Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Applied Biology

Elixir Appl. Biology 61 (2013) 17237-17242

## Intake, growth performance and haematological parameters in West African dwarf sheep fed with or without Moringa and Gliricidia supplements in South Western Nigeria

Aye P. A

Department of Animal Production and Health Sciences, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:
Received: 24 July 2013;
Received in revised form:
14 August 2013;
Accepted: 22 August 2013;

#### Keywor ds

Moringa, Linear measurement, Haematology, Performance, Intake.

## ABSTRACT

Sixteen (16) yearling West African Dwarf Sheep were used to assess body weight gain, feed intake and haematological indices as influenced by the four dietary treatments. The average weight gain of sheep fed supplemental diets were 2.70±0.09kg in Gliricidia sepium, 2.80±0.09kg in Moringa oleifera and 3.15±0.45kg in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera compared to 1.57±0.10kg for sheep fed basal diet only. The average weight gains in sheep fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) higher than sheep fed only the basal diets. The linear body measurement gain such as heart girth gain were 11.00±1.73cm in Gliricidia sepium, 8.67±2.08cm in Moringa oleifera, 13.34±1.04cm in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera compared to 8.33±0.58cm for sheep fed basal diet only. The heart girth gains of sheep fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) higher than sheep fed basal diets only. The height at whither gains were 8.55±4.04cm in Gliricidia sepium, 9.00±4.36cm in Moringa oleifera, 9.00±1.00cm in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera compared to 6.33±1.53cm for sheep fed Panicum+cassava peels only. The height whither gains of sheep fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) higher than sheep fed control diets. The body length gains were 8.00±1.73cm in Gliricidia sepium, 10.00±3.61cm in Moringa oleifera, 10.66±3.06cm in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera compared to 11.66±5.13cm for sheep fed basal diet only. The body length gains in sheep fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) higher than sheep fed basal diets. The haematological parameters such as packed cell volume (31.50 - 32.50 %), red blood cells (9.85 - 10.17 X10<sup>6</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup>), haemoglobin concentration  $(9.97 - 10.07g \ 100 \text{mm}^{-1})$ , Erythocyte sedimentation rate  $(0.62 - 10.07g \ 100 \text{mm}^{-1})$ 0.34), mean Corpuscular haemoglobin (0.99 - 1.08 pg), mean corpuscular volume (3.15 - $3.29 \mu m^3$ ), Eosinophils (3.00 – 4.25%), Basophils (0.50 – 1.00%), Monocytes (6.50 – 8.75%), Lymphocyte (60.00 - 65.25%), Neutrophils (21.25 - 31.25%) of animals fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) higher than animals fed Panicum-cassava peels ration. From all indications, sheep fed supplemental diets had better growth performance and were haematological stable than sheep fed basal diet only.

### Introduction

The insufficiency of year round grazeable forages for ruminants, owning to seasonal changes is a major constraint to ruminant production in Nigeria (Babayemi and Bamikole, 2006). The seasonal forage supply stems from uneven distribution of annual rainfall in the tropics, which explains the alternating abundance and scarcity of grazeable forage (Abdulrazak et al., 1997). This poses serious forage management and animal feeding difficulties during the dry season in Nigeria, when available forage materials could no longer provide the minimum protein and energy requirements to grazing ruminants (Aye, 2007; Aye and Adegun, 2010). This poor feeding regime has affected the growth rate and performance of WAD sheep. With perpetual increase in population of livestock in Nigeria, there has been serious competition for feed between man and animals, thereby hampering the productivity of small ruminants, hence shortage of animal proteins. Further, poor management, poor genetic makeup of indigenous animals, prevalence of pests and diseases and non availability of feed ingredients are other problems plagued sheep productivity in Nigeria.

## © 2013 Elixir All rights reserved

The potentials of fodder trees such as Moringa oleifera, Gliricidia sepium and Leucaena leucocephala as browses are increasingly being recognized as very important components of food and feeds, as source of protein, fibre and a balance of other nutrients needed for maintenance, growth and reproduction (Aregheore, 2004). Moringa oleifera is a well known tree in West Africa especially in semi-arid areas where it is often cultivated as a living fence around people's gardens and consumed in various forms as food (Anjorin et al.,2010; Fadiyimu et al., 2011). Leaves of the tree are noted for high content of crude protein, vitamins, minerals and essential amino acids (Makkar and Becker, 1997; Gidamis et al., 2003). However, the value of the tree and its benefits as a highquality supplement to low-quality roughages in ruminant feeding systems have been grossly under exploited in Nigeria (Akinbamijo et al., 2006; Asaolu et al., 2010).

