



Technical efficiency of moringa oleifera production in Isokan local government area of Osun state, Nigeria

Azeez FA*, Famuyide OO, Nosiru MO, Agarawu S, Bello GT and Clement NA
Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, PMB 5054, Jericho Hill, Jericho Ibadan, Nigeria.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 7 January 2013;

Received in revised form:

1 July 2013;

Accepted: 16 July 2013;

Keywords

Technical Efficiency,
Moringa oleifera,
Productivity,
Resource Use.

ABSTRACT

Technical efficiency is a prerequisite for allocative or economic efficiency. Economic efficiency is achieved if the highest possible level of satisfaction is obtained from given resources. The level of technical efficiency of a particular firm is characterised by the relationship between observed production and some ideal or potential production. The **moringa** plant (**Moringa oleifera**) is known worldwide for its nutritional and medicinal benefits and industrial uses. Almost every part of the moringa plant has nutritional value. Specifically, the study estimated the profitability of moringa production in the study area, the productivity of the factors involved in moringa production as well as the technical efficiency (TE) of moringa production in the state. The area covered by this study is Isokan Local Government Area of Osun state from which six villages were randomly selected. The town and villages are Olugbin, Aiyepe, Faaru, Alapomu, Sunkade, Maun Kongo. The descriptive analysis and stochastic frontier analysis were used. Farmers can increase their efficiency level if they can source their inputs and information needed in farming directly from Osun State Agricultural Development project and if an entrepreneurial class of people are also well motivated and trained to employ an appropriate inputs use combination pattern in order to achieve the highest possible level of production from given resources which will lead to high level of efficiency.

© 2013 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Technical Efficiency

Technical efficiency means that natural resources are transformed into goods and services without waste, that producers are doing the best job possible of combining resources to make goods and services. There is no waste of material inputs. There are no workers standing idly around waiting for spare parts. The maximum amount of physical production is obtained from the given resource inputs. In essence, production is achieved at the lowest possible opportunity cost. Technical efficiency is a prerequisite for allocative or economic efficiency. Economic efficiency is achieved if the highest possible level of satisfaction is obtained from given resources. Because satisfaction is derived from consuming goods and services, economic efficiency requires the greatest possible level of production, that is, technical efficiency.

However, while technical efficiency is necessary for economic efficiency, it does not guarantee economic efficiency. While technical efficiency might be achieved in the production of purple spotted stuffed animals, allocative efficiency is not achieved if no one actually wants purple spotted stuffed animals and they remain stored in a big purple warehouse. Technical efficiency is just one component of overall economic efficiency. However, in order to be economically efficient, a firm must first be technically efficient. Profit maximization requires a firm to produce the maximum output given the level of inputs employed (i.e. be technically efficient), use the right mix of inputs in light of the relative price of each input (i.e. be input allocative efficient) and produce the right mix of outputs given the set of

prices (i.e. be output allocative efficient) Kumbhaker and Lovell (2000).

The level of technical efficiency of a particular firm is characterized by the relationship between observed production and some ideal or potential production Greene (1993). The measurement of firm specific technical efficiency is based upon deviations of observed output from the best production or efficient production frontier. If a firm's actual production point lies on the frontier it is perfectly efficient. If it lies below the frontier then it is technically inefficient, with the ratio of the actual to potential production defining the level of efficiency of the individual firm.

Farrell's (1957) definition of technical efficiency led to the development of methods for estimating the relative technical efficiencies of firms. The common feature of these estimation techniques is that information is extracted from extreme observations from a body of data to determine the best practice production frontier Lewin and Lovell (1990). From this the relative measure of technical efficiency for the individual firm can be derived. Despite this similarity the approaches for estimating technical efficiency can be generally categorised under the distinctly opposing techniques of parametric and non-parametric methods Seiford and Thrall (1990).

