37663

S. ZaidiF.Naceri R. Abdssamed/ Elixir Elec. Engg. 90 (2016) 37663-37669

Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Electrical Engineering



Elixir Elec. Engg. 90 (2016) 37663-37669

Input-Output Linearization of an Induction Motor Using SVM Method

S. ZaidiF.Naceri R. Abdssamed

Department of Electrical Engineering, Batna University, Algeria.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 22 April 2015; Received in revised form: 8 January 2016; Accepted: 13 January 2016;

Keywords Input-Output modelling, Induction motor, Lies Derivates, PWM Inverter, SVM.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the non-linear control of an induction motor (IM). The objective of nonlinear control is to can control separately flux and the speed, several techniques of control are used for (IM), The technique of control oriented flux (FOC) which permits the decoupling between input and output variables, so (IM) is assimilate to continuous current motor, this method has a problem is how exactly oriented the axis d on the flux. However, feedback linearization amounts to cancelling the nonlinearities in a nonlinear system so that the closed- loop (CL) dynamics is in a linear form. A goal of feedback linearization is to can controlled separately flux and the speed, the motor model is strongly nonlinear then it's composed to the autonomous and mono-variables too under systems so every under system presented an independence loop of control for each variables is given. The space vector modulation [SVM] method gives a good tracking for the non linear control, SVM became a standard for the switching power converters and important research effort has been dedicated, tens of papers, research reports and patents were developed in the theory of space vector modulation.

© 2016 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Feedback linearization amounts to cancelling the nonlinearities in a nonlinear system so that the closed-loop (CL) dynamics is in a linear form. A goal of nonlinear control is to can controlled separately flux and the speed ,the motor model is strongly nonlinear then it's composed to the autonomous and mono-variables too under systems, so every under system presented an independence loop of control for each variables is given .

Then the induction motors constitute a theoretically interesting and practically important class of non-linear systems. The control task is further complicated by the fact that induction motors are subject to unknown load disturbances and change in values of parameters during its operation. The control engineering community is faced then with the challenging problem of controlling a highly nonlinear system, with varying parameters, where the regulated outputs, besides some of them being not measurable, are perturbed by an unknown disturbance signal [1-3]. The roots of vectorial representation-phase systems are presented in the research contributions of park [4]. They provided both mathematical treatment and a physical description and understanding of the drive transients even in the cases when machines are fed through electronic converters [5].

In early seventies, space vector theory was already widely used by industry and presents in numerous books. Stepina [6] and Serrano –libarnegaray [7] suggested that the correct designation for the analytical tool to analyzing electrical machines has to be space phasor instead of space vector. Space phasor concepts now days mainly used for current and flux analysis of electrical machines.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we give the mathematical input-output model for the induction motor by differentiating the outputs with Lie derivative [8-11] and expressing all states and inputs in terms of these outputs [12], in section 3, we represented the feedback linearization of IM and in the section 4 we give the control of flux and speed of linear system, finally we give the results simulation and the conclusion. Simulation was given by the classic PWM, after by the SVM method.

Model of the Induction Motor

The state equations in the stationary reference frame of an induction motor can be writing as [12]: X = F(X) + GU (1) Y = H(X)

 $X = \begin{bmatrix} i_{\alpha\beta} & i_{\alpha\beta} & \varphi_{\alpha\beta} & \varphi_{\alpha\beta} & \Omega \end{bmatrix}$

Tele: E-mail addresses: zaidisaida28@yahoo.fr

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\gamma i_{s\alpha} + \frac{K}{T_r} + p\Omega K \varphi_{r\beta} \\ -\gamma i_{s\beta} - p\Omega K \varphi_{r\alpha} + \frac{K}{T_r} \varphi_{r\beta} \\ \frac{M}{T_r} i_{s\alpha} - \frac{1}{T_r} \varphi_{r\alpha} - p\Omega \varphi_{r\beta} \\ \frac{M}{T_r} i_{s\beta} + p\Omega \varphi_{r\alpha} - \frac{1}{T_r} \varphi_{r\beta} \\ p\frac{M}{JLr} (\varphi_{r\alpha} i_{s\beta} - \varphi_{r\beta} i_{s\alpha}) - \frac{1}{J} (C_r + f\Omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{s\alpha} & u_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$K = \frac{M}{\sigma L_S L_r} \ , \sigma = 1 - \frac{M^2}{L_S L_r} \ , \gamma = \frac{R_s}{\sigma L_s} + \frac{R_r M^2}{\sigma L_S L_r^2}$$

 R_{s} , R_{r} : Stator and rotor resistances

- L_s, L_r : Stator and rotor inductances
- M : Mutual inductance
- Ω : Motor speed.
- ϕ_r : Rotor flux norm.