The nutritive value of *Gliricidia sepium* has been studied extensively. It has been fed experimentally to all types and classes of livestock including poultry (Mishra *et al.*, 1997). Studies with ruminants such as those conducted by Carew





(1983), Odeyinka and Ademosun (1993), and Odeyinka *et al.* (2003) showed that *Gliricidia sepium* is a suitable feed for ruminants as it can be consumed in large amount without deleterious effects on animal performance.

In Nigeria, a less developed country, malnutrition has been identified as the single most important public health problem. Consequently, the indices of nutritional diseases are on the increase (FAO 1999). The recommended total protein intake for normal growth and development in human is 85.9g per person per day, out of which approximately 39g should be of animal origin but an average Nigerian consumes about 33g (Adegbola and Asaolu, 1986). This therefore calls for increase in livestock production in order to improve the nutritional status of Nigerians through provision of high quality animal protein such as meat, milk and eggs. This observed low level of animal protein intake has its root cause in the high cost of feeding farm animals for optimum growth and production. The costs of feeding farm animals are estimated to be between 60% and 80% of total cost of production (Bawala and Akinsoyinu, 2002). To reduce this trend, there has been a worldwide interest in the use of unconventional feed ingredients which are usually less expensive for the feeding of livestock (Akinfala and Tewe, 2002; Broin, 2006).

Sheep are numerically and economically important livestock animal in West Africa. Sheep from West Africa constitute about 21% of continental total and contribute about 6% of world meat supply (Ademosun, 1992). In addition, the 35% contribution to Nigeria's total meat supply makes this species very important to Nigeria economy. Their small size, early maturity, short gestation interval and ability to convert feeds not required by humans to meat make them unique (Aye, 2013)

### Materials and methods

**Study Area:** The experiment was carried out at the Small Ruminant Unit of Teaching and Research Farm of Ekiti State University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria.

The experiment lasted for a period of 12 weeks. Individual pens were cleaned and disinfected with izal disinfectant, cleaning of the surroundings, cleaning of feed and water troughs and renovation were carried out before the arrival of the animals.

**Procurement of West African Dwarf Sheep (WAD):** Sixteen yearlings WAD sheep weighing on average 13±0.03kg were purchased from the open markets in Ekiti state of Nigeria. They were quarantined for thirty days during which routine treatment developed at NAPRI (1984) and modified by Aye (1998) was applied.

**Experimental layout and animal management:** The sheep pen was partitioned into sixteen equi-dimensional units with planks. The sheep were weighed into their experimental units, efforts were made to ensure that all the treatments were balanced in body weight and age. This was done using the dentition and weight range methods (Sastry and Thomas, 1975; Devendra and Mcleroy, 1988; Adebowale *et al.*, 1992).

The design of the experiment was a completely randomized design. The animals were randomly assigned to four treatments and each treatment had four sheep (replicates). The dietary treatments were as follows:

Treatment 1: Panicum maximum + Cassava peels (control)

Treatment 2: *Panicum maximum* + Cassava peels + *Gliricidia sepium*;

Treatment 3: *Panicum maximum* + Cassava peels + *Moringa oleifera;* 

Treatment 4: *Panicum maximum* + Cassava peels + *Moringa oleifera*, + *Gliricidia sepium*;.

**Feeds:** *Panicum maximum* (Guinea grass): The grass was harvested at about 10cm from the base of the plant with sickle. It was then chopped into small pieces with a cutlass so as to prevent wastage by the animals.

**Cassava Peels:** Fresh cassava peels were obtained from Garri processing factory in Ado-Ekiti. The fresh cassava peels were sun-dried for about 4-6days depending on weather condition.

*Gliricidia sepium* and *Moringa oleifera* – Fresh *Gliricidia sepium* and *Moringa oleifera* leaves were harvested from Gliricidia and Moringa plantations on the campus of Ekiti state University, Ado Ekiti and left overnight to wilt.

**Feeding trial:** A preliminary feeding period of seven days was observed during which the animals were allowed to adjust to the experimental diet. Feeding trial period lasted for 12 weeks, during which the animals were fed on basal diets containing dried cassava peels and *Panicum maximum* supplemented with or without *Gliricidia sepium* and *Moringa oleifera* leaves.

**Intake:** Measured quantities of the leaves were offered to the animals each day and the quantity left over were weighed the following morning to determine daily feed intake.

**Body weight:** The weights of animals were determined using metallic mobile Salter 100kg scale. The weights of the animals were taken at the beginning of the feeding trial and subsequently every week for an assessment of growth rate.

