Awakening to Reality

Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Educational Technology

Elixir Edu. Tech. 97 (2016) 42600-42606



Impacts of Boko Haram Insurgency on Educational Development in Nigeria: An Empirical Overview

Robert - Okah, I and ThankGod C. Amadi-Ali

Department of Educational Foundations & Management, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 12 July 2016; Received in revised form: 20 August 2016;

Accepted: 28 August 2016;

Keywords

Impacts, Boko Haram, Insurgency, Education, Development.

ABSTRACT

The study assessed with empirical analysis Impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on educational development in Nigeria. A sample of 120 (34.2%) lecturers and 220 (62.8%) students was drawn from a population of 340 in four (4) faculties of education, humanities, social sciences and management sciences in Ignatus Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, with the use of clustered random sampling technique. Mean was used to answer six (6) research questions while t-test was used to test one (1) null hypothesis at 0.5 level of significance. Findings revealed that Boko Haram insurgency impacted on school children (students), school teachers, teaching and learning, school administration and on school host communities. The study concluded that traumatization, anxiety, academic imbalance, apathy, high incident of school dropouts, loss of concentration in class, low instructional delivery, disruption of examinations, destruction of school buildings/facilities, abduction of school administrators, displacement of families, disintegration of family ties, loss of community norms and values, are some of the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency. t-test analysis of one null hypothesis (Ho₁) indicated that there was no significant difference in the means of lecturers and students hence Ho₁ was not rejected. The followings among others were therefore recommended: strict border controls, democracy and good governance, perimeter fence for all schools, security budgets for school administrators, unemployment, poverty, deprivation should be addressed, community vigilantes should be re-invigorated, adequate funding for security operations in Nigeria and religious tolerance.

© 2016 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Boko Haram' also known as 'Jama'atul Ahlil Sunna Lidda'awatilwa - Jihad' is a terrorist islamic movement based in the North-East Nigeria but also active in Chad, Niger Republic and Northern Cameroon. The sect is led by Abubakar Shakau and boasts of membership of between 5,000 and 10,000 fighters. Their main aim is to destroy the growth of knowledge and western education in Nigeria hence the deadly attacks on educational facilities, students and teachers. Wrongly believing that western education propagates secular laws that are opposed to Sharia, Boko Haram regards western education as sacrilege. As a result they are very determined to convince Nigerians that ignorance is better than knowledge. They have therefore resorted to attacks on education at all levels-universities, polytechnics, colleges of education, secondary schools, primary schools and research institutes. The sect is linked to al-Qaeda and Islamic State (ISIS) and according to Alapiki (2015) has killed more than 5,000 civilians between January 2009 and June 2014, added to at least 2,000 in the first half of 2014. Over 500 men, women and children have also been kidnapped, including 276 school girls abducted from Chibok town. Over 650,000 people have fled the conflict area of the North-East and North-Central Nigeria and as at August 2014, the figure for Internally Displaced Persons (IDP) had climbed to 1.5 million (Alapiki, 2015. All of these, no doubt, have very serious impacts on education in Nigeria. Boko Haram activity is a calculated attempt to derail

education by consciously targeting the future of Nigerian youths. The wanton destruction of educational facilities in Adamawa State Universities, University of Maiduguri, Bornu State and the federal polytechnic, Mubi are pointers to the sects hatred for western education.

Uko (2015) classified impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on education into two broad categories namely - short and long terms. The short term focused on the immediate human and material costs such as number of deaths, injuries as well as buildings destroyed or damaged. Long term effects however include psychological, social and ideological which were summarized as; disruption of attendance or dropping out of students, teachers and staff demotivation, distraction and traumatisation of staff and students, decreased enrolment of students and decreased staff and students recruitments, postponement of reconstruction, rehabilitation or repairs required as fallout of attacks and the shelving of normal investment in upgrading of facilities; reduced capacity to manage the school system/suspension of the system. Countries that have experienced terrorist attacks other than Nigeria according to UNESCO report on Education Under Attack (2010) included eight in Latin America and the Caribbean (Argentina, Brazil, Columbia, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico Venezuala: ten in sub-saharan African (Burundi, Chad, D.R. Congo, Ethiopia, One in Europe (Georgia); three in the middle East and North Africa (Isreal/Palestina, Iran, Iraq, Syria and Yemen; five in South Asia (Afghanistan, India, Nepal,

Tele:

E-mail address: imsubiznessjournals@yahoo.com

Pakistan, Sri Lanka) and four in East Asia (Indonesia, Myanmar, Philippines and Thailand). The worst affected countries by Uko's (2015) estimation are those countries who have suffered more than one hundred terrorist attacks, more than a hundred killings or large scale child-soldiers forced recruitment or sexual violence against staff and students. Going by this indicator, Nigeria falls within this bracket since terrorists attacks in Bornu and Gombe States have exceeded these benchmarks and have been described as "Brutally Affected" rather than "Worst Affected".

