



Applied Mathematics

Elixir Appl. Math. 94 (2016) 40293-40294

Elixir
ISSN: 2229-712X

PRIME (1,0) Rings

K.Subhashini and M.Ravi Kumar

G.Pulla Reddy Engineering College (Autonomous) Kurnool-518002, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 21 March 2016;

Received in revised form:

6 May 2016;

Accepted: 11 May 2016;

Keywords

Non-associative ring,

Aassociator ,

Ccommutator,

Left alternative ring,

(1,0) ring,

Strongly (1,0) ring, prime ring.

ABSTRACT

A left alternative ring R satisfying $(x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) = 0$ is (1,0) ring. Where as if R satisfies $(R,(R,R))=0$ then R is called a strongly (1,0) ring. All (1,0) rings are not strongly (1,0) rings. But strongly (1,0) rings are (1,0). In this paper, it will be proved that a prime (1,0) ring of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ is either associative or strongly (1,0) ring.

© 2016 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Mathematics Subject Classification: 2010 MSC 17A30

Sterling[3] proved that a prime $(-1,1)$ ring of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ with an idempotent $e \neq 0,1$ is associative. To prove associativity in prime (1,0) rings with idempotent $e \neq 0,1$, Paul [4] assumed the additional identity $((e,x)e,e)=0$. E. Kleinfeld proved [1] a semi-prime right alternative ring of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ with $(a,(b,a))=0$ is strongly $(-1,1)$ ring. In this paper without any additional assumption we prove that a prime (1,0) ring of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ is either associative or strongly (1,0) ring.

A non-associative ring R is called (1,0) ring if

$$\overline{A}(x,y,z) \equiv (x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$\overline{B}(x,y,z) \equiv (x,y,z) + (y,x,z) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

for all x,y,z in R , where the associator $(x,y,z) = xy.z - x.yz$. The commutator $(x,y)=xy - yx$. If there exists a positive integer n such that $na=0$ for every element a of the ring R , the smallest such positive integer is called the characteristic of R .

A non-associative ring is said to be strongly (1,0) ring if it satisfies $(x,y,z) + (y,x,z) = 0$ and $((R,R),R) = 0$.

A ring R is called prime, if whenever A and C are ideals of the ring such that $AC = 0$, then either $A = 0$ or $C = 0$. Throughout this paper R represents a (1,0) ring of characteristic $\neq 2,3$.

We consider two ideals A and C of R , where A is the ideal generated by $\{(x,y,z) \mid x,y,z \in R\}$ and C is the ideal generated by double commutator, $\{((x,y),z) \mid x,y,z \in R\}$.

2.Preliminaries: The following identities hold good in left alternative rings[4]

$$\overline{C}(x,y,z) \equiv (xy,y,z) - y(xy,z)=0. \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

$$\overline{D}(w,x,y,z) \equiv (wx,y,z) + (wy,x,z) - x(w,y,z) - y(w,x,z) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$\overline{E}(x,y,z) \equiv (x^2,y,z) - (x,xy+y,x,z) = 0.$$

The identity known as Teichmuller identity holds in any arbitrary ring:

$$\overline{F}(w,x,y,z) \equiv (wx,y,z) - (w,xy,z) + (w,x,yz) - w(x,y,z) - (w,xy)z = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

In [2] Subhashini established that in (1,0) ring, the associator commutes with every element of R . This is equation (18) in [2]. We take that identity here.

$$(R,(R,R,R)) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

Lemma: In R , $(r,w(xy,z)) = 0$, for all $x,y,z,w,r \in R$.

Proof: Let r be an arbitrary element of R . Commute equations (3), (4) and (5) with r , and then apply equation (6). We obtain

$$(r,y(xy,z)) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

$$(r,x(w,y,z)) = - (r,y(w,x,z)) \quad \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

$$(r,w(xy,z)) = - (r,(w,x,y)z). \text{ From (6), this equation become} \quad \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

$$(r,w(xy,z)) = - (r,z(w,xy)).$$

Linearize equation (7)

$$(r, w(x, y, z)) = - (r, y(x, w, z)) \quad \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

Permutating cyclically w, z, y in (9) and then apply (10) and (2), we get $(r, w(x, y, z)) = - (r, z(w, x, y)) = (r, y(z, w, x)) = - (r, w(z, y, x)) = - (r, w(y, z, x))$. Again use (2), (10) and again (2), we have $-(r, z(w, x, y)) =$

$$(r, z(x, w, y)) = - (r, w(x, z, y)) = (r, w(z, x, y)). \text{ Therefore} \quad \dots\dots\dots (11)$$

Multiply equation (1) by w and commute with r and apply (11). Then $3(r, w(x, y, z)) = 0$, since Characteristic $\neq 3$, we have

$$(r, w(x, y, z)) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (12)$$

To prove the required result, it is necessary to prove some other identities.

