

Available online at www.elixirpublishers.com (Elixir International Journal)

Organizational Behaviour

Elixir Org. Behaviour 122 (2018) 51804-51813



Talent Management and Employee Productivity in Selected Banks in Anambra State

Okeke.M.N, Ojan echo, onyekwelu, N.P. and Obi, N.C

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 17 September 2018; Received in revised form: 12 September 2018; Accepted: 22 September 2018;

Keywords

Talent Management, Employee Productivity.

ABSTRACT

This work examined the effect of talent management on employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State. The study reviewed relevant conceptual, theoretical and empirical literatures. It was anchored on management process approach and human capital theory. Talent Retention, performance management systems, training and development and talent attraction were employed as the explanatory variables while employee productivity was the dependent variable. The study adopted survey research design. The population of the study comprised 353 staff of the selected banks in Anambra State. The sample size was 353(using the entire population). Questionnaire was employed as the main instrument of data collection. The data generated were analyzed using frequency, percentage analysis, descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and multiple regression analysis. The study found that talent retention had a significant influence on employee productivity. Performance management systems had a significant effect on employee productivity. Training and development had a significant influence on employee productivity and talent attraction had a significant effect on employee productivity. The study concluded that talent management had a significant effect on employee productivity. The study therefore recommended that management should establish talent retention strategies and make them known to all employees. Management and employees should be involved in the entire process of performance appraisal. To enhance employee productivity, it is imperative that the organization focuses on training and development programs that are not only essential but enhance employees' competitiveness. Programs should be designed by both managers and employees. Talent attraction such as competitive salary packages and rewards should be established as a policy in every organization.

© 2018 Elixir All rights reserved.

Introduction

Talent management procedures change over time in response to the influence of both internal and external factors in the workplace (Frank & Taylor, 2004). Globalization and workplace reform and changes in the demographic composition of the workforce have affected how talent needs to be managed (Nankervis, Compton & Baird, 2005). Globalization has led to increased competition and pressure on organizations to use human capital as effectively and productively as possible (Hiles & Bunnell, 2006). growth potential of organizations worldwide depends on the ability of organizations to have the right people, in the right place at the right time. Employers are forced to compete to attract and retain an increasing pool of talented individuals in order to achieve their objectives (Hiles & Bunnell, 2006). Companies with effective talent management practices deliver better results for shareholders (Huselid, 2005). Effective talent management practices can create enduring competitive advantage and enhance organizational performance.

Gardener (2002) posits that unmet need in many organizations leading to negative employee outcomes. Over the years, creation and preservation of knowledge has become a key tool in accelerating competitiveness and enhancing organizational capabilities to respond to market changes where employees' skills and personalities are appropriately

deployed to optimize performance. This is a critical and difficult task (Bryan, 2004). Gardner (2002) notes that talent management is a major global challenge confronting most organizations in the world. Due to scarcity of talent, organizations around the world are competing for the same pool of talents to acquire and retain talents in order to maintain their operations and continue to grow in terms of service and profitability. Most assets of an organization such as, products, technologies and strategies may be replicated easily, but human capital takes great deal of time to develop and considered as a key asset to manage and adapt to the organizational needs (Chuai, 2010).

Talent management is an important activity to enable organizations to have the right people with the skills and expertise to meet the immediate and future needs of the firm. The process covers activities such as selection, development, succession and performance management (Wellins, Smith & Erker, 2009). Talent management is one of the primary management tools for 21st century human assets management because the significant resource for firms competing in this century is no longer land, capital, and other tangible assets, but the human capital necessary to adapt (Cappelli, 2008). Talent management has been a top priority in the developed countries for decades now as expressed in literature for

countries such as the UK, USA, France, China, and Australia (Coulson-Thomas, 2012, Chugh & Bhatnagar, 2011, Egerová, 2013, Lewis & Heckman, 2011). These studies show that the concern and search for talent is universal

Talent management focuses on how people come into the organization and grow (Sayyasi, 2011). Today, organizations have realized that in order to be successful in complicated world economic system and durable in the business environment, they require the best talents. At the same time to the need to recruit, develop and retain talents, organizations have found that their talents are critical resources that for achieving the best results require management (Maali and Tajaddin, (2008). The basic notion around the scramble for talent is employee performance. As such, every sector has also discovered that attracting and retaining talented employees does not only enhance employee productivity itself, but organization performance as a whole. (Smith, 2007). It is therefore a deliberate approach undertaken to attract, develop and retain people with the aptitude and abilities to meet current and future organizational needs.

