42111

S.Manivel et al./ Elixir Vib. Spec. 97 (2016) 42111-42116 Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Awakening to Reality

Vibrational Spectroscopy



Elixir Vib. Spec. 92 (2016) 38706-38717

# FT-IR and FT-Raman Spectra Molecular Geometry Vibrational Assignments, First Order Hyper Polarizability HOMO-LUMO Analysis of Benzyl Fluorid*e*

S.Manivel, L.F.A. Amirtharaj and M. Arivazhagan<sup>\*</sup> Department of Physics, Government Arts College, Trichy- 620 022.

DTICLE INFO

#### Keywords

FT-IR, FT-Raman vibrational spectra, NBO, HOMO-LUMO, Benzyl fluoride.

## ABSTRACT

The FT-IR and FT-Raman spectra of benzyl fluoride were recorded and analyzed. The vibrational wavenumbers were examined theoretically with the aid of the GAUSSIAN 09 package of programs using the HF/6-311++G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) levels of theory. The data obtained from vibrational wavenumber calculations are used to assign vibrational bands obtained in IR and Raman spectroscopy of the studied molecule. The first hyperpolarizability, NBO, HOMO-LUMO, infrared intensities and Raman intensities are reported. The calculated first hyperpolarizability is comparable with the reported values of similar derivatives and is an attractive object for future studies of non-linear optics. The geometrical parameters of the title compound are in good agreement with the values of similar structures.

© 2016 Elixir All rights reserved.

## 1.Introduction

Benzyl halides derivatives are of great interest in biological activity and widely used as a parent compound to make drugs. The sample benzyl Fluoride (BF) is a clear liquid with aromatic odour[1]. benzyl fluoride is also known as trifluoromethyl benzene. It is a raw material for the synthesis of some pharmaceuticals and used as a therapeutic agent [2,3]. It is also used as an intermediate in the preparation of important chemical products [4]. It is used as an intermediate for dyes, vulcanizing agent and insecticide and other organic compounds such as transformers oils. Similarly, fluorinated compounds are widely used in industries and heat transfer fluids, chemical intermediates and polymers etc, Therefore the study of fluorinated compounds is of considerable importance due to highest electron negativity of fluorine atom, fluorinated compounds show entirely different physical and chemical properties compared to the other halogenated compounds. As the vibrational modes of molecules are closely related to its geometrical and electronic structures, the vibrational spectra provide considerable information regarding the physical and chemical properties of the molecules [5].

In the present investigation the complete vibrational spectral properties of Benzyl fluoride (BF) at ab-initio Hartree-fock (HF) and density functional theory (DFT) levels B3LYP using 6-311++G(d,p) basis set and to identify the various normal modes with greater accuracy has been under taken the p-polarization function on hydrogen atoms, which are known to be very important for reproducing the out-of-plane vibrations involving hydrogen atoms. Is included it is anticipated that both HF and DFT (B3LYP) level of theories are reliable for predicting the vibrational spectra of BF. The density functional theory calculation are reported to provide accurate vibrational frequencies of organic compounds. If the calculated frequencies are scaled to compensate for the

approximate treatment of electron correlation for basis set deficiencies and for the anharmonicity.

#### 2. Experimental Details

The pure benzyl fluoride (BF) sample obtained from Lancaster Chemical Company, UK that is of spectroscopic grade and hence used for recording the spectra as such without any further purification. The FT-IR spectrum of BF is measured in the BRUKER IFS 66V spectrometer in the range 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The FT-Raman spectrum of BF is also recorded in FT-Raman BRUKER RFS 100/S instrument equipped with Nd:YAG laser source operating at 1064 nm wavelength in the range 3500-50 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3. Computational Methodology

Hartree-Fock (HF) and DFT calculations are carried out for BF using GAUSSIAN 09W program package [6]. The geometry optimization was carried out using the initial geometry at Hartree-Fock level adopting the standard 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. This geometry is then re-optimized again at DFT level employing the B3LYP keyword, which invokes Becke's three-parameter hybrid method [7] using the correlation function of Lee et al. [8]. All the parameters are allowed to relax and all the calculations converged to an optimized geometry which corresponds to a true minimum, as revealed by the lack of imaginary values in the wave number calculations. The multiple scaling of the force constants are performed according to SQM procedure [9], the subsequent normal coordinate analysis including the least square refinement of the scale factors and calculation of the total energy distribution (TED) are done on a PC with the MOLVIB program (version V7.0 - G77) written by Sundius [10,11]. The systematic comparison of the results from DFT theory with results of experiments has shown that the method using B3LYP functional is the most promising in providing correct vibrational wave numbers.

