Zuriawati Zakaria et al./ Elixir Fin. Mgmt. 65 (2013) 20169-20173

Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Finance Management





Share Repurchase in Malaysia: Does Ownership Matter?

Zuriawati Zakaria, Abdul Hadi Zulkafli* and Joriah Muhammad

Finance Section, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Minden, Penang, Malaysia.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 18 December 2013; Received in revised form: 15 December 2013; Accepted: 24 December 2013;

Keywords

Ownership structure, Share repurchase, Corporate governance.

ABSTRACT

This study presents the impact of type of ownership structure on share repurchase for Malaysian listed companies by using the pooled panel data analysis for a period of six years (2005 to 2010). Findings from this study indicate that companies with government, foreign and managerial ownership have significant influence on share repurchase. It is concluded that the higher the government ownership, the higher the value of share repurchases transaction and vice versa. Meanwhile, there is an inverse relationship between foreign and managerial ownership; and share repurchase in Malaysia.

© 2013 Elixir All rights reserved

Introduction

The trend of companies making share repurchase or stock buyback has been increasing every year. It has been clearly significant after the economic turmoil in 1997. This program then was introduced to gain back confidence in the Malaysian stock market. Initially, the buyback scheme provides an avenue for companies to distribute cash to all their shareholders, either in the form of dividend or share repurchases. Behaviour and tax preferences play an important role in choosing between dividend and share repurchase (De Jong et al., (2003). In comparison with the Western countries, there is generally no tax imposed on capital gain in Malaysia; thus, allowing strong shareholders to force the managers to use cash repurchase as a way to reduce a conflict of interest.

From the perspective of an investor, this program is able to give short-term bonus to them in which the the company's share price will be boosted with the declining number of shares outstanding,. Those investors who are now holding more expensive shares can immediately sell out and receive higher capital gain. Interestingly, compared to the American market or European market, Malaysian capital gain is generally not subjected to personal tax while dividend is still subjected to double taxation. Therefore, foreign owners prefer share repurchase instead of dividend payout since they do not need to face additional tax on dividends (Liljeblom and Pasternack, 2006).

Government owned companies or Government Linked Companies (GLC) are the second largest equity holders in Malaysian companies in a concentrated ownership setting. Companies with government ownership prioritize in social and political goals instead of profit maximization (Boycko et al.,1996; Megginson et al., 1994). They engaged in dividend smoothing with the highest target payout, and they are the most reluctant to cut dividend (Gugler, 2003). In Malaysia, there are also multinational companies that are listed through their subsidiaries on the Bursa Malaysia. Foreign companies are allowed to hold more than 30% of shares (as stated under New Economic Policy). This was after the requirement was revised by the Malaysian Government in June 2003. Managerial ownership also exists in Malaysia whereby the managers are not family members. Since ownership in Malaysia varies with managerial, government, and foreign ownership, result is expected to show different influence on share repurchase. Most of the studies are more focused on studying the relationship between ownership structure and dividend payout (Ameer, 2007; Sulong & Mat Nor, 2008; Ramli, 2010; Sulong & Ahmed, 2011). Therefore, it is interesting to investigate the role of ownership in influencing share repurchase in Malaysia.

Empirical Reviews and Hypothesis

Skinner (2008) examines the relationship between earnings and dividend payout for the last three decades and discovers that firms that pay only dividends have largely extinct. This contributes to the increasing use of repurchased shares in place of dividend, even for firms that continue paying dividends. The author finds that firms' dividend history plays an important role in why firms continuously pay dividend for the period 1980 until 2005. Firms with the shortest dividend history tend to have the lowest dividend payout ratio. However, dividend payout ratio is higher for firms with 10 to 29 years of dividend history. The highest dividend payout is recorded in firms with 30 years of past dividends payment. Using regression model, Skinner (2008) finds that most firms substituted dividend with share repurchase because repurchase adjusts quickly to earnings change. Meanwhile, there is a weak relationship between earnings and dividends. Repurchase provides greater flexibility than dividends. In distributing cash to shareholders, Grullon and Michaely (2002) surmise that dividend and share repurchase are substitute for each other. However, Dittmar (2000) sees it differently where substitutability is not found between dividend and share repurchase. According to De Jong et al. (2003), behavioral and tax preferences play important roles in deciding between dividend and share repurchase.

