



Inf – J and Sup - U_j Compositions Between Fuzzy Relations

Thangaraj Beaula¹ and K. Saraswathi²

¹Department of Mathematics, T.B.M.L. College PorayarTamilnadu, India – 609 307.

²Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University Constituent College of Arts and Science, Nagapattinam.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 28 July 2015;

Received in revised form:

30 August 2015;

Accepted: 5 September 2015;

ABSTRACT

In this paper inf – j composition (where j refers to a t- conorm) and sup- u_j composition are defined. Relation between inf- j and sup- u_jcompositions are established. Theorems are proved that express the basic properties of inf- j and sup- u_jcomposition.

© 2015 Elixir All rights reserved.

Keywords

Inf- j,

Sup- u_j composition.

Introduction

Usually fuzzy relation equations are dealt using sup- i composition where i is a continuous t- norm. this is done by Zadeh [6,7] where he introduce compositional rule of interference with the help of sup- min composition. L.A. Zadeh [8] in 1965 introduced fuzzy set in his seminal paper. Goguen [4] in 1967 generalizes the concept of fuzzy sets defining them in terms of maps from a non empty set to a partially ordered set. Brown [2] in 1971 shows that Zadehs basics results carry over to the maps from a non empty set to a lattice. Sanchez [5] suggested the composition inf- j operation. The properties of this composition have been investigated in [1,2]. However in our paper we have defined inf- j along with sup- u_j composition some of its properties are characterized.

Preliminaries

Fuzzy Set

Let X be a non empty set. A fuzzy set A in X is characterized by its membership function $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\mu_A(x)$ is interpreted as the degree of membership of element x in fuzzy set A for each $x \in X$.

t- Norm

A fuzzy intersection/t- norm i is a binary operation on the unit interval that satisfies atleast the following four axioms for all $a, b, d \in [0,1]$

- (i) $i(a, 1) = a$ (boundary condition)
- (ii) $b \leq d$ implies $i(a, b) \leq i(a, d)$ (monotonicity)
- (iii) $i(a, b) = i(b, a)$ (commutativity)
- (iv) $i[a, i(b, d)] = i[i(a, b), d]$ (associativity)

Three of the most important requirements are expressed by the following axioms

- (v) i is a continuous function (continuity)
- (vi) $i(a, a) \leq a$ (sub idempotency)
- (vii) $a_1 < a_2$ and $b_1 < b_2$ implies $i(a_1, b_2) < i(a_2, b_2)$ (strict monotonicity)

t- Conorm

A fuzzy union/t-conorm j is a binary operation on the unite interval that satisfies atleast the following four axioms for all $a, b, d \in [0,1]$

- (i) $j(a, 0) = a$ (boundary condition)
- (ii) $b \leq d$ implies $j(a, b) \leq j(a, d)$ (monotonicity)
- (iii) $j(a, b) = j(b, a)$ (commutativity)
- (iv) $j[a, j(b, d)] = j[j(a, b), d]$ (associativity)

The most important additional requirements for fuzzy unions are expressed by the following axioms

- (v) j is a continuous function (continuity)
- (vi) $j(a, a) > a$ (super idempotency)
- (vii) $a_1 < a_2$ and $b_1 < b_2$ implies $u(a_1, b_1) < u(a_2, b_2)$ (strict monotonicity)

Tele:

E-mail addresses: edwinbeaula@yahoo.co.in

Sup- i Composition

Sup- i composition of binary fuzzy relations, where i refers to a t- norm, generalize the standard max- min composition. Given a particular t- norm i and two fuzzy relations $P(X, Y)$ and $Q(Y, Z)$, the sup-i composition of P and Q is a fuzzy relation $P \circ_i Q$ on

$X \times Z$ defined by

$$[P \circ_i Q](x, z) = \sup_{y \in Y} i[P(x, y), Q(y, z)] \dots \dots \dots (1) \text{ for all } x \in X, z \in Z.$$

Definition

Given a continuous t- norm i , let $w_i(a, b) = \sup\{x \in [0, 1] \mid i(a, x) \leq b\} \dots \dots \dots (2)$

For every $a, b \in [0, 1]$. This operation referred to as operation w_i . While t- norm i may be interpreted as logical conjunction, the corresponding operation w_i may be interpreted as logical implication.

