23951

I.Arockiarani and J.Martina Jency/ Elixir Appl. Math. 70 (2014) 23951-23958

Awakening to reality Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Applied Mathematics



Elixir Appl. Math. 70 (2014) 23951-23958

Fineutro sets and fineutro topological spaces

I.Arockiarani¹ and J.Martina Jency²

¹Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. ²Department of Mathematics, Coimbatore Institute of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 9 March 2014; Received in revised form: 20 April 2014; Accepted: 3 May 2014;

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the new form of set namely Fineutro set and lays a foundation to discuss its behavior. Also we have defined Fineutro topological spaces.

© 2014 Elixir All rights reserved

Keywords

Neutrosophic set, Intuitionistic Neutrosophic set, Neutrosophic topological spaces, Fineutro set , Fineutro topological spaces.

1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [12] initiated the concept of fuzzy sets and Chang[4] introduced fuzzy topology in 1968. After which there have been a number of generalizations on this fundamental concept. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets introduced by Atanassov[2] is one among them. Using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets Coker[5] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. The theory of neutrosophic set, which is the generalization of the classical sets ,conditional fuzzy set and interval valued fuzzy set was introduced by Smarandache [10]. This concept has been applied in many fields such as databases, Medical diagnosis problem, Decision making problem, Topology and control theory and so on. The concept of Neutrosophic set handle indeterminate data whereas fuzzy set theory and intuitionistic fuzzy set theory failed when the relations are indeterminate.

From philosophical point of view, the neutrosophic set takes the value from real standard or non-standard subset of $]^{-0}$, 1^{+} [. But in real life application in scientific and Engineering problems it is difficult to use neutrosophic set with value from real standard or non-standard subset of $]^{-0}$, 1^{+} [. Hence we consider the neutrosophic set which takes the value from the subset of [0, 1].

In 2009 Manoranjan Bhowmik[6] introduced Intuitionistic Neutrosophic set. We now see the birth of a new form of set namely Fineutro set which elucidates the property that sum of the membership, indeterminacy membership and non membership functional values lie between 0 and 2. Furthur we discuss their characterizations.

2.Preliminaries

Definition 2.1:[7]

A Neutrosophic set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as

 $A_{A=}(x, T_{A}(x), I_{A}(x), F_{A}(x)), x \in X \text{ where } T, I, F: X \to]^{0}, 1^{+}[\text{ and } ^{-}0 \leq T_{A}(x) + I_{A}(x) + F_{A}(x) \leq 3^{+}].$

Definition2.2:[6]

A truth vaue based Neutrosophic set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as

$$A_{A=}(x, T_{A}(x), I_{A}(x), F_{A}(x)), x \in X \text{ where } T, I, F: X \to [0,1] \text{ and } 0 \le T_{A}(x) + I_{A}(x) + F_{A}(x) \le 3$$

Definition2.3:[6]

An intuitionistic neutrosophic set is defined by $A^* = \left\langle x, T_{A^*}(x), I_{A^*}(x), F_{A^*}(x) \right\rangle$ where

Tele: E-mail addresses: martinajency@gmail.com

© 2014 Elixir All rights reserved

$$\min \left\{ T_{A^{*}}(x), F_{A^{*}}(x) \right\} \le 0.5, \min \left\{ T_{A^{*}}(x), I_{A^{*}}(x) \right\} \le 0.5 \text{ and } \min \left\{ F_{A^{*}}(x), I_{A^{*}}(x) \right\} \le 0.5 \text{ for all } x \in X$$

With the condition $0 \le T_{A^{*}}(x) + I_{A^{*}}(x) + F_{A^{*}}(x) \le 2$

Definition 3.1:

A Fineutro set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as $A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$ for all $x \in X$ where $T_A, I_A, F_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and it must satisfy the following conditions (i) $I_A(x) \le 1 - \min(T_A(x), F_A(x))$ (ii) $0 \le T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \le 2$

Definition 3.2:

A Fineutro set A is a subset of a Fineutro set B (i.e.,) $A \subseteq B$ for all x if $T_A(x) \leq T_B(x)$, $I_A(x) \leq I_B(x)$, $F_A(x) \geq F_B(x)$ **Definition 3.3 :**