The Normal coordinate analyses were carried out for BF to provide a complete assignment of fundamental frequencies. The theoretically calculated force fields are transformed to this set of vibrational coordinates and used in all subsequent calculations.

# 3.1. Prediction of Raman intensities

The Raman activities  $(S_i)$  calculated with the GAUSSIAN 09W program are subsequently converted to relative Raman intensities  $(I_i)$  using the following relationship derived from the basic theory of Raman scattering [12-14].

$$I_{i} = \frac{f(v_{0} - v_{i})^{4}S_{i}}{v_{i} \left[1 - \exp \frac{-hcv_{i}}{kT}\right]}$$
...(1)

where  $v_0$  is the exciting frequency in cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $v_i$  the vibrational wave number of the *i*<sup>th</sup> normal mode, h, c and k are the fundamental constants and *f* is a suitably chosen common normalization factor for all the peak intensities.

# 4. Results And Discussion

#### 4.1 Molecular geometry

The optimized molecular structure of BF along with numbering of atoms is shown in Fig.1. The optimized geometrical parameters of BF obtained by HF/6- 311++G(d,p) and B3LYP /6-311++G(d,p) levels are listed in Table 1. From the structural data given it is observed that the various bond lengths are found to be almost same at HF/6-311+G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) levels. However, the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory, in general slightly overestimates bond lengths but it yields bond angles in excellent agreement with the HF and B3LYP method. The calculated geometric parameters can be used as foundation to calculate the other parameters of BF.

Table 1. Optimized geometrical parameters of benzyl fluoride computed at HF/6-311++G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-31++G(d,p)

level calculations.									
Bond Length	Value (Å)HFB3LYP		Bond Angle	Value (°)		Dihedral Angle	Value (°)		
	HF	<b>B3LYP</b>		HF	B3LYP		HF	B3LYP	
C1-C2	1.392	1.4063	C2-C1-C6	119.1016	-119.9106	С6-С1-С2-Н8	0.0054	0.0558	
C1-C6	1.3922	1.4063	C2-C1-C7	120.4548	120.4364	С6-С1-С2-Н8	-179.6691	-179.674	
C1-F7	1.4956	1.495	C6-C1-C7	120.4311	120.4365	C7-C1-C2-C3	178.7243	178.587	
C2-C3	1.3883	1.3996	C1-C2-C3	120.5514	120.5004	С7-С1-С2-Н8	-0.9501	-1.1429	
C2-H8	1.0743	1.0867	С1-С2-Н8	119.6929	119.5275	C2-C1-C6-C5	0.0218	-0.0558	
C3-C4	1.3891	1.402	С3-С2-Н8	119.7549	119.9715	C2-C1-C6-H12	179.7243	179.674	
С3-Н9	1.0732	1.0856	C2-C3-C5	119.9461	119.9754	C7-C1-C6-C5	-178.6975	-178.587	
C4-C5	1.3893	1.402	С2-С3-Н9	119.9336	119.9376	C7-C1-C6-H12	0.9543	1.1429	
C4-H10	1.0733	1.0857	C4-C3-H9	120.1203	120.0869	C2-C1-C7-H13	26.638	27.4581	
C5-C6	1.3881	1.3996	C3-C4-C5	119.9023	119.9376	C2-C1-C7-H14	152.1778	150.0319	
C5-H11	1.0732	1.0856	C3-C4-H10	120.0509	120.0312	C2-C1-C7-H15	-90.6138	-89.255	
C6-H12	1.0743	1.0867	C5-C4-H10	120.0468	120.0312	C6-C1-C7-H13	-154.6601	-154.0303	
C7-H13	1.0771	1.0915	C4-C5-C6	119.9516	119.9754	C6-C1-C7-H14	-29.1204	-27.4565	
C7-H14	1.0772	1.0915	C4-C5-H11	120.1123	120.0869	C6-C1-C7-F15	88.088	89.2566	
C7-F15	1.4422	1.4844	C6-C5-H11	119.9361	119.9376	C1-C2-C3-C4	-0.0027	-0.014	
N12-O14	1.1825	1.2618	C1-C6-C5	120.5468	120.5004	С1-С2-С3-Н9	-179.969	179.9643	
			C1-C6-H12	119.6804	119.5275	H8-C2-C3-C4	179.6715	179.7147	
			C5-C6-H12	119.7718	119.9715	Н8-С2-С3-Н9	-0.2948	-0.307	
			C1-C7-H13	112.5105	112.8873	C2-C3-C4-C5	-0.027	-0.0285	
			C1-C7-H14	112.5461	112.8873	C2-C3-C4-H10	179.9562	179.9124	
			C1-C7-F15	110.1017	110.0816	H9-C3-C4-C5	179.9362	179.8832	
			H13-C7-H14	110.435	110.0161	H9-C3-C4-H10	-0.0776	-0.0659	
			H13-C7-F15	105.4065	104.7983	C3-C4-C5-C6	0.054	0.0285	
			H14-C7-F15	105.3539	104.7983	C3-C4-C5-H11	-179.9363	-179.9932	
						H10-C4-C5-C6	-179.9292	-179.9125	
						H10-C4-C5-H11	0.0805	0.0659	
						C4-C5-C6-C1	-0.0516	0.014	
						C4-C5-C6-H12	-179.7031	-179.7146	
						H11-C5-C6-C1	179.9387	-179.9643	
						H11-C5-C6-H12	0.2873	0.307	

