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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove a unique common fixed point theorem in 2-metric space .the existence of fixed point for two weakly compatible maps into 2 -metric space is established under new contractive condition of integral type by using another functions $\phi$ and $\psi$.
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## Introduction

As a generalization of the area function for Euclidean triangles the concept of 2-metric introduced by Menger [1] was investigated by Gahler in series of papers [2],[3],[4].

Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces have been established by several authors (see e.g.[5],[6],[7],[8],[9]).
In(1986)Jungck [10] introduced the concept of compatible mappings and used to obtain results which generalize a theorem by Park and Bae [11], a theorem by Hadzic [12], and others. Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings and weakly compatible mappings have been established by several authors (see e.g.[13],[14],[15],[16]).

In(2002)Branciari [17] obtained a fixed point result for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of a Banach contraction prin ciple for integral type in the following theorem.

In (2011)V.Gupta and Vareen Manic[18]study the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorem for two weakly compatible maps under contractive condition of integral type.
Theorem 1. (Branciari). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space, $c \in[0,1)$ and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X, \int_{0}^{d(T x . T y)} \varphi(t) d t \leq c \int_{0}^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) d t$
where $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue- integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, and such that for each $\varepsilon>0, \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}>0$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\varepsilon>0, \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}>0$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n} x=a$.
After the paper of V.Gupta, a lot of research works have been carried out on generalizing contractive condition of integral type for different contractive mappings satisfying various known properties, in metric space and 2 -metric space.

The aim of this paper is to translate the works of V.Gupta and Mani[18] from metric space into 2-metric space.

## Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2. Let $X$ be a non empty set. A real valued function $d$ on $X \times X \times X$ is said to be 2-metric in $X$ if
(i) To each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$
(ii) $d(x, y, z)=0$, if at least two of $x, y, z$ are equal
(iii) $d(x, y, z)=d(y, z, x)=d(x, z, y)$
(iv) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w)+d(x, w, z)+d(w, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. When $d$ is 2-metric on $X$, then the pair $(X, d)$ is called 2-metric space.
Definition 3. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in 2-metric space $(X, d)$ is said to be convergent to an element $x \in X$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x, a\right)=0$ for all $a \in X$. It follows that if the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow n} d\left(x_{n}, a, b\right)=d(x, a, b)$ for all $a, b \in X$.

Definition 4. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}^{\text {in }} 2$-metric space $(X, d)$ is said to be Cauchy sequence if $d\left(x_{m}, x_{n}, a\right)=0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $a \in X$.

Definition 5. A 2-metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent.
Proposition 6. If a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a 2-metric space converges to $x$ then every subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ also converges to the same limit $x$.

Proposition 7. Limit of a sequence in a 2 -metric space, if exists, is unique.
Definition 8. Let $f$ and $g$ be two self maps on a set $X$. Maps $f$ and $g$ are said to be commuting if $f g x=g f x$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 9. Let $(X, d)$ is a 2-metric space and $f, g:(X, d) \rightarrow(X, d)$. The mappings $f$ and $g$ are said to be compatible if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_{n}=t$ for some $t \in X$ then $d\left(f g x_{n}, g f x_{n}, a\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $a \in X$.

Definition 10. Let $f$ and $g$ be two self maps in a 2-metric space $(X, d)$ then $f$ and $g$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.

Lemma 11. Let $f$ and $g$ be weakly compatible self mapping of a set $X$. If $f$ and $g$ have a unique point of coincidence $z$, then $z$ is the unique common fixed point of $f$ and $g$.

## Main Result

Theorem12. Let $S$ and $T$ be self compatible maps of a complete 2-metric space ( $X, d$ ) satisfying the following conditions
(i) $S(X) \subset T(X)$
(ii) $\psi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, S \mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{a})} \varphi(t) d t \leq \psi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}, \mathrm{a})} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\phi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}, \mathrm{a})} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}$
for each $x, y, a \in X$ where $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous and non decreasing function and $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a lower semi continuous and non decreasing function such that $\psi(t)=\phi(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0$ also $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a "Lebesgue-integrable function" which is summable on each compact subset of $R^{+}$, non negative, and such that for each $\varepsilon>0, \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}>0$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof : let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point of $X$. Since $S(X) \subset T(X)$. Choose a point $x_{1}$ in $X$ such that $S x_{0}=T x_{1}$. Continuing this process, in general, choose $x_{n+1}$ such that $y_{n}=T x_{n+1}=S x_{n}, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots$ For each integer $n \geq 1$, and for all $a \in X$, we have from (2)

$$
\psi \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \leq \psi \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\phi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, y_{n}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \psi \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is continuous and has a monotone property, Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \leq \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, y_{n}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}
$$