The variables, which are controlled, are the flux ϕ_r and the speed Ω .

$$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1(\mathbf{X}) \\ \mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{X}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{X}) \\ \mathbf{h}_2(\mathbf{X}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_3^2 + \mathbf{x}_4^2 \\ \Omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Phi}_r^2 \\ \Omega \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Feedback linearization of IM

Relative degree of the flux

$$h_1(x) = (\phi_{r\alpha}^2 + \phi_{r\beta}^2)$$
 g
(3)

$$\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{h}_{1} = \frac{2}{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \left[\mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\beta} + \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\beta} \right) - \left(\mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha}^{2} + \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta}^{2} \right) \left(4 \right) \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{g}1} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{h}_{1} = 2 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha}$$
(5)

$$L_{f}^{2}h_{1} = \left(\frac{4}{T_{r}^{2}} + \frac{2K}{T_{r}^{2}}M\right)\left(\varphi_{r\alpha}^{2} + \varphi_{r\beta}^{2}\right) - \left(\frac{6M}{T_{r}^{2}} + \frac{2\gamma M}{T_{r}}\right)\left(\varphi_{r\alpha}^{2}i_{s\alpha} + \varphi_{r\beta}i_{s\beta}\right) + \frac{2Mp\Omega}{T_{r}}\left(\varphi_{r\alpha}^{2}i_{s\beta} - \varphi_{r\beta}i_{s\alpha}\right) + 2\frac{M^{2}}{T_{R}^{2}}\left(i_{s\alpha} + i_{s\beta}\right)$$

$$L_{g2}L_{f}h_{1} = 2R_{r}K\varphi_{r\beta}$$

$$(6)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{g2}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{n}_{1} = 2\mathbf{R}_{r}\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{r\beta}$$

The degree of $\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is $\mathbf{r}_{1}=2$.

Relative degree of speed
$$h_2(x) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{h}_{2} = \frac{-pM}{H_{r}} \left(\mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} \mathbf{i}_{s\beta} - \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta} \mathbf{i}_{s\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{L} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{f}\Omega)$$

$$(8)$$

$$(9)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{g1}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{2} = -\mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{J}}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$
(10)

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}}^{2}\mathbf{h}_{2} = \frac{-\mathbf{p}M}{J\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}}} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{T\mathbf{r}} + \gamma + \frac{\mathbf{f}}{J}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\beta} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\alpha}\right) + \\ \mathbf{p}\Omega\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{s}\beta}\right) \\ + \mathbf{p}\Omega K\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta}^{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\mathbf{f}}{J^{2}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{f}\Omega\right)$$
(11)

$$\begin{bmatrix} +\mathbf{p}\Omega \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha}^{2} - \mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\beta}^{2}) \\ \mathbf{L}_{g2}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{2} = \mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{J}}\mathbf{\phi}_{\mathbf{r}\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

The degree of $h_2(x)$ is $r_2=2$.

Global relative degree

The global relative degree is lower than the order n of the system $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{4} < \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{5}$. The system is siding partly linearized [13-14]

Decoupling matrix

The matrix defines a relation between the input (U) and the output (Y(X) is giving by the Expression (13). $[da^{2}]$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathrm{d}t}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}}{\mathrm{d}t}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{s\alpha} \\ \mathbf{u}_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

Where

 $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{f}^{2} \mathbf{h}_{1} & \mathbf{L}_{f}^{2} \mathbf{h}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ The decoupling matrix is:

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{1} & \mathbf{L}_{g2}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{2} \\ \mathbf{L}_{g1}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{2} & \mathbf{L}_{g2}\mathbf{L}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\mathbf{det}\mathbf{D} = \frac{2\mathbf{p}\mathbf{R}_{r}}{|\mathbf{J}\sigma|}\frac{\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{L}_{s}\mathbf{L}_{r}} (\mathbf{\phi}_{\alpha r}^{2} + \mathbf{\phi}_{r\beta}^{2}) \neq \mathbf{0}$
The nonlinear feedback provide to the system a linear comportment input/output
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{s\alpha} \\ \mathbf{u}_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{1} \\ \mathbf{V}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{Y}}_{1}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \ddot{\mathbf{Y}}_{2}(\mathbf{X}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{\phi}_{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{d}t} \\ \frac{\mathbf{d}\Omega}{\mathbf{d}t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Control Flux and Speed of Linear System The internal outputs (V_1, V_2) are definite:

$$\mathbf{V}_{1} = \frac{d^{2} \Phi_{r}^{2}}{dt} = -\mathbf{K}_{11} \left(\Phi_{r}^{2} - \Phi_{ref}^{2} \right) - \mathbf{K}_{12} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{r}^{2} - \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{ref}^{2} \right) + \frac{d^{2} \Phi_{ref}^{2}}{dt}$$
(15)
$$\mathbf{V}_{t} = \frac{d^{2} \Omega_{ref}}{dt} = -\mathbf{K}_{t} \left((\Phi_{r}^{2} - \Phi_{ref}^{2}) - \mathbf{K}_{t} \left((\Phi_{r}^{2} - \Phi_{ref}^{2}) - \Phi_{ref}^{2} \right) \right) + \frac{d^{2} \Omega_{ref}}{dt}$$
(15)

$$V_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} = -K_{22}(\Omega - \Omega_{ref}) - K_{12}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Omega - \frac{d}{dt}\Omega_{ref}\right) + \frac{d}{dt}$$
The error of the track in (CL) are :
(16)

$$\ddot{e}_{1} + K_{12}\dot{e}_{1} + K_{11}e_{1} = 0$$

$$\ddot{e}_{2} + K_{21}\dot{e}_{2} + K_{22}e_{2} = 0$$
(17)
(18)

 $e_{1} = \varphi_{r}^{2} - \varphi_{ref}^{2}$ $e_{21} = \Omega - \Omega_{ref}$ The coefficients

The coefficients $K_{11}, K_{12}, K_{21}, K_{22}$ are choosing to satisfy asymptotic stability and excellent tracking.

$$V_{1} = -K_{11}(\phi_{r}^{2} - \phi_{ref}^{2}) - K_{12}\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{r}^{2}$$
(19)

$$V_{2} = -K_{22}(\Omega - \Omega_{ref}) - K_{21} \frac{1}{dt} \Omega$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{s\alpha} \\ u_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix} = D(X)^{-1} \left[-A(X) + (-K_{11}e_{1} - K_{12} \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{r}^{2} - K_{22}e_{2} - K_{21}(\frac{C_{e}}{J} - \frac{1}{J}(C_{r} + f\Omega)) \right]$$
(20)
(21)



Figure 1. Schema block of Non-Linear control

SVM Method

Any three-phase system (defined by $a_x(t)$, $a_y(t) a_z(t)$) can be represented uniquely by a rotating vector as: $as = [a_X(t) + a.a_Y(t) + a^2.a_Z(t)$

where

$$a = e^{j\frac{2\pi}{3}}$$

$$a^2 = e^{J_3}$$

Given a three-phase system, the vectorial representation is achieved by the following 3/2 transformation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\alpha} \\ B_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{X} \\ a_{Y} \\ a_{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$

Table 1. reality table of the inverter									
Vector	Sa	Sb	Sc	V _{sa}	V _{sb}	V _{sc}	V _{sα}	V _{sβ}	Vector V ₁
\mathbf{V}_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V ₅	0	0	1	-E/3	-E/3	2E/3	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}E}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}E$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Ee^{j4\pi/3}$
V ₃	0	1	0	-E/3	2E/3	-E/3	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}E}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}E}$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Ee^{j2\pi/3}$
V_4	0	1	1	-2E/3	E/3	E/3	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}E}$	0	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}E}$
\mathbf{V}_1	1	0	0	2E/3	-E/3	-E/3	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}E$	0	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}E}$
V ₆	1	0	1	E/3	E/3	E/3	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}E}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}E}$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Ee^{j5\pi/3}$
V ₂	1	1	0	E/3	-2E/3	-2E/3	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}E}$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}E}$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} E e^{j\pi/3}$
V ₇	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. reality table of the inverter