Schools have been permanently shut, educational infrastructures destroyed and science laboratories converted into Improvised Explosive Device's (IED) and bomb factories, as well as the abduction of 276 Chibok girls in a school. NECO and WASSCE examinations are held in relatively peaceful neigbourhoods. A good number of people have been displaced and are living as refugees in Internally Displaced Camps (IDCS), rendering them slaves in their own country. Other impacts of Boko Haram insurgency according to Nzewi (2015) are in the area of non-achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), traumatisation of pupils/students, loss of interest and confidence in the education system, inability of parents to pay school fees due to loss of economic activities, increased in number of orphans, high costs of funding education and permanent shutting down of schools. Uko (2015) agreed when he indicated that Boko Haram insurgency has affected the teacher and teaching, students and learning, school infrastructure, school management and resulted in socio-economic dislocation of school host communities. Hamman-Tukur, Atsua and Nwachukwu (2015) reported that increased absenteeism, diminished staff punctuality, delayed graduation, disruption of academic calendar, students withdrawal, transfer of experienced staff and personnel and decrease in schools internally-generated revenue due to diminished students population are all fall-outs of insurgency in Nigeria.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is derived from the human capital theory put forward by Hershberg (1996). This theory stated that the world is very much in need of human economy since it is believed that human capital drives development. The theory perceives human capital as education, skills and problem-solving abilities that can drive individuals to become productive in a competitive global economy. It is the key element that improves organizations assets and employees ability to enhance productivity as well as sustain competitive advantage. The theory contended that the 'capital value of man' is the stock of capital embodied in people and that they are investments that human beings make in themselves to improve quality. Education, on-the-job training, health and some other activities are means through which investments are made in human beings. Human capital formation has to do with the process of acquiring and increasing the number of people with skills, education and experiences, which are critical to the socio-economic development of a country. It has to do with the training and development of human beings as creative and productive resources. It does not only cover expenditure on education, but also development of the right attitudes towards productive activities.

Several studies have demonstrated the importance of education and human capital to economic growth and development. Olaniyan and Okemakinde (2008) reported that education and creation of human capital were responsible for

the differences in labour productivity and levels of technology in the world today. It is common knowledge that the spectacular growth in East Asia cannot be divorced from giant investments in education and human capital. Countries such as Singapore, Hongkong, South Korea, Taiwan, China, Indonesia and Malaysia have all achieved unprecedented economic growth for making large investments in education. World Bank (1993) has affirmed that improvement in education is a very significant factor for economic growth. In Nigeria however, the Boko Haram insurgency has so far destroyed schools, colleges, universities research centres and training institutes that are supposed to be centres for human capital development.

Statement of the Problem

Terrorists attacks on education and schools in Nigeria have become very prevalent in recent years. These attacks are not only directed at students, staff and other educational personnel but also targeted against school administrators, support staff such as drivers, care takers, security personnels, trade unionists, aid workers and the host communities. There is also increased wanton destruction of school infrastructure, facilities, killings, injuring, torture, sex abuse, abduction, kidnapping, illegal detention, forced recruitment of infant soldiers, forced labour and forced disappearance. Attacks have equally been visited on convoys carrying examination papers and on examiners themselves; Terrorists have also prevented repairs, rehabilitation and reconstruction of damaged schools and properties. The impacts of terrorism may have become too enormous for educational developments in Nigeria. Terrorism has created fear, psychological trauma, reduced research activities, created academic imbalance, poor results, high school dropouts; it has given rise to increased absenteeism among staff and students, diminished staff punctuality, delayed graduation, disruption of academic calendar, students withdrawal, transfer of experienced staff and permanent shutting down of educational facilities. The impacts of these on educational development have become very appalling. The researcher is bothered about the monumental consequences of terrorism on educational development in Nigeria and is concerned about what has to be done to check the ugly trend. This study therefore attempted to assess the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on educational development in Nigeria.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study was to examine the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on schools, members of the school community and the host community in Nigeria. The study was guided by the following specific objectives.