**Hearth girth:** It is a measurement of the circumference of the body just behind the fore legs. Each animal was restrained and measurement was taken at least three times and the mean recorded.

**Body length:** A centimeter graduated tape was used to measure the body length which is the average of left and right sides measurement of the distance between the head and the pine-bone. Efforts were made to ensure that the sheep were standing uprightly i.e. unshaken before the measurement was taken.

**Height at whither:** This is the distance between the most cranial palpable spinous and the ground. It was determined with the aid of centimeter graduated tape with the sheep standing vertically straight.

**Haematology: Blood Collection:** Blood was collected from the jugular vein of the sheep at the start and at two-week intervals for analysis. The blood was collected into a vial containing Ethylenediaminetetral-acetic acid (EDTA), which prevents coagulation by complexing  $Ca^{2+}$ . The vials or bijou bottles were immediately capped and the content rocked gently for about a minute by repeated inversion. The blood smears were prepared immediately after the collection.

**Packed Cell Volume (Haematocrit):** Packed cell volume (PCV) was determined by spinning about 75µl of each blood sample in heparinised capillary tubes in a haematocrit centrifuge for about five minutes. The PCV was then read on haematocrit reader as described by Benson *et al.* (1989) and Jain (1993).

**Erythrocyte (RBC) Count:** RBC was determined using haemocytometer method as described by Lamb (1981). The blood sample collected in each replicate was diluted at a ratio of 1:200 for RBC count using red cell diluting fluid. Samples of RBC were obtained using the relationship Red Blood Cell /  $\mu$ l = Number of cell counted x 5 x 10 x 200.

**Haemoglobin Estimation:** The haemoglobin content in the blood of each sheep estimated using Cyanomethaemoglobin method.  $0.02 \text{cm}^3$  of blood from each sheep was expelled into  $4 \text{cm}^3$  DrabKins solution. The mixture was allowed to stand for five minutes for full colour development. Also, standard haemoglobin was prepared by diluting blood of known haemoglobin concentration as in the test samples. The test

samples and standard were read on the calorimeter at 624 manometers using green filter.

Samples haemoglobin concentration was obtained using this relationship:

Sample Haemoglobin =  $\underline{\text{Reading of test}} x$  Standard Haemoglobin Con. (g /100cm<sup>3</sup>)

Reading of standard

haematological indices also measured were erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), Lymphocytes, Neutrophils, Monocytes, Eosinophils and Basophils.

### Estimation of absolute Haemoglobin Value

Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC):  $MCHC = \frac{\text{Haemoglobin Content } (g/100 \text{cm}^3)}{\text{PCV}} \times 100$ 

Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH)

 $MCH = \frac{\text{Haemoglobin content } (g/100 \text{cm}^3)}{\text{RBC}} \times 100$ 

Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV)

 $MCV = \frac{Packed Cell Volume}{RBC} \times 10(\mu^3)$ 

**Chemical and statistical analysis:** For the chemical analysis all values were mean for triplicate determinations. The proximate analysis of the *Panicum maximum*, cassava peels, moringa and gliricidia were determined by the procedures of AOAC (2005). Data obtained were subjected to one-way analysis of variance according to the method of Steel and Torrie (1980) (ANOVA). Significant treatment means were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range F-Test (Duncan, 1955).

## Results

**Diet Composition:** Table 1 shows the proximate analysis of the *Gliricidia sepium* leaves, cassava peels, *Panicum* maximum and Moringa oleifera leaves.

The *Gliricidia sepium* leaves contained 92.85g  $100g^{-1}$  Dry matter (DM), 6.67g  $100g^{-1}$  Ash, 12.29g  $100g^{-1}$  Ether extract (EE), 8.61g  $100g^{-1}$  Crude fibre (CF), 25.08 g $100g^{-1}$  Crude protein (CP), 40.21g  $100g^{-1}$  Nitrogen free extract (NFE) and 16.20MJ kg<sup>-1</sup> Gross energy (GE).

The Cassava peels had  $94.54g \ 100g^{-1}$  Dry matter (DM),  $6.89g \ 100g^{-1}$  Ash,  $6.90g \ 100g^{-1}$  Ether extract (EE),  $7.12g \ 100g^{-1}$  Crude fibre (CF),  $10.94g \ 100g^{-1}$  Crude protein (CP),  $62.67g \ 100g^{-1}$  Nitrogen free extract (NFE) and  $15.99MJ \ kg^{-1}$  Gross energy (GE).