Figure 3. Switching vectors corresponding to the unmodulated operation of the inverter Simulation results Simulation results without SVM



Figure 5. Speed rotor [rad/s]









Figure 12. Rotor speed [Rad/S]

Simulations Results

To confirm the performances of the proposed control with SVM, we present a series of simulations; The results are showing in figure. (4-9) represented the simulation without SVM, so response of speed for an echelon of 150(rad/s) is given in figure.4 and we can varied in the speed this is shown in figure.8. This variation give the variation in the current and the flux, the norm of flux remnants to 1[Wb] with several undulation and the same for the torque and the stator current. With associated SVM method we remarked that the undulation in current and flux lesser, a good response for the stator voltage, the stator current, and the flux rotor. **Conclusion**

Non linear control gives an excellent decoupling between the flow and speed, is represented a very effective control with respect to the vector control, however the use of a natural PWM does not give correct answers to the flow velocity and power and even for the stator voltage, so the usefulness of SVM and improve these results after the decoupling between the flow and the speed is, assures, the results show that the SVM is very efficient. Then input-output control gives a good tracking for the speed with basing of its static and dynamic properties. The results show that the decoupling between the parameters of IM is excellent.

Specifications of the induction motor

1.1KW, 220/380V, 50Hz, 1500 rpm Parameters of the induction motor Rr=3.6 Ω , J=0.015Kgm², Rs=8.0 Ω , f = 0.005Nms $L_r = 0.47H$, P=2

References

[1]E. Delaleau, J.P.Louis and R.Ortega, Modeling and control of induction motors, Motors, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and computer Science, Vol.11 N, 2001, pp 105-129.

[2]J.Chiasson, dynamic feedback linearization of the induction motor, ieee transactions on automatic control, vol38, n10, 1993, pp.1588-1594.

[3]R.Marino, S.persada and p.Valigi ,adaptive input-otput linearizing control of inductions motors , ieee transactions on automatic control, vol 38 N2,1993,pp.208-221.

[4] Park, R.H., "Two-Reaction Theory of Synchronous Machines", AIEETrans. No.48, 1929, pp.716-730 and no. 52, 1933, pp.352-355.

[5] W.Leonhard, "30 years of Space Vector, 20 Years Field Orientation, 10 Years Digital Signal Processing with Controlled AC-Drives, A Review", EPE Journal, vol., no. 1-2, 1991 (I & II)

[6] Stepina, J., "Raumzeiger als Grundlage der Theorie der ElktrischenMaschinen", etz-A, 88, no.23, 1967, pp.584-588

[7] L.Serrano, Iribarnegaray, "The Modern Space Vector Theory, Part I:Its Coherent Formulation and Its Advantages for Transient Analysiso Converter-Fed AC Machines", ETEP, vol.3, no.2, March/April, 1993,

[8]J.Chiasson, "A new Approach to Dynamic FeedbackLinearization Control of an Induction Motor", IEEE Trans., Automat., Contr., vol.43, no3, pp.391-397, March 1998.

[9] R.Marino, S.Pereseda, P.Valigi, "Adaptive Input-Output Linearizing Control of Induction Motors", IEEE Trans., Automat., Contr., vol.38, no2, pp.208-221, February 1993.

[10]M.K.Maaziz,E.Mendes^b,P.Boucher^a,2001, A new nonlinear multivariable control strategy of induction motors,Article in press,Control engeneering practice

[11]M.Tarbouchi,H.Le.HUY ,Nonlineaircontrol of an induction motor using a DSP,0-8186-7352-4/96 \$05.00©1996IEEE.

[12]M.Bodson,J.N.Chiasson, and R.T Novaki, Hight performance induction motor control via input-output linearization,IEEETrans.Contr.Sys.Tech,Vol.14,no,4,pp.33,Aug.1994.

[13]B.Le pioufle,Comparison of speed non linear control strategies for the servomotor ,electric machines and power systems, 1993,PP.151-169.

[14]Jean-JaquesE.Slotine,WeipingLi,Applied nonlineair control, Prentice-Hall Internationl Editions. ZAIDI SAIDA was born in batna, Algeria, in 1971 .I received the Magister degree in electrical Engineering from the Technical University Batna, Algeria, in 2006, I am a teacher and researcher .