- 1)To ascertain the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on students in Nigerian schools.
- 2)To identify the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on teachers in Nigerian schools.
- 3)To ascertain the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on teaching and learning in Nigerian schools.
- 4)To find out the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on school administration in Nigeria.
- 5)To identify the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on school host communities in Nigeria.
- 6)To identify strategies that can be adapted to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

Research Questions

- 1) What are the impacts of BH insurgency on students in Nigerian schools?
- 2) What are the impacts of BH insurgency on teachers in Nigeria school?

- 3) What are the impacts of BH insurgency on teaching and learning activities in Nigerian schools?
- 4) What are the impacts of BH insurgency on school administration in Nigerian schools?
- 5) What are the impacts of BH insurgency on school host communities in Nigeria?
- 6) What strategies can be adopted to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria?

Hypothesis

Ho₁: There is no significant difference in the means of lecturers and students on strategies of combating Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

Methodology

The study employed the descriptive survey design. Population consisted of six (6) faculties in Ignatius Ajuru University of Education (IAEU) Port Harcourt, Rivers State. A sample size of 340 comprising 120 (34.2%) lecturers and 220 (62.8%) students in their penultimate year was drawn from (4) faculties of education, humanities, social sciences and management sciences respectively, using clustered random sampling technique. Respondents may have been sufficiently exposed to literatures on Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria. A self structured rating scale titled "Impacts of Boko Haram Insurgency on Education Questionnaire (IBHIEO)" consisting of 83 – question items with four(4) points scale response options of Strongly Agree(4 points), Agree(3 points), Disagree(2 points) and Strongly Disagree(1 point) was used for data collection. The instrument was divided into six(6) sections which elicited data to meet the demands of six(6) research questions thus; impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on (i) 1-16 (students), (ii) 17-29 (teachers), (iii) 30-42 (teaching and learning), (iv) 43-54 (school administration) (v) 55-69 (Host Communities) (iv) 70-83 (strategies to combat BH insurgency). The instrument was face and content validated by professors in the department of Education Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, IAUE, Port Harcourt while the reliability was determined with the use of split-half method and the Cronbach alpha internal consistency method was used to obtain a reliability of 0.84. Mean was used to answer four research questions using 2.50 as the cutoff point whereas t-test was used to test one (1) null hypotheses at 0.5 level of significance. Out of the three hundred and forty (340) questionnaires distributed by the researcher and his assistants, two hundred and eighty four (284) were retrieved.

Results

After collation of data, results were presented in the following frequency tables to answer the research questions.

Research Question 1

What are the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on students in Nigerian schools?

Data in table I on lecturers and students mean ratings on impacts of BH insurgency on students indicate that both respondents agree on items 1 – 16 with values of 1(1.65, 1.60), 2(2.81, 2,54), 3(2.62, 2.82), 4(3.20, 3.41), 5(3.40, 3.20) 6(2.80, 2.61), 7(3.100, 3.24) 9(3.42,3.00), 10(2.81, 2.62), 11(2.60, 3.00), 12(2.62, 2.54) respectively. Students however disagree on items 8(2.40), 13(2.18), 14(2.24), and 15(2.24). Posted means of both respondents indicate favourable average of 2.67 for lecturers and 2.71 for students respectively. While items 4 (restriction of movement for SIWES: 3.20), 5(poor school grades: 3.40), 7(difficulty in accessing fund 3.20), 9(disruption of academic calendars: 3.40) and 13(low student enrolment: 3.20) are highly rated, students disagree with low

ratings on 8(increase in social vices: 2.40), and 14(cutting short of students career: 2.24).

Table 1. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the impacts of BH insurgency on students in Nigeria.