Definition

Given a t- norm i and the associated operation w_i , the inf- w_i composition, $P \circ_{w_i} Q$, of fuzzy relation $P(x, y)$ and $Q(y, z)$ is

defined by the equation $[P \circ_{w_i} Q](x, z) = \inf_{y \in Y} w_i[P(x, y), Q(y, z)] \dots \dots \dots (3)$

for all $x \in X, z \in Z$.

Definition (ELIE SANCHEZ[4])

Let $P(x, y)$ be a fuzzy relation, the fuzzy relation $P^{-1}(y, x)$, the inverse or transpose of P , is defined by

$$P^{-1}(y, x) = P(x, y) \text{ for all } (y, x) \in Y \times X \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

3. Inf- j Compositions of Fuzzy Relations

Inf- j composition of fuzzy relations, where j refers to a t- conorm, generalize the standard min- max composition.

Definition

Given a particular t- conorm j and two fuzzy relations $P(X, Y)$ and $Q(Y, Z)$, the inf- j composition of P and Q is a fuzzy relation $P \circ_j Q$ on $X \times Z$ defined by

$$[P \circ_j Q](x, z) = \inf_{y \in Y} j[P(x, y), Q(y, z)] \dots \dots \dots (5) \text{ for all } x \in X, z \in Z.$$

Basic properties of t- conorm are expressed by the following theorem.

Theorem

For any $a, a_i, b, d \in [0, 1]$, where i takes values from an index set I, operation u_j has the following properties.

- (i) $b \leq d$ implies $j(a, b) \leq j(a, d)$ and $j(b, a) \leq j(d, a)$
- (ii) $j[a, j(b, d)] = j[j(a, b), d]$
- (iii) $j\left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i\right) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$
- (iv) $j\left(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i\right) = \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$
- (v) $j\left(\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b\right) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$
- (vi) $j\left(\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b\right) = \inf_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$

Proof

(i) By definition, t- conorm j is monotonic increasing function i.e., if $b \leq d$ then $j(a, b) \leq j(a, d)$, also j is commutative, i.e., $j(b, a) \leq j(d, a)$

(ii) By definition, t-conorm j is associative i.e., $j(j(a, b), d) = j(a, j(b, d))$

(iii) Now we have to prove that $j\left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i\right) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$

Let $s = \sup_{i \in I} a_i$

$$a_i \leq s \text{ for any } i \in I$$

$$j(b, a_i) \leq j(b, s) \text{ for any } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) \leq j\left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i\right)$$

(iv) Now we have to prove that $j\left(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i\right) = \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } l = \inf_{i \in I} a_i &\Rightarrow l \leq a_i \\ j(b, l) &\leq j(b, a_i) \\ j(b, l) &\leq \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) \end{aligned} \quad \dots\dots\dots(i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) &\leq j(b, a_i) \\ \Rightarrow j(b, \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)) &\leq j(b, j(b, a_i)) \\ \Rightarrow j(b, \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)) &\leq j(j(b, b), a_i) \\ \Rightarrow \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) &\leq a_i \text{ for all } i \in I \\ \Rightarrow \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) &\leq \inf_{i \in I} a_i \\ \Rightarrow j(b, \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)) &\leq j(j(b, b), \inf_{i \in I} a_i) \\ \Rightarrow j(b, \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)) &\leq j(b, j(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i)) \\ \Rightarrow \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i) &\leq j(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i) \quad \dots\dots\dots(ii) \end{aligned}$$

From equation (i) and (ii) we get

$$j(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i) = \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$$

(v) Now let us prove $j\left(\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b\right) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$

By property (iii) $j(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$

Since t-conorm j is commutative therefore $j(\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b) \geq \sup_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$

(vi) Now we have to prove that $j(\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b) = \inf_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$

By property (iv) we have $j(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i) = \inf_{i \in I} j(b, a_i)$

Since t-conorm j is commutative therefore $j(\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b) = \inf_{i \in I} j(a_i, b)$