For numbering of atoms Ref. Fig. 1 Vibrational Assignments

# Vibrational Assignments

From the structural point of view BF is assumed to have  $C_s$  point group symmetry. The 39 fundamental modes of vibrations arising for BF are classified into 27A'and 12A" species. The A'and A''species represent the in-plane and outof plane vibrations, respectively. The FTIR and FT-Raman spectra of BF are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The detailed vibrational assignment of fundamental modes of BF along with the calculated IR and Raman intensities and normal mode descriptions (characterized by TED) are reported in Table 2. The vibrational analysis obtained for BF with the unscaled HF and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) force field are generally somewhat greater than the experimental values due to neglect of an harmonicity in real system. These discrepancies can be corrected either by computing anharmonic corrections explicitly or by introducing a scaled field or directly scaling the calculated wavenumbers with proper scale factor [15,16]. A tentative assignment is often made on the basis of the unscaled frequencies by assuming the observed frequencies so that they are in the same order as the calculated ones. Then, for an easier comparison to the observed values, the calculated frequencies are scaled by the scale to less than 1, to minimize the overall deviation.

#### S.Manivel et al./ Elixir Vib. Spec. 97 (2016) 42111-42116

Table 2. Vibrational assignments of fundamental modes of benzyl fluoride along with calculated IR intensity (km mole<sup>-1</sup>); Raman intensity (normalised to 100) reduced mass (amu); force constant (mdyne A<sup>-1</sup>)]. and normal mode describtion characterized by TED) based on quantum mechanical force field calculations using HF/B3LYP method with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set.