Typically, ownership structure in Malaysia is concentrated (Ramli, 2010). Based on non-financial public listed companies' data from 2002 to 2006, the author signifies that companies make higher dividend payouts as the shareholding of the largest shareholder increases. In addition, the author also reports that dividend payout also increases when there is a presence of a second largest shareholder in the company.

Government Ownership

Gugler (2003) determines that government–controlled firms as institutional investors will influence payout policy. As an institutional investor, government acts on behalf of the citizens. Huang et al. (2010) showed that the interactions between corporate governance mechanism and institutional ownership would negatively influence share repurchase decision. Since they have more information and professional knowledge, institutional investors played an important role in supervision. It is also noted that firms with government ownership are less likely to face difficulty in raising capital to finance new projects. Furthermore, most of them are more focused on social needs instead of being concern only on maximizing profit (Gul, 1999). Therefore, they are reluctant to substitute dividends with share repurchase. In this setting, the hypothesis is constructed as follow:

 H_1 : There is a negative relationship between government ownership and share repurchase.

Foreign Ownership

Foreign investors with substantial shares have the power on the management and are able to avoid agency problem. Thus, they have significant influence on dividend payout decisions (Wilkinson et al., 2001; Jeon et al., 2011). According to Baba (2009), foreign investors is said to significantly influence dividend payout decisions (whether to pay dividends or not to pay) though the impact is small in economic terms. However, it is evidenced that higher foreign ownership in Finland serves as a determinant of share repurchases (Liljeblom and Pasternack, 2006). It takes place due to different tax treatments between local and foreign investors. In Finland, local investors have a tax-induced preference for dividend in contrast to share repurchase while foreign investors are subject to withholdings tax. According to Ginglinger and L'her (2006), stock repurchase programs are good news when the firm is supported by foreign institutional investors, and in the case of controlled firms, when the firm has a second large shareholder, which guarantees an effective balance of power for the controlling shareholders. They also argued that the presence foreign institutional ownership is a form of moral guarantee of non-hostile financial decisions with regard to minority shareholders. It is also found that the presence of foreign institutional investors positively influences the price reaction to the announcement of repurchase program. Meanwhile, Dahlquist and Robertson (2001) conclude that foreign investors avoid firms with a dominant owner, and seem to attach considerable importance to such owners' influence in the firm. Based on that, the hypothesis is specified as follow:

 H_2 : There is a positive relationship between foreign ownership and share repurchase.

Insider Ownership

The greater the degree of insider ownership, the lower the payout will be (Holder et al., 1998). According to De Jong et al. (2003), if a company has executive stock option plans, it is less likely to pay out in the form of dividends. However, Fenn and Ling (2001) indicate that managerial stock ownership causes higher payouts in a company with high potential of agency problems. Executives may prefer shares repurchase or retained more earnings instead of increase in dividend in order to increase their own stock options (Weisbenner, 2000). According to Brav et al. (2005), managers now favor repurchases because they are viewed as being more flexible than dividends and can be used in an attempt to time the equity market or to increase earnings per share. Thus, the hypothesis is stated as follow:

H_3 : There is a positive relationship between managerial ownership and share repurchase.

Methodology

This research will use the data from Datastream and the annual report of companies listed on the main board of Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (Bursa Malaysia) from 2005 to 2010. Information on ownership is extracted from the companies' annual reports and Bursa Malaysia. Datastream is used to collect information regarding Return on Equity (ROE), Market-to-Book Equity Ratio (MTBV), Firm Size (FZ) and Debt to Equity Ratio (LEV) which are treated as control variables in this study. On the other hand, from the original population, companies which do not have complete data, financial institutions, disposed off or taken over, and under PN4 and PN17 during the period of study will be excluded from the sample.