Theorem

Let $P(X, Y), P_j(X, Y), Q(Y, Z)$ and $Q_j(Y, Z)$ be fuzzy relations. Then

(i) $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ then $P \circ Q_1 \subseteq P \circ Q_2$ and $Q_1 \circ R \supseteq Q_2 \circ R$

(ii) $(P \circ Q) \circ R = P \circ (Q \circ R)$

(iii) $P \circ \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i\right) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i)$

(iv) $P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i)$

(v) $\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i\right) \circ Q \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q)$

(vi) $\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i\right) \circ Q = \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q)$

(vii) $(P \circ Q)^{-1} = Q^{-1} \circ P^{-1}$

(i) Since $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$

Let $[P \circ Q_1]^j(x, z) = \inf_{y \in Y} j[P(x, y), Q_1(y, z)] \subseteq \inf_{y \in Y} j[P(x, y), Q_2(y, z)] = [P \circ Q_2]^j(x, z)$

Therefore $P \circ Q_1 \subseteq P \circ Q_2$

Similarly $Q_1 \circ R \supseteq Q_2 \circ R$

(ii) By definition of inf-j composition,

$$[(P \circ Q) \circ R]^j(x, v) = \inf_{z \in Z} j[(P \circ Q)^j(x, z), R(z, v)]$$

$$= \inf_{z \in Z} [\inf_{y \in Y} j[P(x, y), Q(y, z)], R(z, v)]$$

$$= \inf_{z \in Z} \inf_{y \in Y} j[j[P(x, y), Q(y, z)], R(z, v)]$$

$$= \inf_{z \in Z} \inf_{y \in Y} j[P(x, y), j[Q(y, z), R(z, v)]]$$

$$= \inf_{z \in Z} j[P(x, y), \inf_{y \in Y} j[Q(y, z), R(z, v)]]$$

$$= [P \circ (Q \circ R)]^j(x, z)$$

(iii) We know that $Q_i \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i \right)$ for all $i \in I$

Then by property (i) have $P \circ Q_i \subseteq P \circ \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i \right)$ for all $i \in I$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \subseteq P \circ \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i \right)$$

therefore $P \circ \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i)$

(iv) We know that $\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \subseteq Q_i$ for any $i \in I$

Then by property (i) have

$$P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \subseteq P \circ Q_i \text{ for any } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \quad \dots\dots\dots(i)$$

But $\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \subseteq P \circ Q_i$ for any $i \in I$

Then by property (i) we have

$$P^{-1} \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \right) \subseteq P^{-1} \circ (P \circ Q_i)$$

By property (ii) we have

$$P^{-1} \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \right) \subseteq (P^{-1} \circ P) \circ Q_i$$

Since $P^{-1} \circ P \subseteq P^{-1} \circ P$ (j is super idempotent)

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \subseteq Q_i \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i$$

$$P^{-1} \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \right) \subseteq (P^{-1} \circ P) \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right)$$

$$P^{-1} \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \right) \subseteq P^{-1} \circ \left(P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \right) \dots\dots\dots(ii)$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i) \subseteq P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right)$$

From equations (i) and (ii)

$$P \circ \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (P \circ Q_i)$$

$$(v) \quad \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q)$$

We know that

$$P_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \text{ for all } i \in I$$

Then by property (i)

$$P_i \circ Q \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q$$

$$(vi) \quad \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q = \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q)$$

But we know that

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \subseteq P_i \text{ for all } i \in I$$

Then by property (i)

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \subseteq P_i \circ Q \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$\text{But } \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \subseteq P_i \circ Q \text{ for all } i \in I$$

Then by property (i) we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \right) \circ Q^{-1} \subseteq (P_i \circ Q) \circ Q^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \right) \circ Q^{-1} \subseteq P_i \circ (Q \circ Q^{-1})$$

Because $Q^{-1} \subseteq (Q \circ Q^{-1})^j$ is a super idempotent

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \subseteq P_i \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \right) \circ Q^{-1} \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ (Q \circ Q^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \right) \circ Q^{-1} \subseteq \left(\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \right) \circ Q^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q \dots\dots\dots(II)$$