The *Panicum maximum* (Guinea grass) contained  $84.35g 100g^{-1}$  Dry matter (DM),  $13.26g 100g^{-1}$  Ash,  $3.52g 100g^{-1}$  Ether extract (EE),  $35.12g 100g^{-1}$  Crude fibre (CF),  $8.14g 100g^{-1}$  Crude protein (CP),  $42.78g 100g^{-1}$  Nitrogen free extract (NFE) and  $22.03MJ kg^{-1}$  Gross energy (GE).

The DM, Ash, EE, CF, CP NFE and GE of *Moringa* oleifera were  $93.63g \ 100g^{-1}$ , 7.96g  $100g^{-1}$ , 16.77g  $100g^{-1}$ , 6.77g  $100g^{-1}$ , 22.23g  $100g^{-1}$ , 40.28g  $100g^{-1}$  and 14.79MJkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

**Performances of the Rams:** The effect of various dietary treatments on weight gain, dry matter intake (DMI) and feed conversion ratio are shown in Table 4.

The dry matter intake (DMI) of rams fed supplemental diets varied from 23.96kg to 28.85kg, while that of the control diet was 37.38kg.

The weight gain of rams fed supplemental rations of *Gliricidia sepium*, *Moringa oleifera* and *Moringa oleifera* + *Gliricidia sepium* were  $2.70\pm0.09$ kg,  $2.80\pm0.09$ kg and  $3.15\pm0.45$ kg respectively and were significantly higher than the rams fed only the basal diet *Panicum*-cassava peels

 $(1.57\pm0.10$ kg). The rams fed supplemental diet of *Moringa* oleifera + Gliricidia sepium had the highest weight gain.

The metabolic weight gain  $(W^{0.75})$  of rams fed *Moringa* oleifera + Gliricidia sepium was found to be 2.36kg, 2.16kg for *Moringa oleifera*, 2.10kg for *Gliricidia sepium*, and 1.40kg for *Panicum*-cassava peels diet. The metabolic weight gains of the rams fed *Moringa oleifera*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Moringa oleifera* + *Gliricidia sepium* were significantly higher than those fed *Panicum*-cassava peels. The metabolic weight gains were influenced by the dietary treatments.

The Linear body measurements were higher in rams fed supplemental diet, while the rams fed basal diet only had the least value as shown in Table 5.

The feed conversion ratios of rams fed supplemental diets were 7.60, 9.16, 10.68 while that of the control was 23.80. Rams on the supplemental *Gliricidia* + *Moringa* had the highest feed conversion ratio with a value of 7.60 whereas animals fed basal diet without supplementation recorded least feed conversion ratio of 23.80.

**Haematological Variables:** Table 6 presents the haematological variables of rams fed *Panicum*-cassava peels ration supplemented with *Moringa oleifera*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Moringa* + *Gliricidia*.

The Packed Cell Volume (PCV) value for rams fed supplemental diets ranged from 29.00 to 32.50 % with the least value recorded in control and highest value observed in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera. The Red Blood Cell (RBC) varied from 9.25x10<sup>6</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup> in control diet to 10.17x10<sup>6</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup> in *Gliricidia sepium* + Moringa oleifera. The highest value of RBC was in Gliricidia sepium + Moringa oleifera. The White Blood Cell (WBC) was highest  $(10.05 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^{-1})$  in control diet while the least value (9.05x10<sup>3</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in rams fed *Gliricidia sepium* supplement. The Haemoglobin Concentration (HBC) was lowest in rams fed control diet (9.10g 100mm<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest value was obtained in rams fed Moringa oleifera + Gliricidia sepium supplement (11.07g 100mm<sup>-1</sup>). The Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) (mm/hr) varied from 0.62 in control diet to 0.87 in Moringa oleifera supplement.. The Lymphocyte value for control, Gliricidia sepium, Moringa oleifera and Moringa oleifera + Gliricidia sepium were 60.00, 61.25, 62.25 and 65.25 respectively. The values for the supplemental diets were significantly higher than the value for control diet. Neutrophils value was significantly (P<0.05) higher in Moringa oleifera + *Gliricidia sepium* than the control.

The Monocytes (%), Eosinophils(%) and Basophils (%) values of the *Gliricidia sepium*, *Moringa oleifera* and *Gliricidia sepium* + *Moringa oleifera* were significantly (P<0.05) higher than the values recorded for the rams fed control diet. While the Mean Corpuscular Haemaglobin Concentration (g 100mm<sup>-1</sup>) ranged from 0.31 in rams fed basal diet only to 0.34 in rams fed supplemental *Gliricida sepium* + *Moringa oleifera*. The Mean Corpuscular Volume ( $\mu$ m<sup>-3</sup>) (MCV) was highest in rams fed *Gliricidia sepium* (3.29). The Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH) was highest in rams fed *Moringa oleifera* + *gliricidia sepium* (1.08).

