



Weighted drazin inverse of con-s-k-EP matrices

S.Krishnamoorthy and B.K.N.Muthugobal

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamilnadu, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 22 March 2013;

Received in revised form:
17 April 2013;

Accepted: 6 May 2013;

Keywords

Con-s-k-EP matrix,
Generalized inverse,
Weighted generalized inverse,
Drazin Inverse.

ABSTRACT

The definition of the Drazin inverse of a square matrix with complex elements is extended to Con-s-k-EP matrices by showing that for any B and W, n by n respectively, there exists a unique matrix, X, such that $(BW)^k = (BW)^{k+1} XW$ for some positive integer k, $XWBWX = X$, and $BWX = XWB$. Various expressions satisfied by B,W,X and related matrices are developed.

© 2013 Elixir All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $C_{n \times n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrix of order n. Let C_n be the space of all complex n tuples. For $A \in C_{n \times n}$. Let $\bar{A}, A^T, A^*, A^s, \bar{A}^s, A^\dagger, R(A), N(A)$ and $P(A)$ denote the conjugate transpose, conjugate transpose, secondary transpose, conjugate secondary transpose, Moore-Penrose inverse range space, null space and rank of A respectively. A solution x of the equation $AXA=A$ is called generalized inverse of A and is denoted by \bar{A} . if $A \in C_{n \times n}$ then the unique solution of the equations $AXA=A$, $XAX=X[AX]^*=AX$, $[XA]^*=XA$ [2] is called the Moore-Penrose inverse of A and is denoted by A^\dagger . A matrix A is called con-s-k-EP_r if $P(A)=r$ and $N(A)=N(A^T VK)$ (or) $R(A)=R(KVA^T)$. Throughout this paper let ' \mathcal{K} ' be the fixed product of disjoint transposition in $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and k be the associated permutation matrix.

Let us define the function $k(x) = (x_{k(1)}, x_{k(2)}, \dots, x_{k(n)})$. A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in C_{n \times n}$ is s-k-symmetric if $a_{ij} = a_{n-k(j)+1, n-k(i)+1}$ for $i, j=1, 2, \dots, N$. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be con-s-k-EP if it satisfies the condition $A_x = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^s k(x) = 0$ or equivalently $N(A) = N(A^T VK)$. In addition to that A is con-s-k-EP $\Leftrightarrow kVA$ is con-EP or AVK is con-EP and A is con-s-k-EP $\Leftrightarrow A^T$ is con-s-k-EP moreover A is said to be con-s-k-EP_r if A is con-s-k-EP and of rank r for further properties of con-s-k-EP matrixes one may refer[4].

Let A be any con-s-k-EP matrix with complex elements. Then the Moore-Penrose inverse of A is the unique matrix $X = A^\dagger$ such that

$$AXA = A, XAX = X, (AX)^H = AX, (XA)^H = XA \quad (1.1)$$

(where the superscript H denotes conjugate transpose). On the other hand, if A is square, the Drazin inverse of A is the unique matrix $X = A_d$ such that

$$A^k = A^{k+1}X \quad \text{for some positive integer } k, \quad (1.2)$$

$$X = X^2A \quad (1.3)$$

$$AX = XA \quad (1.4)$$

It is the purpose of this paper to show that a Drazin inverse can be defined for Con-s-k-EP matrices in such a way that both A^\dagger and A_d (when A is square) follow as special cases.

2. THE W- WEIGHTED DRAZIN INVERSE OF B CON-S-K-EP

Although the Drazin inverse was originally considered for elements in an associative ring [3] and Lemma 1 was established in that context [2], we use this result only for matrices and restate it accordingly have prove the result for con-s-k-EP matrix.