From equations (I) and (II) we get

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} (P_i \circ Q) = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right) \circ Q$$

$$(vii) \quad (P \circ Q)^{-1} = Q^{-1} \circ P^{-1}$$

$$\text{Here } (P \circ Q)^{-1}(z, x) = (P \circ Q)(x, z)$$

$$= \inf_{y \in Y} j(P(x, y), Q(y, z))$$

$$= \inf_{y \in Y} j(P(z, y), P^{-1}(y, x))$$

$$= \left(Q^{-1} \circ P^{-1} \right)(z, x)$$

$$(P \circ Q)^{-1} = Q^{-1} \circ P^{-1}$$

Sup- u_j Composition of Fuzzy Relations

Definition

Given a t-conorm j , let $u_j(a, b) = \inf \{ X \in [0, 1] \mid j(a, x) \geq b \}$ (6)

For every $a, b \in [0, 1]$

Theorem

The operation u_j satisfies the following properties.

- (i) $j(a, b) \geq d$ iff $u_j(a, d) \leq b$
- (ii) $u_j(u_j(a, b), b) \leq a$
- (iii) $u_j(j(a, b), d) = u_j(a, u_j(b, d))$
- (iv) $a \leq b$ implies $u_j(a, d) \geq u_j(b, d)$ and $u_j(d, a) \leq u_j(d, b)$
- (v) $u_j(\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b) \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)$
- (vi) $u_j(\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b) = \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)$
- (vii) $u_j(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i) \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$
- (viii) $u_j(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i) \leq \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$
- (ix) $j[a, u_j(a, b)] \geq b$ for any $a, b, d \in [0, 1]$. where $i \in I$

Proof

- (i) Now let us prove $j(a, b) \geq d$ iff $u_j(a, d) \leq b$

$$\text{If } j(a, b) \geq d$$

$$\text{Then } b \in \{x \mid j(a, x) \geq d\}$$

$$b \geq \inf \{x \mid j(a, x) \geq d\} = u_j(a, d)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_j(a, d) \leq b$$

$$\text{if } u_j(a, d) \leq b$$

$$\text{then } j(a, b) \geq j[a, u_j(a, d)]$$

$$= j[a, \inf \{x \mid j(a, x) \geq d\}]$$

$$= \inf [j(a, x) \mid j(a, x) \geq d]$$

$$\geq d$$

$$\Rightarrow j(a, d) \geq d$$

- (ii) We have to prove $u_j(u_j(a, b), b) \leq a$

$$\text{i.e., } j(u_j(a, b), a) \geq b \quad \text{by (i)}$$

$$\text{Let } j(u_j(a, b), a) = j(\inf \{x \mid j(a, x) \geq b\}, a)$$

$$= \inf \{j(x, a) \mid j(a, x) \geq b\}$$

$$= \inf \{j(a, x) \mid j(a, x) \geq b\}$$

$$\geq b$$

$$\Rightarrow j(u_j(a, b), a) \geq b$$

$$\therefore u_j(u_j(a, b), b) \leq a$$

(iii) Now we have to prove that $u_j[j(a, b), d] = u_j[a, u_j(b, d)]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } u_j[a, u_j(b, d)] &= \inf\{x/j(a, x) \geq u_j(b, d)\} \\ &= \inf\{x/x \geq u_j[(a, b), d]\} \\ &= u_j[j(a, b), d] \end{aligned}$$

Since by property 1

$$\begin{aligned} j(a, x) &\geq u_j(b, d) \\ \Leftrightarrow j[b, j(a, x)] &\geq d \\ \Leftrightarrow j[j(a, b), x] &\geq d \\ \Leftrightarrow x &\geq u_j[j(a, b), d] \end{aligned}$$

(iv) $a \leq b$ implies $u_j(a, d) \geq u_j(b, d)$ and $u_j(d, a) \leq u_j(d, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } u_j(d, a) &= \inf\{x/j(d, x) \geq a\} \\ &\leq \inf\{x/j(d, x) \geq b\} \\ &= u_j(d, b) \\ \therefore u_j(d, a) &\leq u_j(d, b) \end{aligned}$$