Stochastic estimations incorporate a measure of random error. This involves the estimation of a stochastic production frontier, where the output of a firm is a function of a set of inputs, inefficiency and random error. An often quoted disadvantage of the technique, however, is that they impose an explicit functional form and distribution assumption on the data. In contrast, the linear programming technique of data

Tele:

E-mail addresses: abdfataiaranni2002@yahoo.com

© 2013 Elixir All rights reserved

envelopment analysis (DEA) does not impose any assumptions about functional form, hence it is less prone to mis-specification. Further, DEA is a non-parametric approach so does not take into account random error. Hence, it is not subsequently subject to the problems of assuming an underlying distribution about the error term. However, since DEA cannot take account of such statistical noise, the efficiency estimates may be biased if the production process is largely characterised by stochastic elements.

Moringa oleifera

The pod is cooked as a vegetable in India and exported to many countries for Indian expatriates, fresh or canned. The root can be used as substitute for horseradish. Foliage is eaten as greens, boiled, fried, in soups or for seasoning. Dried leaf powder can be added to any kind of meal as a nutritional supplement. The seed can be roasted and eaten like a peanut. The seeds can be used as a flocculent to clarify water and as a source of a non-drying and very stable oil, known as Ben oil. This oil, which was once used for lubricating watches and other delicate machinery, is clear, sweet and odourless, almost never going rancid. It is edible and it is becoming increasingly popular in the cosmetics industry. Leaves and young branches are used as fodder. Moringa may also be used in fish and poultry feeds.

In tropical Africa, trees serve various traditional purposes such as source of food (leaves and fruits), timber, medicine, mulch, manure, firewood and livestock fodder. However, little attention has been paid to tree cultivation for livestock feeding in the region Dicko and Sikena (1992). In the 1980s the alley farming technology was introduced in Nigeria (Kang et al 1990) with focus mainly on two exotic browse species, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* Gutteridge and Shelton (1993). Unfortunately, the adoption of these species by farmers has been faced with several challenges such as pests and diseases attack and presence of anti-nutritional factors. There is therefore the need to discover and recommend novel browse species with exceptional agronomic qualities which will be readily adopted by farmers.

The multiple uses and potentials of *Moringa oleifera* have attracted the attention of researchers in recent times. Although the plant is not completely strange in West Africa, it has been grossly underexploited as it is restricted to the arid northern zone where it is used mainly as live fence and as vegetable salad. Preliminary investigation showed that the plant grows fast, it has potentially high biomass production and exceptional biochemical properties, but there has been no systematic attempt to exploit *Moringa* either in terms of its agronomic or nutritive attributes (Akinbamijo et al 2004).

Results from this study will help to assess the impact of resources already committed to moringa production and the increased output that can be generated from such resources. It is in view of this that the study examines the productivity and the technical efficiency of moringa production in Osun State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study estimated the profitability of moringa production in the study area, the productivity of the factors involved in moringa production as well as the technical efficiency (TE) of moringa production in the state.

Methodology

Area of Study

The area covered by this study is Isokan Local Government Area of Osun state from which six villages were randomly selected. The town and villages are Olugbin, Aiyeye, Faaru, Alapomu, Sunkade, Maun Kongo. Geographically, the LGA is

between Longitude 4 degrees 15' East and 4 degrees, 30 degrees East and Latitude 7 degrees 30 degrees North and & 7 degrees 38' North. The predominant ethnic group is Yoruba. It lies in derived savannah with both favourable rainfall and adequate soil large areas of the vegetation have been degraded by fire and farming into derived forest. The study area is characterized by two discrete seasons, the rainy and the dry season. The rainy season is from February to November while the dry season is from December to March. The rain forest has a characteristic bimodal distribution with peak in June and September and a period of lower precipitation in August. Also the annual rainfall is about 1130mm, which falls with 78 to 110 days each year. The major occupation of the people in the area is farming, though some of them also engage in some other minor occupations like trading, food processing, blacksmith and hunting. The rural populace in this area is mostly subsistence farmers that grow crops. The farmers who operate commercial farms grow permanent (cash) crops such as cocoa and oil-palm while food crops include maize, yam, cassava, cowpea, pepper, melon and fruits such as pawpaw, banana, orange etc. and cereals. The system of farming is mainly traditional with the farmers having very small holdings and using traditional technology (hoe and cutlasses) for cultivation. These are some of the reasons given by the farmers for their failure to use modern equipment.