All haematological variables of rams fed supplemental diets were significantly (P<0.05) influenced by the dietary treatments. The rams fed control diet showed the least values for most of the haematological variables.

#### Discussion

The proximate composition of *Panicum maximum* obtained in this study were at variance with the result obtained by Ogunsola (2005) for example, Ogunsola value for crude protein was  $6.27g \ 100g^{-1}$  which was lower than  $8.14g \ 100g^{-1}$  obtained in this study. Also, the Ash and the Ether Extract values obtained in this study were not the same with the result obtained by Ogunsola (2005). However, crude fibre obtained (35.21g \ 100g^{-1}) in this study agreed with the crude fibre reported by Ogunsola (2005).

The cassava peels used in this study has dry matter value of 94.54g  $100g^{-1}$  which was within the range of 86.5g  $100g^{-1}$  to 94.5g  $100g^{-1}$  reported by Adegbola (2002). The Ash content of 6.89g/100g was higher in comparism to the value of 3.8 - 4.44g  $100g^{-1}$  obtained by Oyenuga (1968). The crude protein value of 10.94g  $100g^{-1}$  was higher than the value obtained by Oyenuga (1968). The Nitrogen free extract value of 62.67g  $100g^{-1}$  was in line with the value of 78.25g  $100g^{-1}$  obtained by Oyenuga (1968). The value of crude fibre 10.94g  $100g^{-1}$  eported by Adegbola (2002), Devendra (1977).

The differences in this value obtained from this study with those of other workers or researchers might be due to the stage of harvest of the crop, the amount of edible parts and the head added to the waste.

The improved weight gain, which cumulated in the relative higher values of the final weight gain in the supplements, could be attributed to the Non-protein nitrogen hydrolysis in the rumen throughout the day (Habib *et al.*, 1991). This helps in complementing the beneficial effect of *Moringa* and *Gliricidia* on microbial growth in the rumen with attendant utilization of the diets. The dry matter intake and the performance of rams in this study, in most cases compared with those reported for sheep (Tjiptosumirat *et al.*, 1990; Habib *et al.*, 1991). However, the apparently lower rams performance in the control diet might be due to the fact that the animals were maintained on only *Panicum*-cassava peels that is of low content and that the animals have not be restrained before.

Report from literature showed that blood indices are important for the assessment of the nutritive component of a given ration (Aletor and Egberongbe, 1992; Agbede and Aletor, 1993b). All the blood indices measured throughout the trial were significantly (P<0.05) higher in rams fed *Panicum*-cassava peels supplemented with *Moringa* and *Gliricidia* leaves.

This tends to show that the feeding of the *Moringa oleifera* and *Gliricidia sepium* leaves did not have adverse effect on the health status of the animals.

#### **Conclusion:**

This study reveals that the *Panicum*-cassava peels are of low protein and Ash contents, but the nutritive value can be enhanced when used with other browse plants such as *Moringa oleifera* and *Gliricidia sepium*. It further demonstrates that rams fed *Panicum*-cassava peels supplemented with *Moringa oleifera* and *Gliricidia sepium* had better performance than those fed *Panicum*-Cassava peels only. This study clearly demonstrates that feeding of *Moringa oleifera* and *Gliricidia sepium* leaves as supplements to the basal diet to animals is a useful strategy in overcoming dry season weight losses, poor performance or health hazard in rams.

### References

Abdulrazak, S.A; Nuinga, R.W; Thorpe, W and Orskov, L.R (1997). Supplementation with *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala* on voluntary feed intake, digestibility, rumen fermentation and live weight of crossbred steers offered *zea mays* stover. Livestock Production Science. 49 (1) : 53-62

Adebowale, E. A; A.A. Taiwo and J.F.D Green-halgh (1992) Nutritional survey of small ruminant production in Oyo State (Nigeria). In: A.O. Ayeni and H.G. Bosman (Eds.). Goats Production System in Humid Tropics. Proc. Internal. Workshop Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU) Ile-Ife, Nigeria, 6-9 July 1992. Pp187-194

Adegbola, A. A. and Asaolu, O. (1986) Preparation of cassava peels for use in small ruminants in South Western Nigeria. In Preston T.R and Nuwanyakpa, M.Y(Eds.) Proc. of workshop held at the University of Alexandria, Egypt, October, 1985, ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 109-115.

