32957

Hamza Ahmad Isiyaka et al./ Elixir Pollution 83 (2015) 32957-32962

Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)



Pollution

Elixir Pollution 83 (2015) 32957-32962



# Application of Principal Component Analysis and Multiple Linear regression for Air Pollution Modeling in Selected Monitoring Stations in Malaysia

Hamza Ahmad Isiyaka, Ekhwan Mohd Toriman and Hafizan Juahir

East Coast Environmental Research Institute (ESERI), Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Gong Badak Campus, 21300 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu Malaysia.

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 30 April 2015; Received in revised form: 25 May 2015; Accepted: 3 June 2015;

# Keywords

Multiple linear regression, Principal component analysis, Box and whisker plots, Air pollution modeling, Geographical information system.

### ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to identify the major sources of air pollution and determine the level at which each pollutants contributes to the air pollution index (API). Principal component analysis attributes the pollution source to anthropogenic induced emission (industries, power plants, motor vehicle) accounting for more than 38% and 20% of the total variance. Multiple linear regression (MLR) was used to develop an explicit equation with less complexity and identify the level at which each pollutant contributes to the air pollution index. MLR, box and whisker plots revealed that  $PM_{10}$ , SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> are the mostsignificant parameters affecting the level of pollution. Geographical information system (GIS) was also applied to map out the air quality monitoring sites within the study area. This study simplifies the complexity and dynamic nature of air pollution by providing fundamental information for decision making and policy implementation by stakeholders.

© 2015 Elixir All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Atmospheric air pollution is a fundamental challenge that requires an urgent attention due to its ability to alter the general ecosystem balance and discomfort biotic and abiotic components [1,2]. Despite the effort put by many stakeholders to control and limit the level of pollutant emission, its complexity from point and non-point source make it difficult to curb [1]. [3] suggested that the correlation between the sources and resulting pollutants are not instantaneous. However, it has been identified that rapid industrial expansion, vehicular emission, an increase in urban population and power generation are the major factors contributing to urban air pollution [4].

Air is a composition of gasses held by the force of gravity that act as the spacesuit of the biosphere [5]. Its ability to reduce temperature extremes, conserve heat, the source of oxygen, carbon dioxide and absorption of ultraviolet solar radiation render it a basic necessity for existence [6,7]. Air pollution is a mixture of primary particles emitted from different sources and secondary particles from aerosols formed by chemical reactions [8,9]. The atmospheric condition of an area is polluted when gasses and aerosols accumulate in a high concentration above the threshold set as a standard [10].

Lately, research conducted by [11,12] revealed that motor vehicle emission contributes about 82% of the total pollution load in Malaysia. Other pollutants are emitted from a stationary source (industrial activities, power stations, and construction sites) and transboundary pollutants especially during the Sumatra bush burning in Indonesia with the worst episode in 1997 [13,14]. A report by the department of environment shows that the air quality status in Malaysia based on air pollution index (API) fall within the range of good and moderate from 2008 to 2011. In 2008, the status of good air quality fall around 59%, 55.6% in 2009, 63% in 2010 and 55% in 2011 [13].

However, the rapid expansion in the economic settings of Malaysia in terms of industrial activities, construction of modern city centers, expansion in mechanized system of agriculture and tourism have affected the quality of air in both micro and macro scale [14,15].

Furthermore, an understanding of the regional variability in the characteristics of air pollutants requires an integration of samples from monitoring sites and robust statistical techniques [17]. Application of PCA and MLR model have been widely used by researchers [2,14,18,19,20,21,22,23,24] to model the dynamic characteristic of environmental pollution. This technique can reduce the dimensionality of large data sets, identify the major pollution source and model the percentage contribution of individual pollutants [18,24].

The objectives of this study are to understand the complex source of air pollution, determine the contribution of each pollutant and develop an explicit equation model with the low level of complexity.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Study area

The study area comprises of five air quality monitoring sites under the supervision of the Department of Environment Malaysia (DOE). The spatial location of these monitoring sites can provide a synoptic view of the air quality characteristics within the study area.