Sources and Method of Data Collection

Data for this study were collected from primary source. Primary data were collected using structured questionnaires personally administered with an assisting officer. The data collected include hectare of moringa oleifera cultivated, quantity of fertilizer application of seed, quantity of pesticide applied and labour use in man day. Information on household characteristics such as farm size, educational status, farm-size and farmers experience were also collected.

Sampling Procedure

A two stage sampling procedure was adopted for this study. The first stage involves the random selection of six villages from the list of the villages in the local government area sourced from the LGA Secretariat. The second stage involves a random selection of an average of twenty farmers per village. A total of One Hundred and Twenty respondents was obtained.

Analytical Techniques and Model Specification

Descriptive analysis was used to analyse and make comparison on the socio-economic characteristics of the Combinations of both descriptive and analytical statistical tools were employed. The descriptive analysis includes mean, frequency percentages, and average efficiency while the statistical tool is stochastic frontier analysis of farmers.

Stochastic Production Frontier

This study employed a variation of the stochastic production analysis by Coelli and Battese (1996). The empirical model of stochastic production and frontier applied to the analysis of efficiency to the production system of the moringa is specified as:

$$\ln Y_{ij} = \ln B_0 + B_1 L_n X_{1ij} + B_2 L_n X_{2ij} + B_3 L_n X_3 + B_4 L_n X_4 + B_5 L_n X_5 + B_6 L_n X_6 + V_{ij} - U_{ij}$$

Where the subscripts i and j refer to the ith moringa producer and jth input respectively

Y = Total output (kg)

X₁ = farm in (ha)

X₂ = Quantity of seed in (kg)

X₃ = Amount spent on hired labour (N)

Table 1: Distribution of farmers according to their Socio- Economic Characteristics

Age	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Average efficiency level
30-49	54	45.0	1.88
50-69	55	45.8	1.85
>= 70	11	9.2	1.11
Total	120	100	
Marital status			
Married	118	98.3	0.93
Single	2	1.7	0.96
Total	120	100	
Gender			
Male	102	85.0	0.98
Female	18	15.0	0.82
Total	129	100	
Level Education			
Primary education	68	56.6	0.98
No formal education	29	24.2	0.85
Tertiary education	23	19.2	0.91
Total	120	100	
Years of farming			
< 1	67	55.8	0.92
1-2	24	20.0	0.73
3-4	17	14.2	0.83
5 +	12	1.0	0.98
Total	120	100	
Farm Size			
< 0.10 ha	25	20.8	0.93
0.11-0.30	50	41.7	0.98
0.31-0.50	30	25.0	0.93
0.51-0.80	15	12.5	0.92
Total	120	100.0	
Source of land			
Family	92	76.6	0.98
Leased	23	19	0.92
Rent	5	4.2	0.83Z
TOTAL	120	100.0	

Source: field Survey 2012

X_4 =Family labour (man day)

X_5 =Fertilizer (bags)

X_6 =Pesticide (litres)

V_{ij} are assumed to be independent and identically distributed normal random errors, having mean zero and variance ($--$). That is term V_{ij} is the symmetric component and permit random variation output due to factors outside the of the farmers like fluctuation inputs,

U_{ij} is the non-negative random variable call technical inefficiency effects associated with technical inefficiency of moringa production that is term V_{ij} takes care of the variation noticed as a result of the following. Family size, age, educational status, cooperative membership and years of experience,

It is called a one-sided component. ($U_{ij} > 0$) which reflects technical efficiency relative stochastic frontier. Thus U_{ij} equals zero for any output lying on the frontier while $U_{ij} > 0$ for any lying below the frontier, hence the *equation*. Because, the output variable in the stochastic frontier production function is output in kg. The measures of technical efficiencies of the moringa farmers, it is assumed that the inefficiencies effects are independently distributed and U_{ij} arises by truncation at zero of the normal distribution with mean.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows that the mean age of the farmers was 49.2. About 45% of the farmers were below 50 years of age. That is majority of the farmers are in the active age. The average technical efficient seem not to be different across the age groups.