Lemma 1

For Con s-k-EP matrices B and W of order n by n

$$\begin{aligned} (BW)_d &= KVB^T VK \left(K VW^T VK KVB^T VK \right)_d^2 K VW^T VK \\ &= KVB^T VK \left(K VW^T VK KVB^T VK \right)_d^2 K VW^T VK \\ &= KVB^T VK \left(K VW^T B^T VK \right)_d^2 K VW^T VK \\ &= KVB^T VK \left(K VW^T B^T VK \right)_d \left(K VW^T B^T VK \right)_d K VW^T VK \\ &= KVB^T VK \left(KVB_d^T W_d^T VK \right) \left(KVB_d^T W_d^T VK \right) K VW^T VK \\ &= KVB^T \left(B_d^T W_d^T \right) \left(B_d^T W_d^T \right) W^T VK \\ &= KVB^T \left(W^T B^T \right)_d^2 W^T VK \\ (BW)_d &= KVB^T \left(W^T B^T \right)_d^2 W^T VK \end{aligned}$$

The reader can also verify Lemma 1 by taking X equal to the right member of the equation and $A = KVB^T W^T VK$ and verifying that (1.2) - (1.4) are satisfied using (1.4) rewrite (1.3) as $A_d = A_d A A A_d$. The expression in Corollary 1.1 now follow at once by induction.

Corollary 1.1

For every Positive integer P,

$$W(BW)_d^P = \left(K VW^T VK KVB^T VK \right)_d^P K VW^T VK$$

$$= \left(K V W^T B^T V K \right)_d^p K V W^T V K$$

$$= \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d^p W^T V K$$

and $B(WB)_d^p = \left(K V B^T W^T V K \right)_d^p K V B^T V K$

$$B(WB)_d^p = \left(K V B^T W^T \right)_d^p B^T V K$$

our first result, Theorem 2 is established for an arbitrary positive integer P, as will be indicated following the proof however, the general case can always be reduced to P=2 by a simple transformation.

Theorem 2

For con-s-k-EP matrices B and W, and for every positive integer p, there is a unique X such that

$$(BW)_d^p (XW) = \left(K V B^T W^T V K \right)_d^p \quad (2.1)$$

$$BWX = X K V W^T B^T V K \quad (2.2)$$

$$BW(BW)_d^p X = X \quad (2.3)$$

Also there is a unique X such that

$$XW = K V B^T W^T V K \left(K V B^T W^T V K \right)_d^p$$

$$XW = K V B^T W^T \left(B^T W^T V K \right)_d^p \quad (2.4)$$

$$XW = K V W^T B^T V K \left(K V W^T B^T V K \right)_d^p$$

$$XW = K V W^T B^T \left(W^T B^T V K \right)_d^p \quad (2.5)$$

$$XW(BW)^{p-1} X = X \quad (2.6)$$

The unique matrix X which satisfies both sets of equation is

$$X = K V B^T V K \left(K V W^T B^T V K \right)_d^p$$

$$X = K V B^T \left(W^T B^T V K \right)_d^p \quad (2.7)$$

Proof

Using (1.3) and corollary 1.1 it is easily seen that X in (2.7) satisfies (2.1) to (2.6).

To establish uniqueness, we show first that (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) and then that (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) imply (2.7).

Now, $XW = K V B^T W^T V K \left(K V B^T W^T V K \right)_d^p$

$$= K V B^T W^T V K \left(K V W_d^T B_d^T V K \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= KVB^T W^T VK \left(K V W_d^T B_d^T VK \right) \\
&= KVB^T W^T \left(W_d^T B_d^T VK \right) \\
&= KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d \\
XW &= KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d^p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now, } XW = KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d XW = KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d^p$$

by (2.3) and (2.1) thus (2.4) holds, and combines with (2.3) to give

$$XW(BW)^{p-1}X = KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T \right)_d^p (BWVK)^{p-1}X = KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d X = X$$

Thus (2.6) also holds. Finally, using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and corollary 1.1, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
WX &= K V W^T B^T W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d X = \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d W^T B^T W^T VK X \\
&= \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d W^T VK X K V W^T B^T VK = \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d W^T B^T W^T \left(B^T W^T \right)_d^p B^T VK \\
&= \left(K V W^T B^T \right) \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d^{p+1} = \left(K V W^T B^T \right) \left(K V W^T B^T \right)_d^p
\end{aligned}$$

That is (2.5). Hence (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). If (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) hold, then

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= X K V W^T \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d^{p-1} X = KVB^T W^T \left(B^T W^T \right)_d^p \left(B^T W^T VK \right)_d^{p-1} X \\
&= \left(KVB^T W^T \right)_d B^T W^T VK X = \left(KVB^T W^T \right)_d B^T W^T B^T \left(W^T B^T VK \right)_d^p \\
&= KVB^T W^T B^T \left(W^T B^T VK \right)_d^{p+1} = KVB^T \left(W^T B^T VK \right)_d^p
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.7) holds.