These stations comprises of Pasir Gudang, Johor (ST01) located in the southern Peninsular Malaysia; Kemaman, Terengganu (ST02) is situated in the eastern Peninsular Malaysia; Perai, Pulau Pinang (ST03) in the Northern Peninsular Malaysia. Sibiu Sarawak (4) and Tawu Sabah (ST05) are situated in the eastern Malaysia. The location of the sampling sites were mapped out using the ArcGIS version 10.22 software comprising of the latitude and longitude of each sampling station in Fig. 1 and Table 1. GIS is a computer-based mapping and information retrieval system based on a unique geographical location. Air quality parameters (carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)) observed over a period of five years (2003-2007) were sourced from DOE in the form of hourly

(1)

reading. The data were converted to daily observations, and all missing values were estimated using the nearest neighbor in the XLSTAT 2014 add-in software environment. The total number of missing values was around 3%. The nearest neighbor allows an estimation of unknown values using the known values at neighboring locations [12]. Equation 1 can be used to estimate missing values:

 $y = y_1 \text{ if } x \le x_1 + [(x_2 - x_1)/2]$  $y = y_1 \text{ if } x \ge x_1 + [(x_2 - x_1)/2]$ 

Where y represents the interpolate, x is the time point of the interpolate,  $y_1$  and  $x_1$  are the coordinates of the starting point of the gap and  $y_2$  and  $x_2$  are the end points of the gaps.

Although, the entire analysis in this study were done using the XLSTAT 2014 add-in software. This software can handle complex data sets; its application is user-friendly and very flexible. The software have been explored by several researchers to model different environmental issues ranging from water pollution to atmospheric air modeling [12,14,21,25].



Figure 1. Location of monitoring site using ArcGIS Data collection / pre-processing Principal component analysis (PCA)

Ambient air pollution monitoring usually generates huge data, and PCA can reduce the dimensionality of observed parameters with minimal loss of the original variables [25]. This can be achieved by an unsupervised elimination of the less significant observations and retaining the most important observations called principal components [23,26]. PCA is a pattern recognition technique used to identify the possible source of pollution [12].

Furthermore, in order to provide a simple and accurate representation of the source of pollution, it is imperative to rotate the principal components by varimax rotation with an eigenvalue greater than one [25; 27]. The varimax rotation produces new groups of variables called varimax factors (VFs) [28] that are equal to the numbers of variables in accordance with the common factors of unobservable, hypothetical and latent variables [27]. The equation for principal component analysis can be written as:

 $Z_{ij} = a_{i1}x_{1j} + a_{i2}x_{2j} + a_{i3}x_{3j} + \dots + a_{im}x_{mj}$ (2)

Where z is the component score, a is the component loading, x is the measured value of variables, i is the component number, j is the sample number and m is the total number of variables.

# Multiple linear regression model (MLR)

MLR is a modeling technique that can predict the variability that exists between a dependent and an independent variable [29,30]. It is used to form explicit equations that are less complex [14]. The model is embedded with k independent

variables and n observation. Thus, the regress model can be represented as [31]:

$$Y_i = \beta_o + \beta_I x_{Ii} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + \varepsilon_i \ Eq$$
(3)

Where  $i = 1, ..., \beta_0, \beta_1$  and  $\beta_k$  are regression coefficient,  $x_1$  and  $x_k$  are independent variables and  $\varepsilon$  is error associated with the regression.

Using this method, the contribution of each parameter were predicted base on the coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$ , adjusted coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  and Root mean square error (RMSE) [32]. To achieve this, the parameters were introduced to the linear model as independent variables with API as the dependent variables [14].

The XLSTAT 2014 add-in software was applied in order to understand the underlying statistical composition of each observed parameter in the entire data sets as described in Table 2 below.

# **Results and Discussion**

**Descriptive statistics** 

The descriptive statistics of the observed parameters comprising of the total number of observation, minimum and maximum values, media, mean, variance and standard deviation are shown in Table 2.

## Principal component analysis for source identification

PCA was applied in this study in order to understand the pattern and possible sources of pollution based on the activities within the study area. Two varifactors were obtained for the varimax rotation with an eigenvalue greater than one. This accounted for more than 38% (VF1) and 20% (VF2) of the total variance in the data set as displayed in the PCA loading plot in Fig. 2.