Sl. No.	Symmetry	Observe	ed	Calculated	frequenc	ies (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		. /.						Assignments
	Species	fundam	entals (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		-									with TED%
	C <sub>s</sub>	FT-IR	FT- Raman	HF/6-311+	+G( <b>d</b> , <b>p</b> )				B3LYP /6-	311++G(d	l,p)			among type of
				Unscaled	Scaled	IR	Raman	Force constant	Unscaled	Scaled	IR	Raman	Force constant	internal
						intensity	intensity				intensity	intensity		coordinates
1.	Α'	3093	-	3422	3106	9.8794	135.3194	7.5812	3372	3092	10.5777	144.0109	7.3575	γCH (98)
2.	Α'	-	3080	3412	3100	47.0884	0.3041	7.5144	3362	3075	48.1157	0.593099	7.2893	γCH (96)
3.	A'	3068	-	3403	3086	19.0743	33.5028	7.4547	3354	3062	17.9795	35.20615	7.2330	γCH (98)
4.	A'	3037	-	3398	3044	12.9527	54.6586	7.5201	3352	3028	15.6131	45.0315	7.3252	γCH (96)
5.	Α'	-	2980	3391	2997	3.9514	118.3006	7.4085	3345	2976	1.3812	182.7187	7.1773	γCH (94)
6.	A'	2962	-	3386	2972	1.2646	11.5364	7.3469	3339	2960	1.1451	11.8142	7.1332	$CH_2 ass(95)$
7.	A'	2910	-	3337	2922	39.2286	46.2026	6.9285	3288	2987	42.5631	51.3975	6.7212	CH <sub>2 SS</sub> (91)
8.	A'	1680	-	1751	1692	0.0430	10.8858	8.5776	1643	1677	0.1909	11.1071	9.5892	γCC (83)
9.	A'	-	1650	1736	1656	0.4022	7.8744	8.7168	1640	1647	0.1300	5.4755	10.0035	γ CC (80)
10.	A'	1606	-	1674	1614	4.0644	4.9062	1.8328	1534	1604	0.0300	1.9423	2.3487	γ CC(89)
11.	A'	-	1580	1642	1590	10.8286	0.9566	3.,0262	1517	1575	14.3444	3.6417	1.7456	γCC(84)
12.	A'	1550	-	1603	1560	10.4580	0.04968	2.8894	1492	1545	7.2202	0.2160	2.9005	γCC(81)
13.	A'	1489	-	1532	1497	39.2869	3.8641	1.8090	1382	1485	31.0874	7.6848	31.0874	γCC(83)
14.	A'	-	1480	1492	1490	0.7462	0.4250	1.7233	1372	1478	0.0049	0.6738	0.0049	γCC(89)
15.	A'	1466	-	1376	1473	2.7879	9.2453	1.3137	1357	1465	0.7885	1.1179	0.7885	CH <sub>2</sub> sciss (86)
16.	A'	-	1390	1328	1410	0.0704	4.6631	1.4449	1267	1389	108.5853	28.5765	4.9870	CH <sub>2</sub> rock(71)
17.	A'	1379	-	1315	1388	96.8512	23.9901	4.1439	1241	1375	0.9102	12.1895	1.0254	b CH(73)
18.	A'	1329	-	1300	1337	1.6909	8.2883	1.1800	1205	1327	1.5536	8.0040	1.1016	b CH(74)
19.	A'	1312	-	1266	1326	29.9145	9.4883	4.3105	1198	1310	8.5056	31.2081	2.2553	b CH(72)
20.	A'	-	1250	1242	1262	0.2510	4.5674	1.9968	1188	1247	0.0028	7.2089	0.8783	b CH(76)
21	A'	1217	1215	1185	1225	0.3650	0.1516	1.2511	1107	1213	4.7504	1.7381	1.0707	b CH(73)
22	A'	-	1180	1176	1190	1.8428	0.5376	1.4641	1054	1175	4.8717	1.3794	1.6034	trigd(61)
23	A'	-	1160	1140	1170	0.0018	0.06783	1.1112	1034	1155	0.0247	133.0542	2.9795	Rsymd(64)
24	A'	1150	-	1127	1160	4.2033	1.2996	2.2336	981	1147	0.0688	0.3656	0.8141	Rasymd(63)
25	A'	1082	-	1082	1092	0.3973	139.7032	2.5308	952	1080	0.0044	0.0729	0.7996	γCF(75)
26	A'	-	1060	1069	1072	6.7840	21.5827	1.0990	939	1057	3.9863	1.5759	0.8727	bCC(75)
27	A'	-	996	1045	1011	5.0536	1.1204	1.0836	897	997	5.5968	3.7150	0.8423	ωCH(64)
28	A″	980	-	968	997	0.0002	2.3851	0.6882	809	980	9.7502	35.1236	1.2743	ωCH (60)
29	Α"	914	-	901	927	31.5166	19.2136	1.1549	800	910	0.003	1.6614	0.4731	ωCH (62)
30	Α"	-	850	832	865	29.1656	57.2102	1.3188	755	845	5.3717	13.9440	1.0252	ωCH (61)
31	A″	820	-	791	829	86.5600	0.1849	86.5600	666	815	61.1979	1.5024	0.4163	ωCH (59)
32	A″	745	-	697	754	0.1030	19.7615	0.1030	647	740	0.1206	16.7650	1.5306	CH <sub>2</sub> wag(72)
33	A″	698	-	665	703	36.9796	2.3776	36.9796	585	696	54.2791	3.6209	0.4785	trigd(53)
34	A″	-	640	536	647	7.9509	25.9933	0.6490	477	637	10.6580	18.8412	0.4598	bCF(67)
35	A″	593	-	477	599	0.0043	0.084849	0.3474	402	590	0.0082	0.0965	0.2332	tRsymd (56)
36	A″	-	490	360	497	3.4476	7.1002	0.3656	313	487	3.0056	3.8338	0.2420	tRasymd (54)
37	A″	481	-	336	489	0.6239	0.1544	0.1625	278	480	0.0505	0.5433	0.0976	ωCC(60)
38	A″	-	402	264	407	5.7231	15.7511	0.0342	256	399	4.9115	16.7572	0.0377	ωCF(57)
39	A″	-	153	93	157	5.70491	5.7762	0.0862	101	151	4.0839	6.2675	0.1264	CH <sub>2</sub> twist(58)
A	Abbreviatio	ns:	v - stretchi	ng; as - asy	mmetric	stretchin	g; ss - sym	metric stretching	g; b - in-pla	ane-bend	ling; @ - o	ut-of-plane	e bending;	t - torsion.