Marital status is directly linked with the farmers' performance in that it affects their level of productivity. Married farmers can engage the family labour in carrying out some of the farming operation. Table 1 shows the marital status of the sampled farmers indicates that majority of the farmers are married. Infact, only 2 percent of the farmers are singles. This suggests that the farmers that are single are more technically efficient in their usage of resources than their married counterparts. This may be probably due to the fact that most married farmers engaged in more distractive family responsibilities that may lead to their technical inefficiency

The table also revealed that 15% of farmers were females while the remaining 85% were male. This means that most of the moringa farm owner were male and this may be due to the fact that women were generally treated as part of the labour available to the head of the household at a specify time such as during harvesting and processing. The result also revealed that

male was efficient in input combination than female farmer in moringa production.

The table revealed that moringa farmers that had primary education were more technically efficient in moringa production than those with no formal education.

Farming experience can be said to measure the number of years of active participation in the cultivation of moringa with a view to determining how such experience has contributed to farmers' productivity in terms of yield and input use. Through experience, skills are developed. It was observed in the study area that more experienced farmers are better managers of farm resources than the less experienced ones. 55.8% of respondents have less than 1 year of farming experience in moringa production and they have higher technical efficiency than those farmers between 1 – 4 years of experience in moringa production as shown in table 1.

It was also revealed that about 41.7% of the farmers had their farm size between 0.11 – 0.30 and also have higher technical efficiency in moringa production.

It can be observed that majority of the farmers (76.6%) obtain their land through family inheritance and also having higher technical efficiency than other sources of land for production. This indicates that communal land tenure system is still operating in the area and among the problems associated with this system is that individual farmers have small fragmented farm holding which explains while they have small farm size as revealed in table 1.

Table 2: Result of the Determinant of Efficiency

	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Value
Constant	0.8952	0.0232	38.55
Farm size	0.0282	0.0246	1.44
Age	-0.00004402	0.000366	-1.20
Extension awareness	0.00624	0.009313	0.67
Primary education	0.01187	0.009892	1.20
Secondary education	0.0051	0.013077	0.39
Cooperative relationship	0.0219***	0.007449	2.94
Farming experience	0.00167***	0.0005529	3.02
Diagnostic statistics, log likelihood=211.35. Chi-square=41.12. significant at 1%, N=120			
SEX	0.012	0.0075	1.60

Source: field Survey 2012

***Indicate statistical significant of the coefficient at 1% level

The regression equation is

$$E = 0.8952 + 0.0282X_1 + 0.0004402X_7 + 0.012X_8 + 0.000624X_9 + (0.0232) (0.0246) (0.000366) (0.0075) (0.009313) + 0.01187X_{10} + 0.0051X_{11} + 0.0219X_{12} + 0.00167X_{13} (0.009892) (0.013077) (0.007449) (0.0005529)$$

Results of regression analysis

$$\ln Y = 4.4126 + 0.06593I_nX_1 + 0.0540I_nX_2 + 0.01843I_nX_3 + (0.0000251) (0.0000178) (0.0000199) (0.00000140) + 0.1801I_nX_4 + 0.1087I_nX_5 + 0.0368I_nX_6 (0.0000633) (0.00000673) (0.0000)$$

From the result of the determination of efficiency factors above, 2 variables of cooperative membership and farming experience have significant coefficient at 1% level respectively. The coefficient of other independent variable (farm size, sex, primary education secondary, and extension awareness) were however not significant ($p > 0.10$)

The coefficient of farming experience is estimated to be positive and statistically significant at 1% level. This indicates that a unit increase in farming experience leads to a better assessment of the important and complexities of good farming decision making including efficient use of input. The farming experience suggests that only farming background is an important positive determinant of a farmer's technical efficiency.

The coefficient of cooperative membership variable is estimated to be positive and statistically significant at 1% level. This suggests that cooperative membership has higher technical efficiency value than non membership in moringa production. That is cooperative membership is associated with higher level of technical efficiency in moringa production.