However, in order to identify the most significant parameters explaining the source of pollution, only factor loading greater than 0.70 were selected for interpretation. This is because strong factors represent strong positive loading that can influence the air quality characteristics of an area. According to [4] factor loading with a high variability can be used as a base for source identification while those factors from 0.5-0.3 represent a weaker influence on the source of air pollution. The scree plot diagram explaining the cut-off point for the eigenvalue is also displayed in Fig. 3.



Figure 2. PCA loading plot after varimax rotation





In Table 3, the first varimax factor (VF1) has a strong positive loading for  $PM_{10}$  (0.809),  $SO_2$  (0.730) and  $NO_2$  (0.817). The source of PM<sub>10</sub> can be attributed to industrial and construction activities, vehicular emission, soil dust resuspension and bush burning within the study area [33,34]. A report by the Malaysia Ministry of Transport [35] revealed that the number of registered vehicles in Malaysia is on a rapid increase from 934,367 (4.42%) in 2004 to 1,160,082 as at 2010. This increase contributes immensely to the emission of PM<sub>10</sub> within the study area. PM10 can also be produced by photochemical oxidation of its precursors as secondary pollutants under favorable atmospheric condition [36]. SO<sub>2</sub> is produced by industrial emission, coal fire plants, as well as emission from heavy diesel engines, buses, and lorries [14,37].

 $NO_2$  is mostly produced from fossil fuel burning especially in industries and reaction of nitrogen with oxygen in the air at a very high temperature [6]. A study conducted by [38] indicates that about 69% of  $NO_2$  is emitted from power stations and industrial activities while motor vehicles emission account for 28%, and the remaining 3% makes up other sources.

VF2 has a strong positive loading for  $\underline{O}_3$  which is the primary component of smog formed by photochemical oxidation of its precursor (NOx CO and VOCs) [39]. According to [39], a high concentration of  $O_3$  exceeding 40ppbv can limit the life span and stress tolerance of forest trees and crops. Its primary source of origin is from motor vehicle emission in high traffic areas.

### Air pollution modeling using multiple linear regression

An explicit equation with a small level of complexity was developed to explain the level of pollution. The standardized coefficient that represents the individual contribution of pollutants is described in Fig. 4. However, the box and whisker plots in Fig. 6 were used to ascertain the contribution of individual pollutants to the level of the air pollution index within the study area. Furthermore, the equation developed for the model comprising of each pollutant, the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and the root mean square error (RMSE) can be written in equation (4) as:

From the above equation (4) it is glaring that all the observed parameters have a positive influence on the API value with an  $R^2 = 74\%$  and RMSE = 7.9. Although PM<sub>10</sub> appears to have more significant impact followed by SO<sub>2</sub> compared with other parameters. The high concentration of PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> can be linked with the industrial activities, power plants, emission from vehicles, construction sites and resuspension of soil dust [14,32]. Extensive petrochemical activities and Liquefied natural gas exploration by PETRONAS can be found in Kertih and Sarawak. Johor is known for its extensive industrial activities spanning from primary production to more advanced industries. Heavy Traffic can also be found in Kuala Terengganu, Johor and other city centers of the study area [15].



### Figure 4. Standardized coefficient for individual Contribution of pollutants

The scatter plot represented in Fig. 5 explains the prediction performance of the MLR model. It provides a visual representation of the relationship between the response and predictor variables.



Figure 5. Scatter plot for predicted API

### Hamza Ahmad Isiyaka et al./ Elixir Pollution 83 (2015) 32957-32962

| Tuble 1. Docution of study af cu bused on futitude and tongitude |                               |              |              |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Site ID  | Location                      | Latitude     | Longitude    |  |  |  |
| ST01   | Pasir Gudang, Johor           | N01° 28.225  | E103° 53.637 |  |  |  |
| ST02   | Kemaman, Terengganu           | N04° 16.260  | E103° 25.826 |  |  |  |
| ST03   | Seberang, Perai, Pulau Pinang | N05° 23.890  | E100° 24.194 |  |  |  |
| ST04   | Sibu, Sarawak                 | N02° 18.856  | E111° 49.906 |  |  |  |
| ST05   | Tawu, Sabah                   | E117° 56.166 | N04° 15.016  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Location of study area based on latitude and longitude