The semi Jacobi identity $(xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) - (z, x, y) = 0$ holds good in any ring.

In a (1,0) ring this identity becomes

$$\overline{G}(x, y, z) = (xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - 2(z, x, y) - (x, y, z) = 0.$$

$$\overline{F}(w, x, y, z) - \overline{D}(w, x, y, z) \text{ gives}$$

$$\overline{H}(w, x, y, z) \equiv ((w, x), y, z) + (w, x, yz) - y(w, x, z) - (w, x, y)z = 0.$$

$$\text{And } \overline{H}(w, x, y, z) - \overline{H}(w, x, z, y) - \overline{A}((w, x), y, z) \text{ gives}$$

$$\overline{I}(w, x, y, z) \equiv (w, x, (y, z)) - (y, z, (w, x)) + (z, (w, x, y)) - (y, (w, x, z)) = 0.$$

$$\text{Now from (3) } \overline{I}(w, x, y, z) \equiv (w, x, (y, z)) - (y, z, (w, x)) + (z, (w, x, y)) - (y, (w, x, z)) = 0 \text{ becomes}$$

$$(w, x, (y, z)) = (y, z, (w, x)). \quad \dots\dots\dots (13)$$

$$\text{Let us define } U = \{u \in R / (u, R) = 0\}. \overline{G}(x, x, u) \text{ gives } -2(u, x, x) = 0. \text{ Because of characteristic } \neq 2$$

$$(u, x, x) = 0. \text{ Linearization of this gives}$$

$$\overline{J}(u, x, y) \equiv (u, x, y) + (u, y, x) = 0.$$

$$-\overline{G}(x, y, u) - 2\overline{J}(u, x, y) = 0 \text{ gives}$$

$$\overline{K}(x, y, u) \equiv (x, y, u) - 2(u, y, x) = 0.$$

$$\text{The combination of } -\overline{G}(u, x, y) - 2\overline{B}(u, x, y) + 2\overline{J} = 0 \text{ gives}$$

$$\overline{L}(u, x, y) \equiv 3(u, x, y) - (u, x, y) + u(x, y) = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots (14)$$

Theorem: If R is a prime (1,0) ring of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, then R is either associative or strongly (1,0) ring.

Proof: Put $u = (R, R, R)$ is an arbitrary associator in (14) and apply equation (6), then we have

$$3((R, R, R), x, y) = - (R, R, R)(x, y). \text{ In this equation put } y = (R, R) \text{ an arbitrary commutator. Now this becomes} \quad \dots\dots\dots (15)$$

$$3((R, R, R), x, (R, R)) = - (R, R, R)(x, (R, R))$$

$$\text{Put } y = (R, R, R) \text{ an arbitrary associator in equation (13) and apply (6), we obtain} \quad \dots\dots\dots (16)$$

$$((R, R, R), z, (R, R)) = 0$$

From (15) and (16) $(R, R, R)(x, (R, R)) = 0$ or $AC = 0$. Since R is prime, either $A = 0$ or $C = 0$. If $A = 0$ implies R is associative and if $C = 0$ implies R is strongly (1,0) ring.

References

- [1] E.Kleinfeld, A generalization of strongly (-1,1) rings, J.Algebra, 119. 218-225 (1988)
- [2] K.Subhashini, Simple (1,0) rings, International Mathematical forum, Vol.7,(2012), 48,2407-2410.
- [3] N.Sterling, Prime (-1,1) rings with an idempotent, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.18(1967), 902-909
- [4] Y.Paul, left alternative rings with an idempotent, Jnānābha, Vol 25,(1995) 59-64.