Abbreviations: v - stretching; as - asymmetric stretching; ss - symmetric stretching; b - in-plane-bending;  $\omega$  - out-of-plane bending;



# Fig 1. Molecular model of benzyl fluoride along with numbering of atoms.

A better agreement between the computed and experimental frequencies can be obtained by using different scale factors for different regions of vibrations. For that purpose, the different scaling factors for all fundamental modes have been utilized the scaled frequencies of the compound. The resultant scaled frequencies are also listed in Table 2.



Fig 2. FTIR Spectrum of benzyl fluoride.



#### Fig 3. FT-Raman Spectrum of benzyl fluoride. C–H Vibrations

The Aromatic group does not appear to affect the position of characteristic C–H bands and these bands occur in the range 3100-3000cm<sup>-1</sup>. The C-H in-plane bending vibrations appear in the range 1300-1000cm<sup>-1</sup> in the substituted benzenes and the out-of-plane bending vibrations in the range 1000-750cm<sup>-1</sup>[17]. The FTIR and FT-Raman peaks are obtained at 3093, 3068, 3037 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3080, 2980cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to C–H stretching vibrations of BF respectively. The FT-IR bands at 1379, 1329, 1312, 1217cm<sup>-1</sup> and Raman bands at 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to C–H in-plane bending vibrations of BF. The observed C–H out-of-plane bending modes show consistent agreement with the computed B3LYP results and also listed in Table 2.

#### **C-C** vibrations

The bands observed at  $1430-1670 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are assigned to C–C stretching modes [18]. The six ring carbon atoms undergo coupled vibrations called skeletal vibrations and give a maximum of four bands in the region  $1660-1420 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [19]. In the title compound BF also there are six prominent peaks at 1680, 1606, 1550 and 1489 cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT-IR spectrum and peaks observed at 1650,  $1580 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in FT-Raman spectrum are assigned to C-C stretching vibrations. The C-C in-plane out-of bending vibrations are listed in Table 2. These assignments are in good agreement with the literature [20].