Next we have to prove that $u_j(a, d) \geq u_j(b, d)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } u_j(b, d) &\leq u_j(a, d) \\ \text{i.e., } j(b, u_j(a, d)) &\geq d \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } j(b, u_j(a, d)) &= j(b, \inf\{x/j(a, x) \geq d\}) \\ &= j(\inf\{x/j(a, x) \geq d\}, b) \\ &\geq j(\inf\{x/j(a, x) \geq d\}, a) \\ &= \inf\{j(x, a)/j(a, x) \geq d\} \\ &= \inf\{j(a, x)/j(a, x) \geq d\} \\ &\geq d. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore j(b, u_j(a, d)) &\geq d \\ u_j(b, d) &\leq u_j(a, d) \\ \text{i.e., } u_j(a, d) &\geq u_j(b, d) \end{aligned}$$

(v) now let us prove that

$$u_j\left[\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b\right] \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)$$

$$\text{Let } s = \sup_{i \in I} a_i \text{ then } s \geq a_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow a_i &\leq s \\ \Rightarrow u_j(a_i, b) &\geq u_j(s, b) \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ (by property (iv))} \\ \Rightarrow \inf_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b) &\geq u_j(s, b) \text{ for any } i \in I \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \inf_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b) \geq u_j(\sup_{i \in I} a_i, b)$$

(vi) we have to prove that $u_j\left[\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b\right] = \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)$

$$\text{Let } l = \inf_{i \in I} a_i \text{ then } a_i \geq l \Rightarrow l \leq a_i$$

$$u_j(l, b) \geq u_j(a_i, b) \text{ for any } i \in I \quad (\text{by property 4})$$

$$\text{Hence } u_j(l, b) \geq \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b) \quad \dots\dots\dots(i)$$

Since $\sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b) \geq u_j(a_{i_0}, b)$ for all $i_0 \in I$

$$j(a_{i_0}, \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)) \geq b \text{ for all } i_0 \in I$$

$$j(l, \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)) = \inf_{i \in I} j(a_{i_0}, \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)) \geq b$$

Again by property (i)

$$u_j(l, b) \leq \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b) \quad \dots\dots\dots(ii)$$

From equations (i) and (ii)

$$u_j\left(\inf_{i \in I} a_i, b\right) = \sup_{i \in I} u_j(a_i, b)$$

$$(vii) \quad u_j \left(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i \right) \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$\text{Let } l = \inf_{i \in I} a_i \Rightarrow l \leq a_i$$

$$u_j(b, l) \leq u_j(b, a_i) \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ (by prop.4)}$$

$$\text{Hence } u_j(b, l) \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$u_j \left(b, \inf_{i \in I} a_i \right) \leq \inf_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$(viii) \quad u_j \left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$\text{Let } s = \sup_{i \in I} a_i \Rightarrow s \geq a_i \Rightarrow a_i \leq s$$

$$u_j(b, a_i) \leq u_j(b, s) \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ (by prop. 4)}$$

$$\sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i) \leq u_j(b, s) \text{ for any } i \in I \quad \dots\dots\dots(i)$$

On the other hand

$$\text{Since } \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i) \geq u_j(b, a_{i_o}) \text{ for all } i_o \in I$$

By property (i) we have

$$j \left(b, \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i) \right) \geq \sup_{i \in I} a_{i_o}$$

$$j \left(b, \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i) \right) \geq s$$

$$u_j(b, s) \leq \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$u_j \left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i \right) \leq \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i) \quad \dots\dots\dots(ii)$$

From equations (i) and (ii) we get

$$u_j \left(b, \sup_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \sup_{i \in I} u_j(b, a_i)$$

$$(xi) \quad j[a, u_j(a, b)] \geq b$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } j[a, u_j(a, b)] &= j[a, \inf\{x / j(a, x) \geq b\}] \\ &= \inf\{j(a, x) / j(a, x) \geq b\} \\ &\geq b \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore j[a, u_j(a, b)] \geq b$$

Hence the theorem.

Definition

Given a t- conorm j and the associated operation u_j , the sup- u_j composition, u_j of fuzzy relation $P(X, Y)$ and $Q(Y, Z)$ is $P \circ Q$

$$\text{defined by the equation } (P \circ Q)(x, z) = \sup_{y \in Y} u_j[P(x, y), Q(y, z)] \quad \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

For all $x \in X, z \in Z$.