impacts of BH insurgency on students in Nigeria.								
	Items	Lectu		Students				
S/N	Impacts of Boko	\overline{X}	Remarks	\overline{X}	Remarks			
	Haram Insurgency	**		*				
	on Nigeria Students							
1	Traumatization of	2.65	Agree	2.60	Agree			
	students							
2	Apprehension and	2.81	Agree	2.54	Agree			
	anxiety							
3	Loss of attention in	2.62	Agree	2.82	Agree			
	class/absenteeism							
	apathy							
4	Restriction of	3.20	Agree	3.41	Agree			
	movement for SIWES							
5	Poor school	3.40	Agree	3.20	Agree			
	grades/academic							
	imbalance							
6	Difficulty in	2.80	Agree	2.61	Agree			
	assimilation							
7	Difficulty in accessing	3.00	Agree	3.24	Agree			
	fund from parents.							
8	Increase in social	2.62	Agree	2.40	Disagree			
	vices among students							
9	Disruption of	3.42	Agree	3.00	Agree			
	academic calendars							
10	Elongation of	2.81	Agree	2.62	Agree			
	programmes							
11	Increased level of	2.60	Agree	3.00	Agree			
	students drop out.							
12	Rampant sexual	2.62	Agree	2.54	Agree			
	violence against							
	school girls.							
13	Low students	3.20	Agree	2.18	Disagree			
	enrolment							
14	Cutting short students	2.60	Agree	2.24	Disagree			
	career since they							
	become wives and							
	mothers easily							
15	Increase number of	2.72	Agree	2.42	Disagree			
	orphans							
16	Reduction in	2.54	Agree	2.50	Agree			
	schooling capacity.							
	Pooled mean	2.67	Agree	2.71	Agree			

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Research Question 2

What are the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on school teachers in Nigeria?

Data in table 2 suggest that respondents unanimously agree on the impacts of Boko Haram on items 17 - 29 with the exception of items 27 and 28 on which students disagree. Respondents therefore agree that the following variables constitute impacts of Boko Haram on school teachers in Nigerian schools. They are loss of concentration in class 17(2.61, 2.81) 18(decrease in instructional delivery = 2.80, 2.56), 19(teachers apathy = 3.00, 2.80), 20(dearth of experienced lecturers = 2.52, 3.00), 21(diminished visiting lectures = 2.60, 2.58), 22(transfer of experienced lecturers = 2.71, 3.00), 23(loss of staff punctuality = 2.54, 2.62), 24(fear of attack = 3.12, 3.00), 25(demotivation/traumatization of teachers = 3.10, 3.42), 26(dislocation of lecturers family = 14,2.40) and 29(shortage of teachers = 2.52, 2.79) respectively. Pooled means of 2.75 for lecturers and 3.79 for students suggest that Boko Haram insurgency impacts on school teachers in Nigeria.

Table 2. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on school teachers.

	Items	Lecturers		Stude	
S/N	Impacts of Boko Haram Insurgency on School Teachers	$\overline{\overline{X}}$	Remarks	$\overline{\overline{X}}$	Remarks
17	Loss of concentration in class	2.61	Agree	2.81	Agree
18	Decline of instructional delivery	2.80	Agree	2.56	Agree
19	High level of apathy among lecturers	3.00	Agree	2.80	Agree
20	Dearth of experienced lecturers	2.52	Agree	3.00	Agree
21	Diminished rate of visiting lecturers	2.60	Agree	2.58	Agree
22	Transfer of services of experienced lecturers	2.71	Agree	3.00	Agree
23	Diminished staff punctuality	2.54	Agree	2.62	Agree
24	Fear of potential attacks by BH sect	3.12	Agree	3.00	Agree
25	Demotivation and tranumatization of teachers	3.10	Agree	3.42	Agree
26	Killings and abduction of lecturers	2.60	Agree	3.12	Agree
27	Dislocation of families of lecturers	3.14	Agree	2.40	Disagree
28	Depreciation of self- esteem/morale	2.52	Agree	2.42	Disagree
29	Shortage of teachers.	2.52	Agree	2.54	Agree
	Pooled mean	2.75	Agree	2.79	Agree

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Research Question 3

What are the impacts of BH insurgency on teaching/learning activities in Nigerian Schools?

Data on table 3 on impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on teaching/learning suggest agreement by the respondents (lecturers and students) on all the items listed. These include 30(shutting down of schools: 3.12, 2.80), 31(disruption of academic activities 2.54, 2.52), 32(increased absenteeism, 3.00, 3.14), 33(poor scholarship, 2.54, 2.55), 34(diminished achievements: 3.40, 2.50, 35(destruction of school buildings: 2.52, 2.50), 36(exam disruption: 2.64, 2.64), 40(Brain drain: 2.61, 2.62) and 41 (loss of confidence in Nigeria educ: 2.24, 2.20). Pooled means of respondents indicated mean agreement of 2.75 (lecturers) and 2.58 (students) that Boko Haram insurgency impacts on teaching/learning in Nigeria schools.