Donor	ED/e	Acceptor	ED/e	$\mathbf{E}^{(2)\mathbf{a}}$	ε <sub>j</sub> −ε <sub>i</sub> <sup>b</sup>	<b>F</b> ( <b>i</b> , <b>j</b> ) <sup>c</sup>
				(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(a.u.)	(a.u)
σC1-C2	1.97825	σ* C1-C6	0.02234	3.20	1.26	0.057
πC1-C2	1.65530	π* C5-C6	0.32478	20.47	0.27	0.067
σ C1-C6	1.97825	σ* C1-C2	0.02235	3.20	1.26	0.057
σ C1-C7	1.98453	σ* C5-C6	0.01543	2.50	1.18	0.034
σ C2-C3	1.98030	σ* C1-C7	0.03299	3.34	1.06	0.053
σ C2-H8	1.98113	σ* C1-C6	0.02234	4.50	1.09	0.063
σ C3-C4	1.98176	σ* C2-H8	0.01198	2.11	1.18	0.045
σС3-Н9	1.98244	σ* C4-C5	0.01609	3.64	1.09	0.056
σ C4-C5	1.98176	σ* C5-C6	0.01543	1.99	1.19	0.043
σC5-C6	1.98030	σ* C1-C7	0.03299	3.34	1.06	0.053
σ C5-H11	1.9824	σ* C3-C4	0.01609	3.64	1.09	0.056
σ C6-H12	1.9811	σ* C1-C2	0.02235	4.50	1.09	0.063
σ C7-H13	1.98983	σ* C1-C6	0.02234	3.18	1.10	0.021
σ C7-H14	1.98983	σ* C7-F15	0.02813	3.18	1.10	0.053
σ C7-F15	1.99546	π C1-C2	0.34900	1.00	1.02	0.031

Table 3.Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis for benzyl fluoride using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level calculation.

<sup>a</sup>E<sup>(2)</sup> means Energy of hyper conjugative interaction.

 ${}^{b}\varepsilon_{i}$ - $\varepsilon_{j}$  means Energy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals.  ${}^{c}F(i,j)$  is the Fock matrix element between i and j NBO orbitals.

#### **C-F** Vibrations

The vibrations belongs to the bond between the ring and halogen atoms are worth to discuss here, since mixing of vibrations are possible due to the presence of heavy atoms on the periphery of molecule [21]. The C-F bond shows lower absorption frequencies as compared to C-H bond.

In BF, the C-F stretching and in-plane bending vibrations are appealed at 1082 and 640 cm<sup>-1</sup> in IR and Raman spectra, respectively. The FT-Raman band observed at 402 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to C-F out-of-plane bending vibration and it is also supported by their TED value.

#### CH<sub>2</sub> group vibrations

The ethyl group of the title compound gives rise to four stretching modes and the couple of scissoring, wagging, rocking and twisting modes. The band observed at 2962 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2910cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT-IR are assigned to asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of the ethyl group of BF respectively. One of the CH<sub>2</sub> deformation modes called CH<sub>2</sub> scissoring generates band at 1466 in FT-IR spectrum, The band at 1390 cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT-Raman is attributed to CH<sub>2</sub> rocking vibration. The peak at 153 cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT Raman is ascribed to ethyl twisting vibration. The title compound display the peak at 745cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT-IR spectrum due to ethyl wagging vibration. All these ethyl vibrations are agreed well with the literature [22].

#### 5. HOMO-LUMO Analysis

The most important orbitals in molecule are the frontier molecular orbitals, called highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). These orbitals determine the way of molecule interacts with other species. The frontier molecular energy gap characterizes the chemical reactivity and kinetic stability of the molecule. A molecule with a small frontier orbital gap is more polarizable and is generally associated with a high chemical reactivity, low kinetic stability and is also termed as soft molecule [23]. The 3D plot of the frontier orbital's HOMO and LUMO of BF molecule is shown in Fig.4. The positive phase is red and negative phase one is green.



Fig 4. The atomic orbital composition of the molecular orbital for benzyl fluoride.

The electronic transition absorption corresponds to the transition from the ground to the first excited state is mainly described by an electron excitation from the HOMO to LUMO. Generally, if the energy gap between the HOMO and LUMO decreases, it is easier for the electrons of the HOMO to be excited. The higher energy of HOMO, it is easier for HOMO to donate electrons whereas it is easier for LUMO to accept electrons when the energy of LUMO is low.