#### Table 2. Descriptive statistics of monitored parameters (2003-2007)

|                     |        |                       | Observed parameters   |                 |                 |         |  |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--|
|                     | СО     | <b>O</b> <sub>3</sub> | PM <sub>10</sub>      | SO <sub>2</sub> | NO <sub>2</sub> |         |  |
| Statistic           | (ppm)  | (ppm)                 | (µg m <sup>-3</sup> ) | (ppm)           | (ppm)           | API     |  |
| No. of observations | 5480   | 5480                  | 5480                  | 5480            | 5480            | 5480    |  |
| Minimum             | 0.014  | 0.000                 | 1.010                 | 0.000           | 0.000           | 3.000   |  |
| Maximum             | 54.000 | 13.000                | 205.000               | 0.060           | 0.060           | 125.000 |  |
| Median              | 0.570  | 0.014                 | 47.000                | 0.003           | 0.010           | 48.000  |  |
| Mean                | 0.620  | 0.020                 | 49.695                | 0.007           | 0.010           | 47.477  |  |
| Variance            | 0.680  | 0.034                 | 391.524               | 0.000           | 0.000           | 245.180 |  |
| Std dev             | 0.825  | 0.184                 | 19.787                | 0.009           | 0.007           | 15.658  |  |

#### Table 3. Factor loading after varimax rotation

| Variables        | VF1    | VF2    |
|------------------|--------|--------|
| CO               | 0.269  | 0.440  |
| O <sub>3</sub>   | -0.047 | 0.898  |
| PM <sub>10</sub> | 0.809  | 0.020  |
| SO <sub>2</sub>  | 0.730  | -0.098 |
| NO <sub>2</sub>  | 0.817  | 0.028  |
| Eigenvalue       | 1.930  | 1.009  |
| Variability (%)  | 38.602 | 20.172 |
| Cumulative %     | 38.602 | 58.774 |



#### Conclusion

Atmospheric air pollution exhibits a complex and dynamic characteristics induced by anthropogenic activities from point source and non-point sources. PCA and MLR were applied to simplify these complexity and provide fundamental information for decision making and policy implimentation by stakeholders. In this regard, the source of pollution within the study area were identified using PCA that account for more than 38% and 20% of the total variance in the data sets. The sources of pollution were attributed to anthropogenic induced activities (Industrial activities, power plants, motor vehicle emission, construction sites, biomas burning). MLR model was used to predict the level of pollution to the tune of 74% as well as develop an explicit equation model with less complexity. Based on MLR, box and whisker plots, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> are the most influencing parameters within the study area. GIS was also used to map out the location of each monitoring stations in order to provide a spatial representation of monitoring sites in the environment. Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their special thanks to the East Coast Environmental Research Institutes (ESERI) for their consistent and constructive advices during this work. Our profound gratitude goes to the Department of Environment Malaysia (DOE) for providing all the data needed for this study. **References** 

[1] A. Karagiannidis, A. Poupkou, T. Giannaros, C. Giannaros, D. Melas, and A. Argiriou. "The Air Quality of a Mediterranean Urban Environment Area and Its Relation to Major Meteorological Parameters". *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2015, 226(1), 1-13.

[2] L. Tositti, E. Brattich, M. Masiol, D. Baldacci, D. Ceccato, S. Parmeggiani, M. Stracquadanio and S. Zappoli "Source apportionment of particulate matter in a large city of southeastern" Po Valley (Bologna, Italy) Environ Sci Pollut Res 2014, 21:872–890 DOI 10.1007/s11356-013-1911-7

[3]M. Caselli, L. Trizio, G. de Gennaro and P. Ielpo. "A Simple Feedforward Neural Network for the  $PM_{10}$  Forecasting: Comparison with a Radial Basis Function Network and a Multivariate Linear Regression Model" Water Air Soil Pollut 2009, 201:365–377 DOI 10.1007/s11270-008-9950-2

[4] C.W. Liu, K.H. Lin, and Y.M Kuo, "Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a blackfoot disease area in Taiwan". *Science of the Total Environment*, 2003, *313*(1), 77-89.