Table 1: Description of variables used in the study

Variables	
Share Repurchase (SR) =	Oswald and Young (2004); Eije
Log (Total amount paid to	and Megginson (2008)
repurchase shares)	
Foreign ownership (FO)	Mohd Saleh (2009); Mohd
Sum of all shares held by foreign	Ghazali (2010); Sulong and
shareholders.	Ahmed (2011)
Managerial Ownership (MO)	Dutta (1999); Abdullah (2006);
% of shares held by executive	Haniffa and Hudaib (2006)
directors to total number of shares	
issued.	
Market-to-book equity ratio	Skinner (2008); Linck (2008);
(MTBV) =	Adjaoud and Ben-Amar (2010).
Market value of equity / Book	
value of equity	
Risk (RK)	Guedes and Opler (1996); Opler
Dummy 1 if BBB and above, 0	et al. (1999).
otherwise.	
Profitability	Ling et al. (2008); Chay and Suh
Return on Equity (ROE) = Net	(2009)
Income / Shareholder Equity	
Firm Size (FZ)	Jiraporn (2006); Ahmed and
The logarithm of total assets	Javid (2009);
Leverage (Lev)	Sanders and Carpenter (2003).
Debt to Equity Ratio	
Firm Age (Age)	Linck et al. (2008); Sulong and
The logarithm of listing age	Ahmed (2011)

During the period under study, out of 682 companies in the Main Board, there were 327 companies with complete data on total payout. In order to analyze the factors that influence share repurchase, we only take into account companies that have complete data on share repurchase during the period of the study. Thus, finally only 71 companies are examined. Pooled panel regression analysis is used to measure the relationship of all the variables with the share repurchase with 426 observations.

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{it} + \beta_2 X_{it} + \dots + \beta_n X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(1)

Where *i* denotes the firm (cross section dimension) and *t* denotes time (time series dimension). Therefore, Y_{it} is the dependent variable of pooling *N* cross sectional observations and *T* time series observations, and X_{it} s are the independent variables pooling *N* cross sectional observations and *T* time series observations. B₀ is the constant term or intercept across cross sectional observations, and ε_{it} is the error term.

The empirical model used in this study can be described as follows:

Model 1:

(2)

$SR_i = \beta$	$_{0}+\beta_{1}GO+$	$\beta_2 FO + \beta_3 MO +$	$\beta_4 MTBV +$	$-\beta_5 RK$	$+ \beta_6 ROE$	$+\beta_{\gamma}FZ$	+	
β_8	$LEV + \beta_9 A$	$GE + \varepsilon_{it}$						
****	0		~~	~ .	-			~

Where; β_0 = constant term; SR = Share Repurchase for firm I; GO = Government Ownership; FO = Foreign Ownership and MO = Managerial Ownership. MTBV = Market-to-Book Equity Ratio; ROE = Return on Equity; FZ = Firm Size; LEV = Leverage; AGE = Firm Age. The definition of variables are further in Table 1.

Findings

Descriptive statistic

 Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics for variables used in the study for the period 2005 to 2010.

 Table 2 Description Statistics

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics					
			Maximu	Minimu	Standard
Variables	Mean	Median	m	m	Deviation
	16,192,7	1,345,5	108,000,0		
SR (RM)	23	24	00	81.00	85,329,362
GO (%)	3.37	0.63	30.38	0.00	4.86
FO (%)	16.10	10.41	73.01	0.00	17.32
MO (%)	8.30	1.66	57.48	0.00	13.98
RK	0.13	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.34
MTBV	1.22	0.86	25.72	(38.57)	2.79
ROE (%)	9.83	9.94	74.71	(52.66)	10.28
FZ	8.91	8.81	12.46	6.18	0.64
LEV (%) AGE	55.91	34.44	376.46	0.00	65.34
(Year)	16.10	12.93	47.34	0.41	10.24