Let X be a non empty set, and $A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle, B = \langle x, T_B(x), I_B(x), F_B(x) \rangle$ be two fineutro sets. Then $A \cup B = \langle x, \max(T_A(x), T_B(x)), \max(I_A(x), I_B(x)), \min(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle$

 $A \cap B = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), T_B(x)), \min(I_A(x), I_B(x)), \max(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle$

Note:

1. Arbitrary union of a Fineutro sets is a Fineutro set

2. Arbitrary intersection of a Fineutro sets is a Fineutro set

Definition 3.4:

The difference between two fineutro sets A and B is defined as

 $A \mid B(x) = \left\langle x, \min\left(T_A(x), F_B(x)\right), \min\left(I_A(x), 1 - I_B(x)\right), \max\left(F_A(x), T_B(x)\right) \right\rangle$

Definition 3.5:

A Fineutro set A over the universe X is said to be null or empty Fineutro set if $T_A(x) = 0$

 $I_A(x) = 0$, $F_A(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. It is denoted by O_N

Definition 3.6:

A Fineutro set A over the universe X is said to be absolute (universe) Fineutro set if $T_A(x) = 1$, $I_A(x) = 1$, $F_A(x) = 0$ for all x

 \in X. It is denoted by \mathbf{I}_N

Definition 3.7:

The complement of a Fineutro set A is denoted by A^c and is defined as

$$A^{c} = \left\langle x, T_{A^{c}}(x), I_{A^{c}}(x), F_{A^{c}}(x) \right\rangle_{\text{where}} T_{A^{c}}(x) = F_{A}(x) , I_{A^{c}}(x) = 1 - I_{A}(x), F_{A^{c}}(x) = T_{A}(x)$$

The complement of a Fineutro set A can also be defined as $A^{c} = 1_{N} - A$ **Proposition 3.8:**

Let A_i 's and B be Fineutro sets in X ($i \in J$) then $A_i \subseteq B$ for each $i \in J \implies YA_i \subseteq B$ **Proof:**

Let
$$A_i \subseteq B_{(i.e.,)} A_1 \subseteq B, A_2 \subseteq B, \dots, A_n \subseteq B$$

Proposition 3.9:

Let A_i 's and B be Fineutro sets in X (i \in J) then $B \subseteq A_i$ for each $i \in J \implies B \subseteq I A_i$ **Proof:**

Let
$$B \subseteq A_{i \text{ (i.e.,)}} B \subseteq A_{1}, B \subseteq A_{2}, \dots, B \subseteq A_{n}$$

 $\Rightarrow T_{B}(x) \leq T_{A_{1}}(x), I_{B}(x) \leq I_{A_{1}}(x), F_{B}(x) \geq F_{A_{1}}(x)$
 $T_{B}(x) \leq T_{A_{2}}(x), I_{B}(x) \leq I_{A_{2}}(x), F_{B}(x) \geq F_{A_{2}}(x)$
.....
 $T_{B}(x) \leq T_{A_{n}}(x), I_{B}(x) \leq I_{A_{n1}}(x), F_{B}(x) \geq F_{A_{n}}(x)$

$$\Rightarrow T_{B}(x) \leq \min(T_{A_{1}}(x), T_{A_{2}}(x), \dots, T_{A_{n}}(x))$$

$$I_{B}(x) \leq \min(I_{A_{1}}(x), I_{A_{2}}(x), \dots, I_{A_{n}}(x))$$

$$F_{B}(x) \leq \max(F_{A_{1}}(x), F_{A_{2}}(x), \dots, F_{A_{n}}(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow B \subseteq I \quad A_{i} \quad \forall i \in J \quad \text{where} \quad I \quad A_{i} = \left\langle x, \min(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}), \min(I_{A_{1}}, I_{A_{2}}, \dots, I_{A_{n}}), \max(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle$$

Proposition 3.10 :