[5]D.B. Botkin and E.A. Keller and D.B. Rosenthal. *Environmental science*, 2012, Wiley

[6]B. Brunekreef, and S.T. Holgate, "Air pollution and health Lancet", 2012. Volume: 360, pp. 1233 – 1242

[7] H. Yazdanpanah, M. Karimi and Z. Hejazizadeh Forecasting of daily total atmospheric ozone in Isfahan Environ Monit Assess 2009 157:235–241 DOI 10.1007/s10661-008-0531-z

[8] I. Salma, X. Chi, and W. Maenhaut. "Elemental and organic carbon in urban canyon and background environments in Budapest, Hungary". *Atmospheric Environment*, 2004, *38*(1),27-36.

[9] A. O. Oyeyiola, C. M. Davidson, K. O. Olayinka, T. O. Oluseyi and B. I. Alo. "Multivariate analysis of potentially toxic metals in sediments of a tropical coastal lagoon", Environ Monit Assess, 2013, 185:2167–2177 DOI 10.1007/s10661-012-2697-7

[10] M.Z. Jacobson. *Atmospheric pollution: history, science, and regulation.* 2002.Cambridge University Press.

[11] S.N.S.A. Mutalib, H. Juahir, A. Azid, S.M. Sharif, M.T. Latif, A.Z. Aris, S.M. Zain and D. Dominick. "Spatial and temporal air quality pattern recognition using environmetric techniques": a case study in Malaysia. Environmental Science, Processes & Impacts, 2013, 1-2

[12] A. Azid, H. Juahir, M.E. Toriman, M.K.A. Kamarudin, A.S.M. Saudi, C.N.C. Hasnam,, and M. Yamin. "Prediction of the Level of Air Pollution Using Principal Component Analysis and Artificial Neural Network Techniques": a Case Study in Malaysia. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2014, 225(8), 1-14

[13] H.H. Jamal, M.S. Pillay, H. Zailina, B.S. Shamsul, K. Sinha, Z. Zaman Huri, S.L. Khew, S. Mazrura, S. Ambu, A. Rahimah and M.S. Ruzita. "A Study of Health Impact & Risk Assessment of Urban Air Pollution in Klang Valley" 2004,. UKM Pakarunding Sdn Bhd, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

[14] D. Dominick, H. Juahir, M.T. Latif, S.M. Zain and A.Z Aris. "Spatial assessment of air quality patterns in Malaysia using multivariate analysis". *Atmospheric Environment*, 2012, 60, 172-181

[15] Department of Environment Malaysia (DOE) Malaysia Environmental Quality Report. 2012 Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Kuala Lumpur.

[17] F.S. Turalıoğlu, A. Nuhoğlu, and H. Bayraktar. "Impacts of some meteorological parameters on SO2 and TSP concentrations in Erzurum, Turkey". *Chemosphere*, 2005, *59*(11), 1633-1642.

[18] N.B.A. Wahid, M.T. Latif, and S. Suratman. "Composition and source apportionment of surfactants in atmospheric aerosols of urban and semi-urban areas in Malaysia". *Chemosphere*, 2013, *91*(11), 1508-1516.

[19] N. Hu, P. Huang, J. Liu, X. Shi, D. Ma, and Y. Liu. "Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface sediments of the Bohai Sea, China" Environ Sci Pollut Res, 2013, 20:1031–1040 DOI 10.1007/s11356-012-1098-3

[20] H. Chen Y. Teng, W. Yue and L. Song. "Characterization and source apportionment of water pollution in Jinjiang River,

China". Environ Monit Assess, 2013, 185:9639–9650 DOI 10.1007/s10661-013-3279-z

[21] I. S. Razak, M.T. Latif, S.A. Jaafar, M.F. Khan and I. Mushrifah. "Surfactants in atmospheric aerosols and rainwater around lake ecosystem:, Environ Sci Pollut Res, 2014, DOI 10.1007/s11356-014-3781-z

[22] S.A. Jaafar, M.T. Latif, C.W. Chian, W.S. Han, N.B.A. Wahid, I.S. Razak and N,M. Tahir. Surfactants in the sea-surface microlayer and atmospheric aerosol around the southern region of Peninsular Malaysia. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 2014, *84*(1), 35-43.

[23] Y.B. Wang, C.W. Liu, P.Y Liao, and J.J Lee. "Spatial pattern assessment of river water quality: implications of reducing the number of monitoring stations and chemical parameters". *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 2014, *186*(3), 1781-1792.