Data in table 4 on impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on school administration reveal a high level of consensus among lecturers and students that 43(destruction of vital records (2.80, 2.52), 44(mass abduction of school managers, 2.54, 2.54), 46(attacks on system process: 2.74, 2.62), 49(increase cost of funding education 2.74, 2.60), 50 (delay in achievement of SDGs: 2.50, 2.71), 51(increased staff absenteeism: 2.67, 2.52) and 52(diminished staff punctuality: 2:80, 2.64) as the consequences. Students however disagree on items 47, 48 and 49 pooled means of 2.62 (lecturers) and 2.52 (students) suggest agreement that Boko Haram insurgency impacts on school administration.

Table 3. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the impacts of BH insurgency on teaching/learning in Nigeria.

impacts of BH insurgency on teaching/learning in Nigeria.							
	Questionnaire Items	Lectu	rers	Students			
S/N	Impacts of Boko Haram Insurgency on Teaching and Learning		Remarks	\overline{X}	Remarks		
30	Prolonged shutting down of schools	3.12	Agree	2.80	Agree		
31	Regular disruption of academic activities	2.54	Agree	2.52	Agree		
32	Increased absenteeism/diminished staff punctuality	3.00	Agree	3.14	Agree		
33	Scarcity of tethers/poor scholarship	2.54	Agree	2.56	Agree		
34	Diminished achievements among students	3.40	Agree	2.58	Agree		
35	Destruction of school buildings/facilities	2.52	Agree	2.50	Agree		
36	Disruption of examinations.	2.64	Agree	2.64	Agree		
37	Killing/abduction of examiners	3.00	Agree	2.82	Agree		
38	Low standard of education	3.14	Agree	3.00	Agree		
39	Voluntary withdrawal of students from schools	2.56	Agree	2.02	Disagree		
40	'Brain drain' among teachers	2.61	Agree	2.62	Agree		
41	Loss of interest/confidence in Nigeria education by parents/students	2.24	Agree	2.20	Disagree		
42	Diminished research activities	2.45	Disagree	2.22	Disagree		
	Pooled mean	2.75		2.58	Agree		

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Research Ouestion 4

What are the impacts of BH insurgency on school administration in Nigeria?

Table 4. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the impacts of BH insurgency on school administration.

Ouestionnaire Items Lecturers Students							
CONT		Lectu					
S/N	Impacts of Boko Haram	X	Remarks	X	Remarks		
	Insurgency on School	1.		1.			
	Administration						
43	Destruction of vital	2.80	Agree	2.52	Agree		
	records/information systems						
44	Mass abduction of education	2.54	Agree	2.54	Agree		
	managers						
45	Attacks on system processes	2.74	Agree	2.62	Agree		
	such as inspection and						
	accreditation						
46	Massive	2.50	Agree	2.64	Agree		
	destruction/vandalisation of						
	infrastructures/faculties						
	during attack						
47	Suspension of	2.52	Agree	2.44	Disagree		
	upgrading/repairs of						
	destroyed						
	facilities/infrastructure						
48	Reduced capacity to manage	2.62	Agree	2.24	Disagree		
	schools				C		
49	Increased cost of funding	2.74	Agree	2.60	Disagree		
-	education		8				
50	Delayed achievement of	2.50	Agree	2.71	Agree		
	sustainable Development		8		8		
	Goals (SDGs)						
51	Increased staff absenteeism	2.67	Agree	2.52	Agree		
52	Diminished staff punctuality	2.80	Agree	2.64	Agree		
53	Indefinite shutting down of	2.56	Agree	2.50	Agree		
	schools		-8		-6		
54	Controlled movement of	2.40	Disagree	2.24	Disagree		
-	administrative officers						
	Pooled mean	2.62	Agree	2.52	Agree		
~	1 doled mean	2.02	115100	2.52	. 15.00		

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Research Question 5

What are the impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on immediate communities?

Table 5. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the impacts of BH insurgency on communities?