Basic properties of the sup- u_j composition are expressed by the following theorems.

Theorem

Let $P(X, Y), Q(Y, Z), R(X, Z)$ and $S(Z, V)$ be fuzzy relations. Then

(1) The following properties are equivalent

$$(i) \quad P \circ Q \supseteq R \quad \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

$$(ii) \quad Q \supseteq P^{-1} \circ R \quad \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

$$(iii) \quad P \supseteq (Q \circ R^{-1})^{-1} \quad \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

$$(2) \quad P \circ (Q \circ S) = (P \circ Q) \circ S$$

Proof

First let us prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

$$\text{Assume that } P \circ Q \supseteq R$$

Then by definition of inf- j composition we have

$$\inf_{y \in Y} j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \supseteq R(x, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \supseteq R(x, z) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$$

$$\Rightarrow u_j(P^{-1}(y, x), R(x, z)) \subseteq Q(y, z) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{y \in Y} u_j(P^{-1}(y, x), R(x, z)) \subseteq Q(y, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow (P^{-1} \circ R)(y, z) \subseteq Q(y, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q \supseteq P^{-1} \circ R$$

This proves (i) implies (ii)

Now we have to prove (ii) implies (iii)

$$\text{Let } Q \supseteq P^{-1} \circ R$$

Then by definition of the sup- u_j composition we have

$$\sup_{x \in X} u_j(P^{-1}(y, x), R(x, z)) \subseteq Q(y, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_j(P^{-1}(y, x), R(x, z)) \subseteq Q(y, z) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$$

$$\Rightarrow j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \supseteq R(x, z) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$$

$$\Rightarrow j(Q^{-1}(z, y), P^{-1}(y, x)) \supseteq R^{-1}(z, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_j(Q(y, z), R^{-1}(z, x)) \subseteq P^{-1}(y, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in Z} u_j(Q(y, z), R^{-1}(z, x)) \subseteq P^{-1}(y, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(Q \circ R^{-1} \right)(y, x) \subseteq P^{-1}(y, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(Q \circ R^{-1} \right)^{-1}(x, y) \subseteq P(x, y)$$

This proves (ii) implies (iii)

Now we have to prove (iii) implies (i)

Let us assume that

$$P \supseteq (Q \circ R^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow P^{-1} \supseteq Q \circ R^{-1}$$

Then by equivalent preposition (8) & (9) we have

$$Q^{-1} \circ P^{-1} \supseteq R^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow P \circ Q \supseteq R \quad \text{This proves (iii) implies (i)}$$

2. Now we have to prove that

$$P \circ \left(Q \circ S \right) = \left(P \circ Q \right) \circ S$$

$$\left[P \circ \left(Q \circ S \right) \right](x, v) = \sup_{y \in Y} u_j \left[P(x, y), \left(Q \circ S \right)(y, v) \right]$$