On average, during this 6-year period, share repurchase records RM16,192,723 in total payout with maximum payout at RM108 million and minimum at RM81. The lowest share repurchase is recorded from YTL Land & Development Bhd, where in year 2006 the company buy back 100 shares at price RM0.8103.In Malaysia, on average (median), the percentage of government and managerial ownership, that is GO and FO, are at 3.37 (0.63) and 16.10 (10.41), respectively. The highest percentage holding by government and foreign shareholding is at 30.38 and 73.01 percent, respectively. These values are higher compared to the result reported by Sulong and Mat Nor (2010) at 6.1 percent (2.4 percent) and foreign ownership at 5.4 percent (1.7 percent). Sulong and Mat Nor's (2010) results are based on 403 companies (1612 observations) listed on Bursa Malaysia from 2002 until 2005. During the period of study they recorded maximum percentage holding by government and foreign at 79.2 and 76.8 percent, respectively. The average (median) percentage of managerial ownership, MO, is 8.30 percent (1.66 percent) with the highest at 57.48 percent.

As for Market-to-Book Equity value (MTBV), the average (median) is 1.22 percent (0.86 percent) with 25.72 percent being the highest. Looking at companies' profitability, it showed on average (median) percentage of return on equity (ROE) of 9.83 percent (9.94 percent), with as high as 74.71 percent profitability. However, during this time leverage is very high at 376.46 percent and the overall average is 55.91 percent. As for, the age of firm listed in Bursa Malaysia, the average age of the firms is approximately 16 years.

Regression Result

The result from Table 3 showed that four variables are significant at various levels. Share repurchases are positively influenced the company with government ownership. The coefficient of government ownership is 0.058 and that means a one standard deviation increase in government shareholding would lead to a 28 percent (0.058×4.86) increase in share

repurchase. This result leads to the rejection of H_1 where there is a negative relationship between government ownership and share repurchase.

Table 3: Panel Regression Result on Share Repurchase				
Independent Variable	Coeff	S.E		
CONSTANT	0.903	0.934		
GO	0.058***	0.013		
FO	-0.006*	0.004		
МО	-0.009**	0.005		
MTBV	-0.022	0.022		
RK	-0.021	0.190		
ROE	0.010	0.006		
FZ	0.513***	0.110		
LEV	0.000	0.001		
AGE	0.0319	0.220		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.146			
Adjusted R ²	0.128			
F-Statistics	7.913***			
DW	1.054			

***, **, * indicate significance at 1%, 5 % and 10% levels

In terms of foreign ownership, the result shows that the higher the foreign shareholding, the lower the share repurchases, though the result is only significant at 10%. Therefore, H_2 is rejected, where there is a positive relationship between share repurchase and foreign ownership. As for the coefficient of managerial ownership, *MO*, is -0.009 and is significant at 1 percent. It means a one standard deviation increase in managerial ownership causes a decrease in company share repurchase by 12.6 percent (0.009 x 13.98), hence H_3 is rejected. **Conclusion**

The study highlights the importance of ownership in explaining share repurchase program in Malaysia. It is evidenced that government, foreign and managerial ownership are significantly affecting company payout decision. Contrary our hypothesis, we found positive association between government ownership and share repurchase. Meanwhile, Malaysian firms with high foreign ownership are less likely to choose share repurchase. The finding on companies with managerial ownership is also against our hypothesis which is consistent with Fenn and Ling (2001) who indicate that managerial ownership causes higher payouts.

References

Abdullah, S. N. (2006). Board structure and ownership in Malaysia: the case of distressed listed companies. *Corporate Governance*, Vol. 6 (5), 2006, pp. 582-594.

Adjaoud, F. and Ben-Amar, W. (2010). Corporate governance and dividend policy: shareholders' protection or expropriation? *Journal of Business Finance &Accounting*, Vol. 35 (5), pp 648-667.

Ahmed, H. and Javid, A. (2009). Dynamics and determinants of dividend policy in Pakistan: evidence from Karachi stock exchange non-financial firms. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, Vol. 25, pp. 148-171.

Baba, N. (2009). Increased presence of foreign investors and dividend policy of Japanese firms. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, Vol. 17, pp. 163–174.