Questionnaire Items Lecturers Students						
CONT		_		Students		
S/N	Impacts of Boko	X	Remarks	X	Remarks	
	Haram Insurgency					
	on Immediate					
	Communities	2 - 12		2.71		
55	Killings/abduction of	2.62	Agree	2.51	Agree	
	bread winners					
56	Disintegration of	2.70	Agree	2.54	Agree	
	family ties					
57	High rate of	3.12	Agree	2.42	Disagree	
	displacement of					
	families					
58	High psychological	3.00	Agree	2.80	Agree	
	trauma on families					
59	Increased	2.50	Agree	2.62	Agree	
	unemployment					
60	Inability to access	2.64	Agree	2.74	Agree	
	family needs					
61	Diminished standard	2.72	Agree	2.40	Disagree	
	of living					
62	High level of fear and	2.14	Disagree	2.34	Disagree	
	suspicion among		C			
	families					
63	Community	2.54	Agree	2.60	Agree	
	destruction					
64	Rape on community	3.00	Agree	2.72	Agree	
	values, norms and					
	cultures.					
65	Loss of	2.60	Agree	2.54	Agree	
	neigbhourhood trust					
66	Loss of peace and	2.74	Agree	2.50	Agree	
	unity					
67	Paucity of community	3.00	Agree	3.12	Disagree	
	development					
68	High level of	2.82	Agree	2.40	Disagree	
	poverty/illiteracy					
69		2.50		2.22	ъ.	
	Rural-urban drift	2.50	Agree	2.32	Disagree	

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Data in table 5 on impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on communities show that lecturers and students agree on items 55 (killings/abductions of bread winners: 2.62, 2.51), 56(disintegration of facilities: 2.70, 2.54) 57(high psychological trauma: 3.00, 2.80), 60(inability to access family needs: 2.64, 2.74), 63(community destruction: 2.54, 2.60), and 64 (rape on norms, values and culture: 3.00, 2.72). Students however disagree on items 57, 61, 67, 68 and 69 whereas lecturers disagree on item 62(higher level of fear/suspicion among families) higher than the cut-off points of 2.50 suggest that Boko Haram insurgency impacts on communities in Nigeria.

Research Question 6

What strategies can be adopted to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria?

Data in table 6 on strategies to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria suggest agreement of respondents on all the items 70-83 with the exception of items 70 and 76. There is therefore unanimity of opinions that items 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 and 83 are strategies of combating Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

Table 6. Mean ratings of lecturers and students on the strategies to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

	Questionnaire Items		Students		
S/	Strategies to combat	Lectu	Remarks	_	Remarks
N	Boko Haram	X	Teman is	X	Kemar Ka
1	Insurgency on				
	Nigeria				
70	Multilateral	2.14	Disagree	2.42	Disagree
, 0	diplomacy/cooperative	2.11	Disagree		Disagree
	security approach				
	should be adopted				
71	Good governance and	2.52	Agree	2.60	Agree
, 1	democracy	2.02	118100	2.00	118100
72	Security methodology	2.74	Agree	2.52	Agree
, -	should be preventive,		118100	2.02	118100
	intelligence-based and				
	proactive				
73	Issues of	2.80	Agree	2.62	Agree
	unemployment,		1-8-11		8
	deprivation and				
	poverty should be				
	addressed				
74	Religious groups	2.50	Agree	2.58	Agree
	should tolerate each		8		8
	other				
75	Communities should	2.56	Agree	2.60	Agree
	be vigilant of		8		8
	strangers and live				
	peacefully with each				
	other				
76	Communities where	2.40	Disagree	2.42	Disagree`
	schools are sited				C
	should protect school				
	properties.				
77	Strict border controls	2.50	Agree	2.64	Agree
	should be enforced				
78	Parents should give	2.52	Agree	3.00	Agree
	good direction, and				
	counseling to their				
	children/wards				
79	Security funds should	2.64	Agree	2.54	Agree
	be provided to school				
	heads quarterly				
80	Every school should	3.00	Agree	3.14	Agree
	be provided with a				
	perimeter fence				
81	Trained, experienced	2.82	Agree	2.64	Agree
	security personnels				
	should be deployed to				
	all schools in the				
	country				
82	Every school should	3.21	Agree	2.84	Agree
	be properly lit at night				
	and provided with				
	functional				
	communication				
00	gadgets	0.50		2.52	
83	Communities should	2.60	Agree	2.52	Agree
	form vigilantes that				
	will complement the				
	efforts of regular				
	security men	0.71	Λ	2.65	A
l	Pooled mean	2.71	Agree	2.65	Agree

Source: Survey Data (2016)

They include good governance/democracy, fighting poverty and unemployment, religious tolerance, security budgets for school heads, providing perimeter fence and adequate vigilance on schools. High pooled mean ratings of

2.71 for lecturers and 2.67 for students suggest agreement on the items listed.