By equivalent preposition in (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& j \left[P^{-1}(y, x), \left(P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right) (x, v) \right] \supseteq \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) (y, v) \\
& \supseteq \sup_{z \in Z} u_j (Q(y, z), S(z, v)) \\
& \Rightarrow j \left[P^{-1}(y, x), \left(P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right) (x, v) \right] \supseteq u_j (Q(y, z), S(z, v)) \quad \forall z \in Z \\
& \Rightarrow u_j [P(x, y), u_j (Q(y, z), S(z, v))] \subseteq \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j [j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)), S(z, v)] \subseteq \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \\
& \Rightarrow j \left[j(P(x, y), Q(y, z))^{-1}, \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \right] \supseteq S(z, v) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v), S^{-1}(v, z) \right] \subseteq j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z, v \in V \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \subseteq \inf_{y \in Y} j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v), S^{-1}(v, z) \right] \subseteq \left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z) \\
& \Rightarrow j \left[\left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right]^{-1} (v, x), \left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z) \right] \supseteq S^{-1}(v, z) \\
& \Rightarrow j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{-1} (z, x), \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \right] \supseteq S(z, v) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z), S(z, v) \right] \subseteq \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \\
& \Rightarrow \sup_{z \in Z} u_j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z), S(z, v) \right] \subseteq \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \\
& \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v) \subseteq \left[P^{u_j} \left(Q^{u_j} S \right) \right] (x, v) \dots\dots\dots(i)
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of sup- u_j composition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v) = \sup_{z \in Z} u_j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z), S(z, v) \right] \\
& \Rightarrow \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v) \supseteq u_j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z), S(z, v) \right] \\
& \Rightarrow j \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{-1} (z, x), \left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v) \right] \supseteq S(z, v) \Rightarrow j \left[\left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right]^{-1} (v, x), \left(P^j \circ Q \right) (x, z) \right] \supseteq S^{-1}(v, z) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v), S^{-1}(v, z) \right] \subseteq P^j \circ Q(x, z) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v), S^{-1}(v, z) \right] \subseteq \inf_{y \in Y} j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \\
& \Rightarrow u_j \left[\left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right] (x, v), S^{-1}(v, z) \right] \subseteq j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \\
& \Rightarrow j \left(\left[\left(P^j \circ Q \right)^{u_j} S \right]^{-1} (v, x), j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \right) \supseteq S^{-1}(v, z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow j \left[j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)) \right]^{-1}, \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \circ S \right](x, v) \supseteq S(z, v) \\
&\Rightarrow u_j \left[j(P(x, y), Q(y, z)), S(z, v) \right] \subseteq \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \circ S \right](x, v) \\
&\Rightarrow u_j \left[P(x, y), u_j(Q(y, z), S(z, v)) \right] \subseteq \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \circ S \right](x, v) \\
&\Rightarrow j \left(P^{-1}(y, x), \left[P \circ \left(Q^{u_j} \right) \right](x, v) \right) \supseteq u_j(Q(y, z), S(z, v)) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z, v \in V \\
&\Rightarrow j \left(P^{-1}(y, x), \left[P \circ \left(Q^{u_j} \right) \right](x, v) \right) \supseteq \sup_{z \in Z} u_j(Q(y, z), S(z, v)) \\
&\Rightarrow j \left(P^{-1}(y, x), \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \right](x, v) \right) \supseteq (Q \circ S)^{u_j}(y, v) \\
&\Rightarrow u_j \left[P(x, y), (Q \circ S)^{u_j}(y, v) \right] \subseteq \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \right](x, v) \\
&\Rightarrow \sup_{y \in Y} u_j \left[P(x, y), (Q \circ S)^{u_j}(y, v) \right] \subseteq \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \right](x, v) \\
&\Rightarrow \left[P \circ \left(Q^{u_j} \right) \right](x, v) \subseteq \left[(P \circ Q)^{u_j} \right](x, v) \dots\dots\dots(ii)
\end{aligned}$$

From equations (i) and (ii) we get

$$P \circ \left(Q^{u_j} \right) = (P \circ Q)^{u_j}$$

This proves (2)

Conclusion

An attempt is made to prove important theorems using the newly defined inf- j and sup- u_j compositions. This can be further developed to solve problems with fuzzy relational equations.

References

- [1]. Birkhoff.G (1967) "lattice Theory " 3rd edition, American mathematical society colloquium publications, vol.xxv, Providence, R.I.
- [2]. Brown.J.G. (1971), A note on fuzzy sets, information and control 18, 32 – 39.
- [3]. George J. klir, Bo Yuan: fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic, theory and applications (1995) 61–64, 76–79.
- [4]. Goguen.J.A. (1967), L – fuzzy sets, J.Math.Anal., 18, (145-174).
- [5]. Sanchez.E: Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equation and control, 30 (1976) 38 – 48.
- [6]. Zadeh.L.A: Outline of a new approach to the analysis of complex systems and decision processes, IEEE Trans. On systems, Man, and cybernetics, SMC – 3 (1973), 28 – 44.
- [7]. Zadeh.L.A: The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning I,II,III. Informations sciences, 8(1975), 199 - 257, 301 – 357; 9(1975), 43 – 80.
- [8]. Zadeh.L.A. (1965), fuzzy sets, information and control 8, 338 – 353.