Let A_i's be Fineutro sets in X_i, $i \in J$ then (i) $(\mathbf{Y}A_i)^c = \mathbf{I} \quad A_i^c \quad (ii) (\mathbf{I} \quad A_i)^c = \mathbf{Y}A_i^c$ **Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}A_{i} &= \left\langle x, \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}), \max(I_{A_{1}}, I_{A_{2}}, \dots, I_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ &\left(\mathbf{Y}A_{i}\right)^{c} = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), 1 - \max(I_{A_{1}}, I_{A_{2}}, \dots, I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, (F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), (1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), (T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), (1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), (T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(1 - I_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{2}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \max(T_{A_{1}}, T_{A_{2}}, \dots, T_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle x, \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, F_{A_{2}}, \dots, F_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{n}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{n}}, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{n}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}), \min(F_{A_{1}}, 1 - I_{A_{n}}, \dots, 1 - I_{A_{n}}) \right\rangle$$

From (1) and (2) $(\mathbf{Y} A_i) = \mathbf{I}$ Proof of (ii) is similar.

Proposition 3.11:

Let A and B be Fineutro sets then $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow B^c \subseteq A^c$

Proof:

Let A and B be Fineutro sets then

$$A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow T_A(x) \leq T_B(x), I_A(x) \leq I_B(x), F_A(x) \geq F_B(x)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow F_B(x) \leq F_A(x), 1 - I_B(x) \leq 1 - I_A(x), T_B(x) \geq T_A(x)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow B^c \subseteq A^c$$

Proposition 3.12 :

Let A be a Fineutro set in X then $(A^c)^c = A$

Proof:

Let
$$A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$$
 be a Fineutro set in X then $A^c = \langle x, F_A(x), 1 - I_A(x), T_A(x) \rangle$
Hence $(A^c)^c = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle = A$
Note:

$$(0_N)^c = 1_N$$
, $(1_N)^c = 0_N$

Proposition 3.13 :

Let A be a Fineutro set in X then the following properties hold:

(*i*)
$$A \cup 0_N = A$$
 (*ii*) $A \cup 1_N = 1_N$ (*iii*) $A \cap 0_N = 0_N$ (*iv*) $A \cap 1_N = A$

Proof:

Let A=
$$\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$$
, $0_N = \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$, $1_N = \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$

(i)
$$A \cup 0_N = \langle x, \max(T_A(x), 0), \max(I_A(x), 0), \min(F_A(x), 1) \rangle$$

= $\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$
= A

(*ii*)
$$A \cup 1_N = \langle x, \max(T_A(x), 1), \max(I_A(x), 1), \min(F_A(x), 0) \rangle$$

= $\langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle = 1_N$

(*iii*)
$$A \cap O_N = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), 0), \min(I_A(x), 0), \max(F_A(x), 1) \rangle$$

= $\langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle = O_N$

(iv)
$$A \cap 1_N = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), 1), \min(I_A(x), 1), \max(F_A(x), 0) \rangle$$

= $\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle = A$

Proposition 3.14 :

Let A and B be two Fineutro sets in X then $A \cup B = A_{\text{ if and only if }} B \subseteq A$ **Proof:**

Let A and B be two Fineutro sets in X such that $A \cup B = A_{.}$ (i.e.,)

 $\langle x, \max(T_A(x), T_B(x)), \max(I_A(x), I_B(x)), \min(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$

 $\Leftrightarrow \max(T_A(x), T_B(x)) = T_A(x) , \ \max(I_A(x), I_B(x)) = I_A(x) , \ \min(F_A(x), F_B(x)) = F_A(x)$ $\Leftrightarrow T_B(x) \le T_A(x) , \ I_B(x) \le I_A(x) , \ F_B(x) \ge F_A(x)$ $\Leftrightarrow B \subset A$

Proposition 3.15:

Let A and B be two Fineutro sets in X then $A \setminus B = B^c \setminus A^c$

Proof:

Let
$$A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$$
, $B = \langle x, T_B(x), I_B(x), F_B(x) \rangle$
 $(A \setminus B)(x) = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), F_B(x)), \min(I_A(x), 1 - I_B(x)), \max(F_A(x), T_B(x)) \rangle$
 $A^c = \langle x, F_A(x), 1 - I_A(x), T_A(x) \rangle$, $B^c = \langle x, F_B(x), 1 - I_B(x), T_B(x) \rangle$
 $(B^c \setminus A^c)(x) = \langle x, \min(F_B(x), T_A(x)), \min(1 - I_B(x), I_A(x)), \max(T_B(x), F_A(x)) \rangle$
 $(A \setminus B)(x) = (B^c \setminus A^c)(x)$