Adegbola T. A. (2002) Nutrient intake, digestibility and rumen metabolites in bulls fed rice straw with or without supplements. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.* 29(1) 40-46.

Ademosun, A. A. (1992) The scope for improved small Ruminant production in the Humid Zone of West African Dwarf sheep project. In: A. O. A. Ayeni and H.G. Bosmon (Eds). Proceeding Internet. Workshop, OAU Ile-Ife, Nigeria 6-9 July 1992 Pp 2-13

Ademosun, A.A. (1994). Constraints and prospects for small ruminant research and development in Africa. In S.H.B Lebbie, B.Rey and E.K. Irungu (eds). Small Ruminant Research and Development in Africa. CTA publication series. Book of Proceedings 2<sup>nd</sup> Bien. Conf. of the Afri. Sm. Rum. Res. Network. Tanzania. 266 pp.

Agbede J. O. (2000) Biochemical composition and Nutritive quality of the seeds and leaf protein concentrate from underutilised tree and herbaceous legumes. Ph. D Thesis, Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria.

Agbede J. O. and Aletor V. A. (2003b) comparative evaluation of weaning foods from *Gliricidia* leaf protein concentrates and some commercial brands in Nigeria journal sci. food & Agric 84, 21-30

Akinbamijo OO, Adediran SA, Nouala S and Saecker J (2006) *Moringa* fodder in ruminant nutrition in The Gambia—Trees for life journal—a forum on beneficial trees and plants. Publication of International Trypanotolerance Centre, Banjul T retrieved on 6/4/2010 at http://www.tfjournal.org

Akinfala, E. O and Tewe, O. O 2002. Evaluation of energy and protein value of whole cassava plants meals in grooving pig diets in the tropics. Bull Amin. Hlt Prod. Afr. 50:228-234.

Aletor V. A. (1993b) Cyanide in gari 2. An assessment of some aspects of the nutrition, biochemistry and haematology of the rat fed gari containing varying residual cyanide levels. *Inter. J. Food Sci.* 44: 289-295.

Aletor, V. A. and Egberongbe, O. (1992). Feeding differently processed soya bean. Part 2. An assessment of haematological responses in chickens.

Aminah, A and Chen, C.P. (1989). Future prospects for fodder and pasture production.

AOAC (1990) Official method of Analysis Association of Official Analysis chemist 13<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington, D.C.

AOAC (2005) Official methods of analysis 18th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC, U.S.A.

Aye P. A. (1998): The effect of two management system on physiological parameters growth rate (WAD) goat. M. Tech Thesis Federal University of Technology, Akure.

Aye P.A. (2007). Production of multinutrient blocks for ruminants and alcohol from the waste products of Leucaena and Gliricidia leaves using local technologies. Ph.D Thesis, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Aye P.A and Adegun M. K (2010) Digestibility and growth in West African Dwarf Sheep fed Gliricidia- based multinutrient block supplements. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America.* 1(6): 1133-1139.

Table 1 · Provi	mate Analysis of Cassay	neels Panicum	maximum Moringa	oleifera and	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> (g 100g- <sup>1</sup> )
Table 1.110All	mail Analysis of Cassaw	pecis, i unicum	maximum, moringa	oreijera anu	Ouriciala septam (g 100g- )

Treatment	Ash	DM	СР	EE	CF	NFE	GE(MJkg <sup>-1</sup> )
Moringa	7.96	93.63	22.23	16.77	6.77	40.28	14.79
Gliricidia	6.67	92.75	25.08	12.29	8.16	40.21	16.20
Panicum	13.26	84.35	8.14	3.52	35.12	42.78	22.03
Cassava Peels	6.89	94.54	10.94	6.90	7.12	62.67	15.99

Table 2: Mineral Compositions of Cassava Peels, Panicum maximum, Moringa oleifera and Gliricidia sepium

	Na	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	Fe	Mn	Cu
Moringa	4.56	7.32	5.43	3.62	4.58	2.31	0.05	0.001
Gliricidia	4.82	6.79	0.94	4.79	6.31	4.28	0.21	0.002
Panicum	188.74	519.68	512.88	148.74	102.49	95.23	N.D	N.D
Cassava Peels	174.98	156.16	135.47	122.30	77.14	7.53	3.76	N.D

# Table 3: Mean Feed Intake of West African Dwarf Sheep fed Panicum maximum and Cassava peels Supplemented with or without Gliricidia sepium and Moringa oleifera Diets