Observe next that if $p \geq 1$, $q \geq -1$ and $r \geq 0$ are integers such that $q + 2r + 2 = p$, and if we let

$$(WB)^q = \left(K V W^T B^T VK \right)_d \text{ when } q = -1, \text{ then } B(WB)_d^p = KVB^T \left(W^T B^T \right)^q \left[\left(\left(W^T B^T \right)^r W^T \right) \left(B^T \left(W^T B^T VK \right)^q \right) \right]_d^2$$

Consequently Considerations for X in Theorem 2 can always be reduced to the case $p=2$ if B is replaced by $B(WB)^2$ and W is replaced by $(WB)^r W$.

Corollary 2.1

The matrix $X = KVB^T \left(W^T B^T \right)_d^2 VK$ is the unique solution to the equations.

$$(BW)^k = \left(KVB^T W^T VK \right)_d^{k+1} X K V W^T VK \quad \text{For some positive integer } l \quad (2.8)$$

$$X = X K V W^T B^T W^T VK X \quad (2.9)$$

$$BWX = X K V W^T B^T VK \quad (2.10)$$

Proof

That $X = KVB^T (W^T B^T)_d^2 VK$ is a solution is apparent by noting that the relation in (2.10) and (2.2) are identical (2.9) is (2.6) when $p=2$ and with $XW = (KVB^T W^T VK)_d$ by (2.4), (2.8) is (1.2) for $A = KVB^T W^T VK$.

To show uniqueness suppose both X_1 and X_2 are solutions to (2.8) for some positive integers l_1 and l_2 respectively (2.9) and (2.10) let $\hat{l} = \max(l_1, l_2)$. Then using respected applications of Equations (2.3), (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= X_1 K V W^T B^T W^T V K X_1 = K V B^T W^T V K X_1 K V W^T V K X_1 = (K V B^T W^T V K)^2 (X_1 K V W^T V K)^2 X_1 \\ &= \dots = (K V B^T W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} = (X_1 K V W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} X_1 = (K V B^T W^T V K)^{\hat{l}+1} X_2 K V W^T V K (X_1 K V W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} X_1 \\ &= X_2 (K V W^T B^T V K)^{\hat{l}+1} K V W^T V K (X_1 K V W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} X_1 = X_2 K V W^T B^T W^T V K (B^T W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} (X_1 K V W^T V K)^{\hat{l}} X_1 \\ &= X_2 K V W^T B^T W^T V K X_1 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in a similar manner, $X_2 K V W^T B^T W^T X_1$ can be reduced to X_2 . Thus $X = KVB^T (W^T B^T)_d^2 VK$ is unique.

It should be noted in corollary 2.1 that when B is square and $W=I$. Also there is a direct Correspondence between the relation in (2.8) and (1.2), (2.9) and (1.3) when written as $X=XAX$, and (2.10) and (1.4), in which the role of W in (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) is to act as a “Sandwich” matrix so that products such as BWX and XWB can be defined. In view of the Correspondence between the defining equations for A_d and those in corollary 2.11, we define the Drazin inverse of a rectangular matrix in the following manner.

A DRAZIN INVERSE

Definition 2.11

For any matrices B and $W \in n \times n$ respectively, the matrix B is con-s-k-EP matrix and W be the toeplitz matrix $X = B(WB)_d^2$ is called the W - weight Drazin inverse of B con-s-k-EP.

References

- [1] Anna Lee, “Secondary symmetric, Secondary Skew symmetric, Secondary Orthogonal matrices” *Period. Math. Hungary* **7** (1976), 63-76.
- [2] Ben Israel, A. and Greviue, T.N.E., “Generalized Inverses, Theory and Applications” *Wiley, New York*, (1974).
- [3] Drazin, M.P., “Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semi groups” *Amer. Math.Monthly*, **65**: 506-513 (1958).
- [4] Krishnamoorthy, S., Gunasekaran, K. and Muthugobal, B.K.N., “Con-s-k-EP Matrices.” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications*, Vol. 5, No.1 (2011), 353-364.
- [5] Penrose, R., “A generalized inverse for matrices” *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **51**: 406-413 (1955).