Hence

Proposition 3.16:

Let A, B and C be Fineutro sets in X then

(i)
$$A \cup B = B \cup A$$

(ii) $A \cap B = B \cap A$
(iii) $A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$
(iv) $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$
(v) $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
(vi) $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
(vii) $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
(viii) $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

4. Fineutro Topological Spaces

Definition 4.1:

A Fineutro topology on a nonempty set X is a τ of Fineutro sets in X satisfying the following axioms

- (*i*) $0_N, 1_N \in \tau$
- (*ii*) $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau$ for any $A_1, A_2 \in \tau$
- (iii) $\cup A_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{A_i : i \in J\} \in \tau$

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called Fineutro topological space and any Fineutro set in τ is known as Fineutro open set in X. Example 4.2:

Let X= {a,b,c} and consider the family $\tau = \{0_N, 1_N, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ where $A_1 = \{\langle a, 0.6, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \langle b, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle c, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle\}$ $A_2 = \{\langle a, 0.7, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.9, 0.7, 0.2 \rangle\}$ $A_3 = \{\langle a, 0.7, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle, \langle b, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle c, 0.9, 0.7, 0.2 \rangle\}$ $A_4 = \{\langle a, 0.6, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle\}$

Then (X, τ) is called Fineutro topological space on X

Definition 4.3:

The complement A^c of a Fineutro set A in a Fineutro topological space (X, τ) is called a Fineutro closed set in X.

Now we define closure and interior operations in Fineutro topological spaces

Definition 4.4:

Let (X, τ) be a Fineutro topological space and A= $\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$ be a Fineutro set in X. Then the closure and interior of A are defined by

Fine $int(A) = Y \{G: G \text{ is a Fineutro open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}$ Fine $cl(A) = I \{G: G \text{ is a Fineutro closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq G \}$

Proposition 4.5:

Let (X,τ) be a Fineutro topological space over X. Then the following properties hold.

(i) Fine
$$cl(A^c) = (Fine \text{ int } A)^c$$
 (ii) Fine int $A^c = (Fine \ cl \ A)^c$

Proof:

Let A= $\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$

Suppose that the family of Fineutro open sets G_i contained in A are indexed by the family $\left\{ \left\langle x, T_{G_i}(x), I_{G_i}(x), F_{G_i}(x) \right\rangle : i \in J \right\}$

Fine int
$$A = \left\langle x, \max(T_{G_i}(x)), \max(I_{G_i}(x)), \min(F_{G_i}(x)) \right\rangle$$

 $\Rightarrow (Fine int A)^c = \left\langle x, \min(F_{G_i}(x)), \max(I_{G_i}(x)), \max(T_{G_i}(x)) \right\rangle$
(1)

Then we see that \Rightarrow (*Fine* int A)^c = $\langle x, \min(F_{G_i}(x)), 1 - \max(I_{G_i}(x)), \max(T_{G_i}(x)) \rangle$ (1)

 $\begin{aligned} A^{c} &= \left\langle x, F_{A}(x), 1 - I_{A}(x), T_{A}(x) \right\rangle \\ \Theta \ G_{i} &\subseteq A \quad \forall i \in J \\ T_{G_{i}}(x) \leq T_{A}(x), I_{G_{i}}(x) \leq I_{A}(x), F_{G_{i}}(x) \geq F_{A}(x) \quad \forall i \in J \\ \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \text{We obtain that} \quad \left\{ \left\langle x, F_{G_{i}}(x), 1 - I_{G_{i}}(x), T_{G_{i}}(x) \right\rangle : i \in J \right\} \\ \text{ is the family of Fineutro closed sets containing A}^{c} .(i.e.,) \\ Fine \ cl \left(A^{c}\right) &= \left\{ < x, \min F_{G_{i}}(x), \min(1 - I_{G_{i}}(x)), \max(T_{G_{i}}(x)) > : i \in J \right\} \\ &= \left\{ < x, \min F_{G_{i}}(x), 1 - \max I_{G_{i}}(x), \max(T_{G_{i}}(x)) > : i \in J \right\} \\ \end{aligned}$

Hence Fine $cl(A^{c}) = (Fine \text{ int } A)^{c}$

Similarly we can prove (ii).