	Control Clinicidia Marines Marines Clinicid								
Control	Gliricidia	Moringa	Moringa + Gliricidia						
1.20	1.34	1.40	1.43						
1.27	1.38	1.45	1.43						
1.25	1.44	1.47	1.41						
1.26	1.46	1.49	1.55						
1.30	1.49	1.51	1.55						
1.35	1.52	1.55	1.59						
1.36	1.53	1.58	1.61						
1.38	1.57	1.60	1.63						
▲									
X 1.29	1.46	1.50	1.52						
S.D 0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07						

 Table 4: Performance of Sheep fed Panicum maximum and Cassava peels supplemented with or without Moringa oleifera and Gliricidia sepium diets

Treatment	DMI (kg)	Initial Weight (kg)	Final Weight (kg)	Weight Gain(kg)	Initial W <sup>0.75</sup> (kg)	Final W <sup>0.75</sup>	W <sup>0.75</sup> Gain	FCR
						(kg)	(kg)	
Control	37.38	13.67 <u>+</u> 1.10	15.25 <u>+</u> 1.15	1.57 <u>+</u> 0.10c	7.11	7.71	1.40b	23.80c
Gliricidia	28.85	13.45 <u>+</u> 1.09	16.15 <u>+</u> 0.99	2.70 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	7.02	8.05	2.10 <sup>a</sup>	10.68 <sup>b</sup>
Moringa	25.66	13.67 <u>+</u> 1.20	16.52 <u>+</u> 1.39	2.80 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	7.11	8.09	2.16 <sup>a</sup>	9.16 <sup>b</sup>
Gliricidia +	23.96	13.45 <u>+</u> 0.96	16.60 <u>+</u> 0.92	3.15 <u>+</u> 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.02	8.22	2.36 <sup>a</sup>	7.60 <sup>a</sup>
Moringa								

a, b, c means with differing superscripts in the same column are significantly (P<0.05) different

## Table 5: Morphostructural differentiation of Sheep fed Panicum maximum and Cassava peels Supplemented with or without Moringa oleifera and Gliricidia sepium diets

Treatment	Initial Heart	Final Heart	Heart Girth	Initial	Final Height	Height at	Initial Body	Final Body	Body Length
	Girth (cm)	Girth (cm)	Gain (cm)	Height at	at whither	whither	Length (cm)	Length (cm)	Gain (cm)
				whither	(cm)	Gain (cm)			
				(cm)					
Control	60.00 <u>+</u> 2.00	68.33 <u>+</u> 2.86	$8.33 \pm 0.58^{a}$	59.67 <u>+</u> 0.58	66.00 <u>+</u> 1.00	6.33 <u>+</u> 1.53 <sup>a</sup>	78.67 <u>+</u> 4.93	86.67 <u>+</u> 3.21	8.00 <u>+</u> 1.73 <sup>a</sup>
Gliricidia	55.33 <u>+</u> 1.16	66.33±0.86	11.00 <u>+</u> 1.73 <sup>a</sup>	55.12 <u>+</u> 6.56	63.67 <u>+</u> 4.04	8.55 <u>+</u> 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	75.67 <u>+</u> 10.07	85.67 <u>+</u> 7.64	10.00 <u>+</u> 3.61 <sup>a</sup>
Moringa	58.00 <u>+</u> 2.06	66.67 <u>+</u> 1.51	$8.67 \pm 2.08^{a}$	56.67 <u>+</u> 5.77	65.67 <u>+</u> 8.50	9.00 <u>+</u> 4.36 <sup>b</sup>	73.67 <u>+</u> 3.21	84.33 <u>+</u> 5.68	$10.66 \pm 3.06^{a}$
Gliricidia	53.33 <u>+</u> 0.77	66.67 <u>+</u> 2.51	13.34 <u>+</u> 1.04 <sup>a</sup>	55.00 <u>+</u> 4.36	64.00 <u>+</u> 4.36	9.00 <u>+</u> 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	72.67 <u>+</u> 13.05	84.33 <u>+</u> 5.68	11.66 <u>+</u> 5.13 <sup>a</sup>
+ Moringa									

a, b means with differing superscripts in the same columns are significantly (P<0.05) different

## Table 6: Haematological Indices of WAD Sheep fed Panicum maximum and Cassava peels Supplemented with Gliricidia sepium and Moringa oleifera Diets