Ho1: There is no significant difference in the means of lecturers and students on strategies of combating Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

Table 7. t-test analysis of strategies to combat Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

Respondents	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	SD	df	t-	t-	Decision
		11			cal	critical	
Lecturers	82	2.65	1.17	282	1.24	1.96	**NS
Students	202	2.72	1.25				

Table 7 indicates that t-cal (1.24) is less than t-crit. (1.96), meaning that there is no significant difference in the means of lecturers and students on the strategies of combating Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria. Ho₁ which states that there is no significant difference on the strategies of combating Boko Haram is therefore not rejected.

Source: Survey Data (2016)

Discussion

Data in table 1 indicated that Boko Haram insurgency impacted on students in Nigeria. This finding is in agreement with those of Hamman-Tukur, Atusa and Nwachukwu (2015) which indicated that Boko Haram insurgency distorted students ability to pay attention in class, created anxiety and apprehension due to fear of attack, restricted their participation in SIWES, loss of friends, academic imbalance and poor results. Uko (2015) equally contended that school age children are prevented from enrolment, young girls become mothers, cutting short their school careers as a result of insurgency. Nzewi (2015) corroborated these facts when she indicated that insurgency has traumatized students who are afraid to go back to school, leading to high rate of dropouts.

Data in table 2 indicating that lack of concentration, decline in instructional delivery, apathy among teachers, loss of experienced/visiting lecturers, demotivation and loss of punctuality are impacts of Boko Haram insurgency on teaching staff is in agreement with a study by Uko (2015) indicated that insurgency affects teachers' concentration and frame of mind for teaching. This distraction demotivates teachers and leads to decline in productivity. It can also lead to fear of attacks and abduction among teachers giving rise to teachers absence, diminished punctuality, increase absenteeism and reductions in schooling capacity.

Data in table 3 revealed that shutting down of schools, disruption of academic activities, absenteeism, disruption of examination, poor scholarship, abduction of examiners, withdrawal of students and 'brain drain' among others as effects of insurgency on teaching/learning. Harmman-Tukur et al (2015) agreed when they indicated that loss of visiting lecturers, transfer of experienced lecturers, killing and abduction of lecturers and examiners, low standard of education and 'brain drawn' as impacts of Boko Haram insurgency. The cumulative effects of disruption of academic activities due to school closures, teacher shortages and failure to effect repairs of damaged schools/classrooms can likely lead to falling standards of achievement.

Data in table 4 showed that insurgency has impacted seriously on school administration in Nigeria. The inability of school administrators to effectively carry out management functions due to destruction of vital school records and information system, mass abduction of school managers, attacks on system process of inspection and accreditation, suspension of repairs/upgrading of facilities, increase cost of funding education and delay in achieving sustainable

Development Goals (SDGs) is in agreement with the study of Uko (2015), Nzewi (2015) and Hamman-Tukur et al (2015) which indicated that delay in processing administrative duties, diminished staff punctuality, delayed graduation and disruption of academic calendar have given rise to reduction in internally generated revenue in Nigerian schools.

Data in table 5 indicated that Boko Haram insurgency has caused untold hardships on communities where they operate. Impacts of BH operation are community destruction, family disintegration, family displacement, killings and abduction of bread winners, destruction of community norms, values and cultures, high level of suspicion and fear, loss of neighbourbood trust, loss of community development and rural-urban drift. Ajayi (2011), Muyiwa (2012) and Hamman-Tukur et al (2015) have reported the negative impacts of BH insurgency on communities in the North-Eastern Nigeria. Robert-Okah (2015) concurred when he noted that the negative impacts of terrorism would be too devastating for Educationally Disadvantaged States (EDS) of the North-East region.

Data in table 6 revealed the strategies to combat terrorism and insurgency in Nigeria. These included good governance and democracy, preventive, intelligence-based security, religious tolerance, community vigilantes, peaceful coexistence, strict border controls, security budgets for school heads and perimeter fence in schools, and proper direction and adequate counseling of children by parents. These measures are in agreement with the Safe School Initiative (SSI) launched in May 2014 to protect hundreds of schools in Nigeria in response to the growing number of attacks on the right to education. This approach was expected to protect more than 500 schools in the northern states of Nigeria through a ten million dollars fund pledged by a coalition of Nigerian business men, working with the United Nations Envoy For Global Education, Gordon Brown, the Global Business Coalition for Education and A world at school.