Resources Use Efficiency

In order to ascertain whether resources are efficiently put to use, the marginal value product (MVP) of land, seed, family labour were calculated and then compared with their input prices, since these variables are expressed in physical quantity in the function estimated, the MVP of such are compared with their unit prices to determine the degree of efficiency for their use.

Table 3: Marginal Value Product And Unit Cost Of Each Resources

RESOURCES	MPP	UNIT PRICE INPUT(#)	MVP	MFC(#)
Land	06503	10.000	30.6	10.000
Seed	0.5402	100	32.4	100
Hired labour	0.0843	150	5.06	150
Family labour	0.1087	150	10.81	150
Fertilizer	0.1087	900	6.52	900
Pesticide	0.0368	800	2.22	800

Source: field Survey 2012

The current farm gate price of moringa is N 60.00/kg. Given the levels of technology and prices of inputs and output, the marginal value productivity is the yardstick for judging the efficiency of resources use as a given resource is optimally allocated when there is no significance between MVP and its unit price. Thus, the marginal productivities of individual resource provides a frame work for policy decision on resource adjustment and the difference between the MPV and the unit cost indicates the scope of resource adjustment necessary to attain economic optimum.

As shown in the table 3, the marginal value products of all the resource (land, seed, hired labour, family labour, fertilizer and pesticide) are less than their unit prices. ($MVP < MFC$) This implies that these resources are under utilized in the production. Thus there is resource use inefficiency. Therefore, there is need to cut down the level of resource use until the marginal value product and marginal factor cost of each resource are at equilibrium in order to attain optimal allocation of the resources in moringa production i.e. where $MVP = MFC$.

Conclusion And Recommendations

Based on the result of the study, the following conclusion and recommendations were made: Farmers can increase their efficiency level if they can source their inputs and information needed in farming directly from Osun State Agricultural Development project and if an entrepreneurial class of people are also well motivated and trained to employ an appropriate inputs use combination pattern in order to achieve the highest possible level of production from given resources which will lead to high level of efficiency.

There is need for the involvement of extension personnels in assisting moringa farmers in the area of improving their level of awareness especially to motivate the farmers to associate

themselves with existing and organised cooperative society just as it's been shown in Table 2 above that cooperative membership has higher technical efficiency value than non membership in moringa production. In the same vein, distribution of credit facilities to such farmers should also be encourage and monitored by the government officials with existing co – operative groups, so as to ensure that the loan get to the proper hands (farmers) on time to procure necessary inputs at the appropriate time.

Improved seed variety should be distributed evenly among the farmers in order to increase the yield of farmers annually and also be able to withstand the damaged done by pests.

Government should also endeavour to provide machineries for the potential farmers such as tractors, harrow, disc plough among other in order to enhance the production activities.

References

Akinbamijo O O, Adediran S A, Nouala S and Saecker J 2004 *Moringa* fodder in ruminant nutrition in the Gambia. International Trypanotolerance Centre, Banjul, the Gambia. www.moringanews.org/documents/Fodder.doc
 Dicko M S and Sikena L K 1992 Fodder trees and shrubs in range and farming systems in dry tropical Africa. In: A. Speedy

and P. Pugliese (eds.) Legume trees and other fodder trees as protein sources for livestock. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation held in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 14–18 October 1991. FAO, Rome, Italy. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/t6302e/T0632E03.htm>

Farrell, M. J. (1957). The measurement of productive efficiency, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 120, 252-90.

Greene, W. H. (1993). "Frontier Production Functions", EC-93-20. Stern School of Business, New York University.

Gutteridge R C and Shelton H M 1993 The scope and potential of tree legumes in agroforestry. *Agroforestry Systems*, 23: 117 – 194.

Kang B T, Reynolds L and Attah-Krah A N 1990 Alley farming. *Advances in Agronomy*, 43: 315 – 359

Kumbhaker, S. C. and Lovell C. A. K. (2000). *Stochastic Frontier Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lewin, A. Y. and C. A. K. Lovell (1990). Editors Introduction, *Journal of Econometrics*, 46, 3-5.

Seiford, L. M. and R. M. Thrall (1990) Recent developments in DEA: the mathematical programming approach to frontier analysis, *Journal of Econometrics*, 46, 7-38.