HOMO energy = -0.26618LUMO energy = -0.02750Energy gap = 0.24468

# 6. NBO Analysis

The second-order Fock matrix was carried out to evaluate donor (i)–acceptor (j), i.e., donor level bonds to acceptor level bonds interaction in the NBO analysis. A filled bonding or lone pair orbital can act as a donor and an empty or filled bonding, antibonding or lone pair orbital can act as an acceptor. These interactions strengthen and weaken bonds. For example, a lone pair donor– antibonding acceptor orbital interaction will weaken the bond associated with antibonding orbital. Conversely, an interaction with a bonding pair as the acceptor will strengthen the bond. Strong electron delocalization in Lewis structure will also show up as donor– acceptor interaction. For each donor (i) and acceptor (j), the stabilization energy  $E^{(2)}$  associates with the delocalization i $\rightarrow$  j is estimated as

$$E^{(2)} = \Delta E_{ij} = q_i \frac{F(i,j)^2}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $q_i$  is the donor orbital occupancy  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are diagonal elements orbital energies and F(i, j) is the off diagonal NBO Fock matrix element. The larger E<sup>(2)</sup> value the more intensive is the interaction between electron donors and acceptors, i.e., the more donation tendency from electron donors to electron acceptor and the greater the extent of conjugation of the whole system [24]. Delocalization of electron density between occupied Lewis type (bond or lone pair) NBO orbital and formally unoccupied (antibond or Rydberg) non- Lewis NBO orbital corresponds to a stabilizing donor-acceptor Interaction. NBO analysis has been performed on the title compound at the DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)level in order to elucidate charge transfer or conjugative intracompound rehybridization interaction, the and delocalization of electron density within the compound. The second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in the NBO basis of the compound shows the strong intramolecular hyperconjugative interactions that are presented in Table 3.

The intra molecular interaction is formed by orbital overlap between  $\pi$  (C1-C2) and  $\pi^*$  (C5-C6) bond orbital which result interamolecular charge transfer causing stabilization of the system. The interaction (C1-C2)  $\rightarrow \pi$  (C5-C6) is given the high stabilization energy 20.47 kj mol<sup>-1</sup>. **7. NLO Property** 

The energy of an uncharged linear molecule in a weak, homogenous electric field can be written as,

$$E = E_0 - \sum_{i} \mu_i F^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} F^i F^j - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{ijk} \beta_{ijk} F^i F^j F^k - \frac{1}{24} \sum_{ijkl} \gamma_{ijkl} F^i F^j F^k F^l + \dots$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $E_0$  is the energy of the unperturbed molecule;  $F^i$  is the field at the origin; and  $\mu_i$ ,  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $\beta_{ijk}$  and  $\gamma_{ijkl}$  are the components of dipole moment, polarizability, the first hyper polarizabilities and second hyperpolarizibilites, respectively.

The number of independent components for these tensors is regulated by symmetry. In the presence of an applied electric field, the energy of a system is a function of the electric field. First hyperpolarizability is a third rank tensor that can be described by a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  matrix and the 27 components of the 3D matrix can be reduced to 10 components due to the Kleinman symmetry [25]. In this study, the electronic dipole moment, molecular polarizability, anisotropy of polarizability and molecular first hyperpolarizability of the title compound have been investigated. The polarizability and hyperpolarizability tensors  $(\alpha_{xx}, \alpha_{xy}, \alpha_{yy}, \alpha_{xz}, \alpha_{yz}, \alpha_{zz} \text{ and } \beta_{xxx}, \beta_{xxy}, \beta_{xxy}, \beta_{xyy}, \beta_{xxz}, \beta_{xyz}, \beta_{xyz})$  $\beta_{yyz}$ ,  $\beta_{xzz}$ ,  $\beta_{yzz}$ ,  $\beta_{zzz}$ ) can be obtained by a frequency job output file of Gaussian. However, the first hyperpolarizability (B) value of Gaussian output are in atomic units (a.u.) so they have been converted into electronic units (esu) (1 a.u. =  $8.6393 \times 10^{-33}$  esu). The mean polarizability (a), anisotropy of polarizability ( $\Lambda \alpha$ ) and the average value of the first hyperpolarizability  $(\beta)$  can be calculated using the following. equations:

$$\beta = \left(\beta x^2 + \beta y^2 + \beta z^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

 $\begin{aligned} \beta_{x} &= \beta_{xxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz} \\ \beta_{y} &= \beta_{yyy} + \beta_{xxy} + \beta_{yzz} \\ \beta_{z} &= \beta_{zzz} + \beta_{xxz} + \beta_{yyz} \end{aligned}$ 