Testing null hypothesis one (Ho₁) indicated a no significant difference on the strategies of combating Boko Haram insurgency Nigeria. This could be due to the fact that the present strategies employed has started yielding positive results with most of the Local Government Areas/Communities in the North-East previously occupied by insurgence being liberated and schools reopened.

Conclusion

Book Haram insurgency has devastated the school system in Nigeria. Insurgency has affected students, teachers, teaching-learning activities, school administrators and school host communities thereby arresting educational development in the country. Terrorism creates palpable fear, anxiety and traumatisation of students and teachers. Abductions and killings promotes a sense of insecurity in schools, disruption of academic activities, absenteeism and lateness among examinations, staff/students, disruption of withdrawals, 'brain drain' and loss of conference in education have become the lot of educational system in Nigeria. It has therefore become imperative that government, communities and parents in collaboration with voluntary agencies work out appropriate strategies to protect schools from further attacks by this dreaded sect.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following were recommended. 1)A more pro-active security strategy to insurgency/terrorism should be adopted.

2) Security budgets should be provided for school heads.

- 3) Trained/experienced and properly equipped security personnels should be deployed to all schools in Nigerian.
- 4)Every educational institution should be provided with a perimeter fence.
- 5)Strict border controls should be enforced in Nigeria.
- 6)Good governance and democracy should be enforced at all levels of government.
- 7)Community vigilantes should work closely with security personnels to protect staff, students and school properties.
- 8)Government should work in collaboration with Safe School Initiative (SSI) in Nigeria.
- 9) Education should be properly funded to restore the students, teachers and parents confidence in Nigerian education system.
- 10)Unemployment, poverty and deprivation should be adequately addressed.
- 11)A division of the Nigerian armed forces should be permanently stationed in each Local Government Areas of the North-East and North-Central regions.
- 12)Religious tolerance should be preached among faithfuls.
- 13)Peace ideology should be taught at all levels of the education pyramid.

References

Ajayi, S.O. (2011). *Domestic terrorism Ibadan:* Ade-Olu publishers.

Alapiki, H. (2015). The state and the culture of terrorism in Nigeria: Unveiling the real terrorists. An inaugural lecture series no. 117 of University of Port Harcourt, Choba, March 12th.

Hamman-Tukur. A, Atsua, T.G. Nwachukwu, K.I. (2015). Impact of Boko Haram insurgency on lecturers, administrators and students in tertiary institutions in Maiduguri metropolis, Bornu State, Nigeria, S.O Oluwuo N.J. Okoli, S.D Osaat & C.M. Uche (Eds) 100 years of Education in Nigeria: Issues on policies, Reforms and Administration, Book of Readings (I)

129-141. Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt press Ltd.

Hershberg, T. (1996). Human capital development: America's greatest talent, Annuals of the American Academy of Political & Social Science. Retrieved from (5 Jan. 2016) http.eu/pdf/humancapitaldevpdf.

Muyiwa, T. (2012). Effects of Northern Ugandan insurgency on education: Focus on secondary school teacher's teaching and supervision addictiveness, Campalla: Lambert Academic publishers.

Nzewi, U.M. (2015). Terrorism: A threat to global peace and security, its educational imperatives, being a paper presented at the 3^{rd} Institute of Education annual conference held at the Institute of Education, University of Calabar, Calabar, from $21^{st} - 24^{th}$ October.

Olaniyan, D.A & Okemakinde, T. (2000). Human capital theory: Implications for educational development, European Journal of Scientific Research 24(2) 157 – 162 Retrieved (6/7/2012) from *euro journals. Com/est.htm*.

Robert-Okah, I. (2015). Terrorism: Peace education as key to security and educational development in the Niger Delta Region being a paper presented at the $3^{\rm rd}$ Institute of Education annual conference held at the Institute of Education. University of Calabar, Calabar from $21^{\rm st}-24^{\rm th}$ October.

Uko, P.J. (2015). Terrorism: A threat to global peace and security. Its educational imperatives, being a paper presented at the 3^{rd} Institute of Education annual conference held at the Institute of Education, University of Calabar, Calabar from $21^{st} - 24^{th}$ October.

UNESCO (2010). *Education under attack*. A publication of United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO, 173 – 244.

World Bank (1993). The role of education in productivity convergence. Washington D.C. World Bank.