Bagwell, L. S. (1991). Share Repurchase and Takeover Deterrence. The *RAND Journal of Economics*, Vol. 22 (1), pp. 72-88

Brav, A., Graham, J, R., Harvey, C. R., and Michaely, R. (2005). Payout policy in the 21st century. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 77, pp. 483–527.

Chen, Z., Cheung, Y. L., Stouraitis, A., and Wong, A. W. S. (2005) Ownership concentration, firm performance, and dividend policy in Hong Kong. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, Vol. 13, pp. 431–449.

Daily, C. M. and Dalton, D. R. (1993). Board of directors' leadership and structure: Control and performance implications. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 17 (3); pp. 65-81.

Dahlquist, M. and Robertson, G. (2001) Direct foreign ownership, institutional investors and firm characteristics, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 59, pp. 413–440.

De Jong, A., Van Dijk and Veld, C. (2003). The dividend and share repurchase policies of Canadian firms: empirical evidence based on an alternative research design. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Vol. 12 (4), pp. 349-377.

Dittmar, A. K. (2000). Why do firms repurchase stock? *Journal of Business*, Vol. 73 (3), pp. 331 – 335.

Dutta, A. S. (1999). Managerial ownership, dividend and debt policy in the US banking industry. *Managerial Finance*, Vol. 25(6), 57-65.

Edith Ginglinger and Jean-François L'her (2006) Ownership structure and open market stock repurchases in France, *The European Journal of Finance*, 12:1, 77-94, DOI:10.1080/13518470500039543

Eije H. V. and Megginson, W. L. (2008). Dividends and share repurchases in the European Union. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 89, 347–374.

Faccio, M., Lang, L. H. P., and Young, L. (2001). Dividends and Expropriation. *Forthcoming, American Economic Review*, Vol. (91(1), pp. 54-78.

Fenn, G. W. and Liang, N. (2001). Corporate payout policy and managerial stock incentives. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 60 (1), pp. 45-72.

Gitman, L. J. (2006). Principles of Managerial Finance (11th ed.). Boston: Pearson Addison Wesley.

Guedes, J. and Opler, T. (1996). The Determinants of the Maturity of Corporate Debt Issues. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 51 (5), pp. 1809-1833.

Gugler, K. (2003). Corporate governance, dividend payout policy, and the interrelation between dividends, R&D, and capital investment. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 27, pp. 1297–1321.

Gugler, K. and Yurtoglu, B.B. (2003). Corporate governance and dividend pay-out policy in Germany. *European Economic Review*, Vol. 47, pp. 731-758.

Grullon, G. and Ikenberry, D. L. (2000). What Do We Know About Stock Repurchases? *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, Vol.13.

Gul, F. A. (1999). Government share ownership, investment opportunity set and corporate policy choices in China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, Vol. 7, pp.157–172.

Grullon, G and Michaely, R. (2002). Dividends, Share Repurchases, and the Substitution Hypothesis. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 57 (4), Papers and Proceedings of the Sixty-Second Annual Meeting of the American Finance Association, Atlanta, Georgia, January 4-6, pp. 1649-1684

Haniffa, R. and Hudaib, M. (2006). Corporate Governance Structure and Performance of Malaysian Listed Companies. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 33(7) & (8), pp. 1034–1062.

He, E., Miller, S. and Yang, T. (2012). Determinants of Board Structure: A Comparison of Publicly-Traded and Privately-Owned Insurance Companies. SSRN Working Paper Series. Rochester

Holder, M. E., Langrehr, F. W., and Hexter, J. L. (1998). Dividend Policy Determinants: An Investigation of the Influences of Stakeholder Theory. *Financial Management*, Vol. 27 (3), pp. 73-82

Hu, A, and Kumar, P. (2004). Managerial Entrenchment and Payout Policy. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol 39:4, pp. 759-790

Ikenberry, D. L. and Vermaelen, T. (1996). The Option to Repurchase Stock. *Financial Management*, Vol. 25 (4), pp. 9-24.