It is well known that the higher values of dipole moment, first hyperpolarizability are important for more active NLO properties. The calculated value of dipole moment is found to be 2.1952 Debye. The calculated first hyperpolarizability value ( $\beta$ ) of benzyl fluoride by B3LYP/ 6-311++G(d,p) method is equal to 0.607428× 10<sup>-30</sup> e.s.u. Urea is one of the prototypical molecules used in the study of the NLO properties of molecular. The first hyperpolarizability of the title compound is 1.6 times larger than those of urea. According to the magnitude of the first hyperpolarizability, the title compound may be a potential applicant in the development of NLO materials.

#### Conclusion

The vibrational properties of benzyl fluoride are investigated by FTIR and FT Raman spectroscopy. The various modes of vibrations are unambiguously assigned based on the TED output. The calculated wavenumbers are found in good agreement with the experimental values. NLO property is also observed by predicting the first hyperpolarizability for the title molecule due to the substitution in the benzene, NBO study reveals that lone pair orbital participates in electron donation to the stabilize the molecule. **References**[1] www.look chem.com

- [2] M.Govindarajan, k. Ganasan, s, periyandy m. karaback, S.mohan, spectrochima acta A77(2010)1005
- [3] Gadamasetti, kumar, T, braish, process chemistry in the pharmacetucal industry, vol.2(2007) p 142
- [4] M. Govindarajan. M.karaback, s. Periyandy, s.xavier, spectrochimica acta part A94(2012) 53

[5] V.Mukherjee, karunakar singh, N.P.Singh,R.A.Yadav. Spectrochima Acta part A 73 (2009) 44

[6] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegal, GAUSSIAN 09,

Revision A.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.

[7] A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 5648.

[8] C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B37 (1988) 785.

- [9] P. Pulay, G. Fogarasi, G. Pongor, J.E. Boggs, A. Vargha, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (39
- [10] T. Sundius, Vib. Spectrosc., 29 (2002) 89.

[11] MOLVIB (V.7.0): Calculation of Harmonic Force Fields and Vibrational Modes of Molecules, QCPE Program No. 807 (2002). 83) 7037.

- [12] P.L. Polavarapu, J. Phys. Chem. 94 (1990) 8106.
- [13] G. Keresztury, Raman spectroscopy theory, in: JM Chalmers, P, R. Griffiths (Eds.), Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy, Vol. 1, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2002, p. 71.

[14] G. Keresztury, S. Holly, J. Varga, G. Besenyei, A.Y. Wang, J.R. Durig, Spectroschim Acta part 49A (1993) 2007

[15] V. Krishnakumar, Gabor Keresztury, S. Tom Sundius, Seshadri, Spectrochim. Acta 68A (2007) 845–850.

[16] P.B. Nagabalasubramanian, S. Periandy, S. Mohan, Spectrochim. Acta77A(2010) 150–159

[17] V. Krishnakumar, N. Prabavathi, Spectrochim. Acta 72A (2009) 738–742

[18] M. Arivazhagan, D. Antha Rexalin G. llango Spectrochim. Acta A121 (2014) 641–649.

[19] M. Arivazhagan, R.Meenakshi, S. Prabhakaran, Spectrochim. Acta A102 (2013) 59-65

[20] V. Krishnakumar, R. Mathammal, S. Muthunatesan, Spectrochimica Acta A **70** (2008) 210.

[21] Jidong Wang, Mingmin Ren, Shui Wang, Yixin Qu, Spectrochimica Acta part A 78 (2011) 1126

[22] M. Arivazhagan, S. Manivel, S. Jeyevijayan, R. Meenakshi Spectrochim. Acta A134 (2015) 493–501.

[23] B.J. Powell, T. Baruah, N. Bernstein, K. Brake, R.H. McKenzie, P. Meredith, M.R. Pederson, J. Chem. Phys. 120 (2004) 8608–8615.

[24] S. Gunesekaran, R.A. Balaji, S. Kumerasan, G. Anand,

S. Srinivasan, Can. J. Anal. Sci., Spectrosc. 53 (2008) 149.

[25] D.A. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. 126 (1962) 1977–1979.