Haematological Indices	Control	Gliricidia	Moringa	Gliricidia + Moringa
Packed Cell Volume (PCV) (%)	29.00	32.50	31.50	32.50
Red Blood Cell (RBC) (x $10^{6}$ mm <sup>-1</sup> )	9.25	9.85	10.00	10.17
White Blood Cell (WBC) (x $10^3$ mm <sup>-1</sup> )	10.05	9.05	9.37	9.07
Haemoglobin Concentration (HBC) (g 100mm <sup>-1</sup> )	9.10	10.42	9.97	11.07
Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) (mm hr <sup>-1</sup> )	0.75	0.62	0.87	0.75
Lymphocyte(%)	60.00	61.25	62.00	65.25
Neutrophils (%)	31.25	27.50	26.25	21.25
Monocytes (%)	6.50	7.50	7.00	8.75
Eosinophils (%)	2.75	3.00	3.75	4.25
Basophil (%)	0.75	0.75	1.00	0.50
Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC) (g 100cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.34
Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH) (pg)	0.98	1.05	0.99	1.08
Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) $(\mu m^{-3})$	3.13	3.29	3.15	3.19

Aye P.A (2013) Nutrient digestibility and haematological indices of West African Dwarf Goats fed *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* multinutrient blocks as supplement. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*.4 (4): 375-383

Babayemi O.J and Bamikole M.A (2006) Supplementary value of *Tephrosia bracteolata*, *T. candida*, *Leucaena leucocephala and Gliricidia sepium* hay for WAD goats kept on *Panicum maximum*. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 7(2): 323

Bawala T. O and Akinsoyinu A. O (2002) Nutritional evaluation of browses, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Ficus thoningii* foliage in goat diet:2: Energy utilization and requirement. *Pro.* 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference Anim Sci. of Nig (ASAN), Sept 16-19 2002, Abeokuta,

Carew, B.A.R., 1983. *Gliricidia sepium* as a sole feed small ruminants Trop. Grassal; 17(4): 181-184

Devendra C and G.B. Mcleroy (1988). Goat and sheep production in the tropics. London ELBS Ed. Longman Pp 105-115.

Gidamis AB, Panga JT, Sarwatt SV, Chove BE and Shayo NB (2003) Nutrients and antinutrient contents in raw and cooked leaves and mature pods of *Moringa oleifera*, Lam. Ecology of Food and Nutrition, 42:1-13.

Hafez, E.S. E. (1969): Introduction to animal growth and nutrition. In. E. S. E. Hafez and I. A. Dyer (Eds). Animal growth and nutrition. Philadephia. Lea and Febiger Pp 1-20

Ikhimioya I and Imasuen JA (2007) Blood profile of West African dwarf goats fed *Panicum maximum* supplemented with *Afzelia africana* and *Newbouldia laevis*. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 6 (1): 79-84.

Jain N. C. (1986) Schalm's Veterinary Haematology 4th Ed. Philadephia, Lea and Febiger.

Jain N. C. (1993) Essentials of Veterinary Haematology. Lea and Ferbeiger, Pennsylvania, U.S.A. pp 7.

Jayarasuriya MCN (2002) Principles of ration formulation for ruminants. In: Development and field evaluation of animal feed

supplementation packages. Proceedings of the final review meeting of an IAEA Technical Cooperation Regional AFRA Project held in Cairo, Egypt 25 – 29 Nov., 2000Johnson H. D (1987) Bioclimate effects on growth, reproduction and milk production In: H. D. Johnson (Ed) World Animal Science, B5 Bio-climatology and the adaption of livestock. Elsevier Science publisher B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands Pp 35-57.

Makkar HPS and Becker K (1996) Nutritional value and antinutritional components of whole and ethanol extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 63: 211-228.

Makkar HPS and Becker K (1997) Nutrients and antiquality factors in different morphological parts of the *Moringa oleifera* tree. Journal of Agricultural Science, Vol. 128 pp 311-322.

Mishra, R., Sign and Sahro. G. 1977. Effect of feeding *Gliricidia maculate* leaf meal on the performance of white Leghorn chicks. Ind. J. poultry Science 12:17-21.

NAPRI (1984) – Highlights of Research Achievements on Animal Production. Science and Technology Briefly. Lagos Dec. 1984. Pp 3-17.

Odeyinka, S. M and Ademosun A. A., 1993. The effect of season on the yield and nutritive value of *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. Nig. J. Anim. Prod. 20: 96-101.

Odeyinka, S.M; Hector, B.L and Orskov, E.R (2003). Evaluation of the nutritive value of the browse species: *Gliricedia sepium* (Jacq). Walp, *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam) de. Wit and *Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp from Nigeria. Journal of Animal and Feed Science, 12:341-349.

Oyenuga V. A. (1968) Nigeria's food and feeding stuffs. 3rd ed. Ibadan University Press.

Sastry, N.S.R. and C.K. Thomas (1975) Farm Animal Management, London, Heitman Publication Pp 157-162.