Ishak, Z. and Napier, C. (2006). Expropriation of minority interest and corporate diversification in Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance (AAMJAF)*, Vol. 2, pp. 85-113.

Jiraporn, P. (2006). Share repurchases, shareholder rights, and corporate governance provisions. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 17(1), pp. 35-47.

Jeon, J. Q., Lee, C., and Moffett, C. M. (2011). Effects of foreign ownership on payout policy: Evidence from the Korean market. *Journal of Financial Markets*, Vol. 14(2), pp. 344-375.

Jo, H. and Pan, C. (2009). Why are firms with entrenched managers more likely to pay dividends? *Review of Accounting & Finance*, Vol. 8 (1), pp. 87-116.

Lev, B. and Kunitzky, S. (1974). On the Association between Smoothing Measures and the Risk of Common. *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 49(2), pp. 259-270.

Liljeblom, E. and Pasternack, D. (2006). Share Repurchases, Dividends and Executive Options: the Effect of Dividend Protection. *European Financial Management*, Vol. 12 (1), pp. 7–28.

Linck, J.S., Netter, J. M. and Yang, T. (2008). The determinants of board structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol.87, pp. 308–328.

Ling, F. S., Abdul Mutalip, M. L., Shahrin, A. R., and Othman, M. S. (2008). Dividend Policy: Evidence From Public Listed Companies in Malaysia. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, Vol. 4 (4), pp. 208-222.

Moh'd, M. A., Perry, L. L. and Rimbey, J. N. (1995). An investigation of the dynamic relationship between agency theory and dividend policy. *The Financial Review*, Vol. 30(2), pp. 367-385.

Mohd Ghazali, N. A. (2010). Ownership structure, corporate governance and corporate performance in Malaysia. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, Vol. 20(2), pp. 109-119.

Mohd Saleh, N., Che Abdul Rahman, M. R., and Hassan, M. S. (2009). Ownership structure and intellectual capital performance in Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 5 (1), 1-29.

Opler, T., L. Pinkowitz, R. Stulz, and R. Williamson. (1999). The Determinants and Implications of Corporate Cash Holdings. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 52, pp. 3-46.

Oswald, D. and Young, S. (2004). What Role Taxes and Regulation? A Second Look at Open Market Share Buyback Activity in the UK. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 31(1) & (2), pp. 257-292.

Ramli, N. M., (2010). Ownership Structure and Dividend Policy: Evidence from Malaysian Companies. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, Vol. 6, pp. 170-180. Sabri, N.R. (2003). Using Treasury "Repurchase" Shares to Stabilize Stock Markets. *International Journal of Business*. Vol. 8 (4).

Sanders, G. and Mason, A. (2003). Strategic Satisficing? A Behavioral-Agency Theory Perspective on Stock Repurchase Program Announcements. *The Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 46 (2), pp. 160-178.

Skinner, D. J. (2008). The evolving relation between earnings, dividends, and stock repurchases. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 87, pp. 582–609.

Sulong, Z., and Mat Nor, F. (2008). Dividend, Ownership Structure and Board Governance on Firm Value: Empirical evidence from Malaysia Listed Firms. Malaysia *Accounting Review*, Vol. 7 (2).

Sulong, Z. and Mat Nor, F. (2010). Corporate governance mechanisms and firm valuation in Malaysian listed firms: A panel data analysis. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, Vol.6(1).

Sulong, Z. and Ahmed, P. K. (2011). Ownership structure, board governance, dividends and firm value: an empirical examination of Malaysian listed firms. *Int. J. Business Governance and Ethics*, Vol. 6(2).

Weisbach, M. S. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 20, pp. 431-460.

Weisbenner, S. J. (2000). Corporate share repurchases in the 1990s: What role do stock options play? *AFA 2002 Atlanta Meetings; FEDS Working Paper*.

Wilkinson, B. R., Cahan, S. F., and Jones, G. (2001). Strategies and dividend imputation: the effect of foreign and domestic ownership on average effective tax rates. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing & Taxation*, Vol. 10, pp. 157–175.