[24] N.I.H. Mustaffa, M.T Latif, M.M. Ali, and M.F. Khan. "Source apportionment of surfactants in marine aerosols at different locations along the Malacca Straits". *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2014, 21(10), 6590-6602.

[25] N.A. Al-Odaini, M.P. Zakaria, M.A. Zali, H. Juahir, M.I. Yaziz, and S. Surif. "Application of chemometrics in understanding the spatial distribution of human pharmaceuticals in surface water". *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 2012, *184*(11), 6735-6748.

[26] Daigle, A., Andre', S., Dan. B., Daniel, C., Loubna, B. (2011). Multivariate analysis of low-flow regimes in eastern Canadian river California to global change. Climatic Change 87, S273–S292.

[27] Juahir, H., Zain, S. M., Yusoff, M. K., Hanidza, T. T., Armi, A. M., Toriman, M. E., & Mokhtar, M. (2011). Spatial water quality assessment of Langat River Basin (Malaysia) using environmetric techniques. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 173(1-4), 625-641.

[28] Eleni G. Farmaki & Nikolaos S. Thomaidis & Vasil Simeonov & Constantinos E. Efstathiou A comparative chemometric study for water quality expertise of the Athenian water reservoirs Environ Monit Assess (2012) 184:7635 – 7652 DOI 10.1007/s10661-012-2524-

[29] Thurston, G.D., K. Ito, T. Mar, W.F. Christensen, D.J. Eatough, R.C. Henry, E. Kim, F. Laden, R. Lall, T.V. Larson, H. Liu, L. Neas, J. Pinto, M. Stolzel, H. Suh, P.K. Hopke (2005) Dec. Workgroup report: workshop on source apportionment of particulate matter health effects - intercomparison of results and implications. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 113 (12), 1768-1774.

[30] Shi, G.L., Zeng, F., LI, X., Feng, Y.C., Wang, Y.Q., Liu, G.X., Zhu, T. (2011) "Estimated contributions and uncertainties of PCA/MLR -CMB results: source apportionment for synthetic and ambient datasets", *Atmos. Environ.* 45, 2811–2819.58

[31] Kovac-Andric, E., Brana, J., Gvozdic, V., (2009) Impact of meteorological factors on ozone Concentrations modeled by time series and multivariate statistical methods. *Ecological Informatics* 4 (2), 117-122.

[32] Petrie, A., Sabin, C., 2000. Medical Statistics at a Glance. Blackwell Science, Oxford.Pham, T.B.T., Manomaiphiboon, K., Vongmahadlek, C., 2007. Updated emission of ozone precursors from energy consumption by power plants and industrial facilities in the Central and Eastern Regions of Thailand. Asian Journal on Energy Environment 8 (1), 483e489.

[33] Arsene, C., Olariu, R. I., & Mihalopoulos, N. (2007). Chemical composition of rainwater in the northeastern Romania, Iasi region (2003–2006). *Atmospheric Environment*, *41*(40), 9452-9467. [34] Yusof, N. F. F. M., Ramli, N. A., Yahaya, A. S., Sansuddin, N. Ghazali, N. A., & al Madhoun, W. (2010). Monsoonal differences and probability distribution of PM10 concentration. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, *163*(1-4), 655-667.

[35] Ministry of Transport Malaysia (MOT). 2010. *Malaysia Transport Statistics Report, 2010*. Putrajaya, Malaysia.

[36] Latif, M. T., Azmi, S. Z., Noor, A. D. M., Ismail, A. S., Johny, Z., Idrus, S., ... & Mokhtar, M. B. (2011). The impact of urban growth on regional air quality surrounding the Langat River Basin, Malaysia. *The Environmentalist*, *31*(3),

[37] Pereira, M.C., Santos, R.C., Alvim-Ferraz, M.C.M., 2007. Air quality improvements using European environment policies: a case study of SO2 in a coastal region in Portugal. Journal of Toxicology and Environment Health e Part A: Current Issue 70, 347e351

[38] Department of Environment Malaysia (DOE) (2010) Malaysia Environmental Quality Report, Ministryof Science, Technology and Environment, Kuala Lumpur

[39] N. Banan, M.T. Latif, L. Juneng, F. Ahamad. 2013. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*. 13: 1090–1106. DOI: 10.4209/aaqr.2012.09.0259.