Proposition 4.6:

Let (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) be two Fineutro topological spaces. Denote $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \{A : A \in \tau_1 \text{ and } A \in \tau_2\}$ then $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is a Fineutro topological space.

Proof:

Obviously $0_N, 1_N \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ Let $A_1, A_2 \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \Longrightarrow A_1, A_2 \in \tau_1$, $A_1, A_2 \in \tau_2$ τ_1 and τ_2 are Fineutro topological spaces on X. Then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau_1$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau_2 \Longrightarrow A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ Let $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \Longrightarrow A_i \in \tau_1$ and $A_i \in \tau_2 \quad \forall i \in J$

Since τ_1 and τ_2 are Fineutro topological spaces on X

 $\mathbf{Y}\{A_i: i \in J\} \in \tau_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{Y}\{A_i: i \in J\} \in \tau_2 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}\{A_i: i \in J\} \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$

Therefore $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is a Fineutro topological space.

Remark:

 $au_1 \cup au_2$ is not a Fineutro topological space can be seen by the following example.

Example 4.7:

Let X={a,b},
$$\tau_1 = \{0_N, 1_N, A\}$$
 and $\tau_1 = \{0_N, 1_N, B\}$ where
 $A = \{(a, 0.6, 0.7, 0.2), (b, 0.9, 0.7, 0.2)\}$
 $B = \{(a, 0.7, 0.6, 0.3), (b, 0.8, 0.5, 0.4)\}$
Here $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2 = \{0_N, 1_N, A, B\}$, Since $A \cup B, A \cap B \notin \tau_1 \cup \tau_2, \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ is not a Fineutro topological space

Definition 4.8:

Let (X, τ) be a Fineutro topological space on X.

- (i) A family $\beta \subseteq \tau$ is called a base for (X, τ) if and only if each member of τ can be written as the elements of β .
- (ii) A family $\gamma \subseteq \tau$ is called a sub base for (X, τ) if and only if the family of finite intersections of elements in γ forms a base for (X, τ) . In this case the Finite topology τ is said to be generated by γ .

Proposition 4.9:

Let (X,τ) be a Fineutro topological space over X. Then the following properties hold.

- (i) $0_{N,1_N}$ are Fineutro closed sets over X
- (ii) The intersection of any number of Fineutro closed sets is a Fineutro closed set over X
- (iii) The union of any two Fineutro closed sets is a Fineutro closed set over X

Proof: It is obvious.

References:

[1] K.Atanassov and S .Stoeva,Intuitionistic fuzzy sets,Polish Symp. On Interval and Fuzzy Mathematics ,Poznan(August, 1983), Proceedings:23-26.

- [2] K.Atanassov ,Intuitionistic fuzzy sets,Fuzzy sets and systems ,1986,20:87-96
- [3] K.Atanassov, Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, IM-MFAIS-1-88, Sofia, (1988), 1-8.
- [3] K.Atanassov, More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets , Fuzzy sets and systems, 1989, 33(1):37-46
- [4] C.Chang, Fuzzy topological Spaces, J.Math. Ana. Appl. 24(1968) 182-190.
- [5] Dogan Coker, An introduction to Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88-1(1997)81-89
- [6] Manoranjan Bhowmik, Madhumangal Pal, Intuitionistic Neutrosophic set, Journal of Information and Computing Science ,Vol 4,No .2,2009,142-152.

[7] A.A.Salalama and S.A.Alblowi, Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic Topological spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, Vol 3, Issue 4(Sep-Oct 2012), 31-35

[8] A.A.Salama , Generalized, Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic topological spaces, Computer science and Engineering 2012,2(7):129-132

- [9] F.Smarandache, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference On Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA (2002)
- [10] F.Smarandache, Neutrosophic set, a generialization of the intuituionistics fuzzy sets, Inter.J.Pure Appl.Math., 24 (2005), 287 297.
- [11] I.Turksen, Interval -valued fuzzy sets based on normal form ,Fuzzy sets and systems,1986,20:191-210.
- [12] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 8(1965) 338 353.