Let us take $z_{n}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, y_{n+1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}$ then it follows that $z_{n}$ is monotone decreasing and lower bounded sequence of numbers. Therefore there exist $k \geq 0$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $k>0$. Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (3) and using that $\phi$ is lower semi continuous, we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(k) \leq \psi(k)-\varphi(k)<\psi(k) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore $k=0$. This implies $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose it is not. Therefore there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ and subsequence $\left\{y_{m(p)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})}\right\}$ such that for each positive integer $p(m), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n})$ such that $m(p)<n(p)<m(p+1)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \geq \varepsilon, \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})-1}, y_{m(p)}, a\right)<\varepsilon \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \leq d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \leq d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}, a\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$<d\left(y_{n(P)}, y_{m(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}, a\right)+\varepsilon$
Now

$$
0<\ell=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) d t \leq \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \leq \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})}, y_{m(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}, a\right)+\varepsilon} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}
$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ and from (5)
$\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}\right)^{a}} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=\ell$
Now consider triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})}, y_{m(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1} a\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \\
& d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right) \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})}, y_{m(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1} a\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}, y_{m(p)-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{p})-1}, y_{m(p)}, a\right)=\alpha \\
& \quad \quad d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right) \leq d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, y_{n(p)}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{n(p)}, a\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)} y_{m(p)-1}, a\right) \\
& \quad d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right) \leq d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, y_{n(p)}\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{n(p)}, a\right)+d\left(y_{n(p)} y_{m(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)} a\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{p})}+y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)=\beta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore
$\int_{o}^{d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \leq \int_{0}^{\alpha} \varphi(t) d t$
$\int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \leq \int_{0}^{\beta} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}$

Taking $p \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5) and (8) in (11) and (12). we get


This implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=\ell \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}, a\right)} \varphi(t) d t \leq \psi \int_{0}^{d\left(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\phi \int^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{n(p) 1}, y_{m(p)-1}, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and using (8) and (13) in (14) we get

$$
\psi(\ell) \leq \psi(\ell)-\phi(\ell)
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $(X, d)$ is complete 2-metric space, therefore there exists a point $v$ such that
$S x_{n} \rightarrow v \& \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow v$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we can find $h$ in $X$ such that $T(h)=v$.
Now, for all $a \in X$ we have

$$
\psi \int_{0}^{d\left(S x_{n}, S h, a\right)} \varphi(t) d t \leq \psi \int_{0}^{d\left(T x_{n}, T h, a\right)} \varphi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\phi \int_{0}^{d\left(T x_{n}, T h, a\right)} \phi(t) d t
$$

On taking Limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies

$$
\psi\left(\int_{0}^{d(v, S h, a)} \phi(t) d t\right) \leq \psi(0)-\varphi(0)
$$

So $\quad d(v, S h, a) \quad$ implies that $S(h)=v$.

$$
\psi\left(\int_{0}^{d(v, S h, a)} \phi(t) d t\right)=0^{\text {implies that } S(h)=v .}
$$

Hence $v$ is the point of coincidence of $S$ and $T$.
Now we prove that $v$ is the unique point of coincidence of $S$ and $T$. Suppose not, therefore there exists $u,(u \neq v)$ )and there exists $\mu \in X$ such that $S \mu=T \mu=u$.

Using (2) we have for all $a \in X$

$$
\psi \int_{0}^{d(T v, T \mu, a)} \phi(t) d t=\psi \int_{0}^{d(S v, S \mu, a)} \phi(t) d t \leq \psi \int_{0}^{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tv}, \mathrm{~T} \mu, \mathrm{a})} \phi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\varphi \int_{0}^{d(T v, T \mu, a)} \phi(t) d t
$$

Therefore

$$
\psi \int_{0}^{d(T v, T \mu, a)} \phi(t) d t<\psi \int_{0}^{d\left(T_{v}, T \mu, a\right)} \phi(t) d t
$$

This is a contradiction which implies $u=v$. This proves uniqueness of point of coincidence of $S$ and $T$. Therefore by using lemma (11), the theorem is proved.
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