R and R. P. Singh. 1990. The effect of molecular weight on adiabatic compressibility and solvation number of polymer solutions *Eur. Polym. J.* 26: 441-444.
12. Pethrick. R.A. 1973. Acoustic studies of polymer solution., *J.Macromol.Chem*.91.

Table1 Acoustic parameters of polypyrrole in solutions

Temp K	Molality M	Velocity m/s (v)	Density Kg/m <sup>3</sup> (ρ)	Viscosity 10 <sup>-3</sup> Nsm <sup>-2</sup> (η)	Adiabatic compressibility 10 <sup>-10</sup> m <sup>2</sup> N <sup>-1</sup> (β)	Inter molecular Free length 10 <sup>-11</sup> m (L <sub>r</sub> )	Relaxation time 10 <sup>12</sup> Sec (τ)	Specific Acoustic Impedance 10 <sup>6</sup> Kgm <sup>-2</sup> S <sup>-1</sup> (Z)
Polypyrrole (HCl0.01N) + P-cresol								
313	0.001	1441.2	1007.25	4.4071	4.7798	4.3879	2.8087	1.4516
	0.002	1442.9	1008.56	4.5049	4.7624	4.3798	2.8605	1.4552
	0.003	1444.7	1009.31	4.5980	4.7470	4.3727	2.9103	1.4581
	0.004	1446.1	1010.62	4.6522	4.7317	4.3656	2.9351	1.4614
	0.005	1447.8	1011.37	4.7446	4.7171	4.3589	2.9841	1.4643
318	0.001	1427.1	1004.05	3.3380	4.8903	4.5085	2.1765	1.4329
	0.002	1428.5	1005.18	3.4262	4.8752	4.5020	2.2272	1.4359
	0.003	1430.2	1005.93	3.4804	4.8600	4.4945	2.2553	1.4387
	0.004	1431.7	1007.24	3.5456	4.8435	4.4873	2.2898	1.4421
	0.005	1433.6	1008.74	3.6540	4.8235	4.4780	2.3500	1.4461
323	0.001	1414.2	1001.87	2.7129	4.9907	4.6203	1.8053	1.3854
	0.002	1415.4	1002.63	2.7953	4.9785	4.6138	1.8556	1.3312
	0.003	1417.4	1003.76	2.8594	4.9589	4.6046	1.8906	1.2941
	0.004	1418.8	1005.08	2.9141	4.9426	4.5970	1.9205	1.2643
	0.005	1420.3	1006.21	2.9979	4.9266	4.5896	1.9690	1.2180
Polypyrrole (HCl 0.01N) + Dimethyl Sulfoxide								
300	0.001	1498.6	1099.31	2.4224	4.1010	4.0421	1.3082	1.6474
	0.002	1499.9	1100.62	2.4788	4.0889	4.0361	1.3348	1.6508
	0.003	1501.4	1101.74	2.5462	4.0765	4.0300	1.3670	1.6542
	0.004	1503.6	1103.05	2.5813	4.0597	4.0217	1.3801	1.6585
	0.005	1505.2	1104.74	2.6534	4.0448	4.0143	1.4135	1.6628
310	0.001	1467.3	1096.25	1.9226	4.2817	4.2009	1.0861	1.6085
	0.002	1468.5	1097.38	1.9664	4.2703	4.1953	1.1079	1.6115
	0.003	1470.1	1098.69	2.0247	4.2558	4.1882	1.1369	1.6152
	0.004	1471.7	1100.19	2.0634	4.2407	4.1807	1.1545	1.6191
	0.005	1473.2	1101.69	2.1500	4.2262	4.1736	1.1989	1.6230
320	0.001	1436.5	1091.81	1.6201	4.4700	4.3553	0.9588	1.5684
	0.002	1438.2	1093.13	1.6777	4.4556	4.3483	0.9893	1.5721
	0.003	1439.9	1094.82	1.7369	4.4405	4.3409	1.0202	1.5764
	0.004	1441.3	1096.52	1.7735	4.4272	4.3344	1.0381	1.5804
	0.005	1442.8	1098.03	1.8445	4.4127	4.3273	1.0760	1.5842
Polypyrrole ( HCl 0.01N) + 2-Chlorophenol								
303	0.001	1393.5	1234.04	4.9505	4.1731	4.1207	2.7545	1.7196
	0.002	1395.4	1235.16	5.0249	4.1579	4.1132	2.7858	1.7235
	0.003	1397.1	1236.66	5.1276	4.1428	4.1056	2.8323	1.7277
	0.004	1398.7	1237.97	5.1606	4.1290	4.0987	2.8411	1.7315
	0.005	1400.3	1239.28	5.2718	4.1152	4.0918	2.8926	1.7354
313	0.001	1357.7	1231.26	3.5807	4.4060	4.3075	2.1035	1.6717
	0.002	1359.2	1232.39	3.6605	4.3922	4.3008	2.1437	1.6750
	0.003	1360.2	1233.51	3.7072	4.3818	4.2956	2.1659	1.6778
	0.004	1361.8	1234.64	3.8089	4.3675	4.2885	2.2181	1.6813
	0.005	1363.4	1235.96	3.9099	4.3526	4.2812	2.2691	1.6851
323	0.001	1324.5	1228.30	3.0867	4.6408	4.4836	1.9099	1.6269
	0.002	1325.6	1229.44	3.1596	4.6288	4.4781	1.9501	1.6297
	0.003	1327.4	1230.57	3.2066	4.6120	4.4696	1.9719	1.6335
	0.004	1329.1	1231.89	3.2936	4.5953	4.4610	2.0180	1.6373
	0.005	1330.8	1233.58	3.3522	4.5773	4.4526	2.0459	1.6416