Statement of the Problem

Managing talent is a challenge to all organizations in the context of globalization, irrespective of the country. Moreover, the concern about scarcity of talent is almost universal, (Gardner, 2002). Organizations around the world are competing for the same pool of talents and this is seen as a global labour market for talents. Trend of global integration shows organizations' standardizations in talent recruitment, development and management, to ensure their competitive position and consistency. Despite knowledge of talent management strategies, many organizations are failing to put in place the kind of human capital development and retention strategies that will bear appropriate outcomes (Cappelli, 2009). The determinants of talent management include talent attraction; performance management systems: retention; employee training and career management. The problem of this study focused on the effects of talent attraction, talent retention, employee training and career management as independent variables and employee productivity as dependent variables with special reference to employee productivity. Gibbons (2006) states that talent management is a system that addresses competency gaps by implementing and maintaining programs to attract, acquire, develop, promote, and retain quality talent that must be adopted by all future oriented organizations to gain competitive advantage.

Several scholars have conducted studies on talent management, including Koranteng (2014) study on talent management as a tool for employee retention, using a case study of Procredit Savings and Loans Limited Kumasi. The study revealed the adoption of major talent management practices including motivation, regular training and development of employees. Koketso and Rust (2012) conducted an exploratory study on the perceived challenges to talent management in the South African Public Service, using the case of Cape Town Municipality. Kambabazi (2012) examined talent management, organization culture and employee engagement using the case of National Water and Sewerage Corporation in Uganda. The findings indicate that both talent management and organization culture predict employee engagement and therefore performance.

Wambui (2012) studied talent management practices in commercial state corporations while Lyria (2013) examined the role of talent management on organization performance in companies listed in Nairobi Security Exchange.

These studies revealed the existence of a strong positive correlation between talent management and employee performance. However, these studies did not examine whether this correlation still holds true for companies and in particular, in the Nigeria context. Therefore, this study seeks to fill the existing gap in literature by providing empirical data on effects of talent management on employee productivity in the financial sector.

Objectives of the Study

The broad objective of the study is to examine the effect of talent management on employee productivity. The specific objectives are to:

- 1. Determine the influence of employee retention on employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State 2. Examine the effect of performance management on
- employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State 3. Assess the influence of training and development on employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State
- 4. Investigate the effect of talent attraction, on employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State

Research Questions

- 1. To what extent does talent retention influence employee productivity in manufacturing firms in the South-East?
- 2. To what extent do performance management systems affect employee productivity in manufacturing firms in the South-East?
- 3. To what extent does training/development influence employee productivity in manufacturing firms in the South-East?
- 4. To what extent does talent attraction affect employee productivity in manufacturing firms in the South-East?

Hypotheses

Ho₁: Talent retention has no significant influence on employee productivity

Ho₂: Performance management systems have no significant effect on employee productivity

Ho₃: Training /development has no significant influence on employee productivity

Ho₄: Talent attraction has no significant effect on employee productivity

Significance of the Study

The study could be valuable to a number of stakeholders including management, policy makers, government, researchers and academics.

Review of Related Literature

Conceptual Framework

Talent Management

Aston and Morton (2005) note that there is not a single consistent or concise definition of talent management. Tansley (2011) defines talent as "an essential giftedness, which is regarded as a gift". It is also a natural ability and aptitudes. Wikstrom, Oberwittler, Treiber and Hardie (2012) note that talent represents greater mastery of developed abilities and knowledge systematically in the field of human endeavour. Stockley (2007) asserts that talent management is defined as the conscious, deliberate approach undertaken to attract, develop and retain people with the aptitude and abilities to meet current and future organizational needs. According to Stockley perspective, (2007)management deals with the recruitment, selection, identification, retention, management, and development of personnel considered having the potential for high performance. Talent management simply refers to an organized process of attracting, selecting, hiring, engaging, training and developing, retaining and utilizing top talents to

an organization's best advantage (Lockwood, 2006). It aims at ensuring the right job placements at the right time, in the right positions for the right candidates to deliver their best and remain committed to the organization. Although talent management is organization-specific, the focus is on developing and optimizing high potentials or talents of individuals within the organization more quickly than ever to enhance competitiveness (Zhang, et al, 2012). Talent is one of the critical resources for organizations to attain competitive advantage. Talent management will fail without top management commitment to retaining its workforce (Izwar & Aerni, 2014). Effective talent management endears talented employees to an organization as employees begin to see themselves as part of the organization, add value to the organization and remain glued to it. It ensures that employees remain professional at all times in their business practices, serve meritoriously, have the right people made up of seasoned professionals who have excelled in their various professions, possessing the requisite integrity, skills and experience.

Collings and Mellahi (2009) define talent management as "activities and processes that involves the systematic identification of key positions. Those contribute to the organization's sustainable competitive advantage, development of a talent pool of high potential and high performing incumbents to fill these roles, and the development of a differentiated human resource architecture facilitate filling these positions with competent incumbents, and to ensure their continued commitment to the organization. Garrow and Hirsh (2008) opine that talent management is about doing things for your best people, investing in developing them, building their potential and assisting people to make the best use of their strengths. Howard (2008) asserts that the purpose of talent management is to ensure that a supply of talent is available to align with the right people at the right time in the right job using measureable, predictable, and actionable skills that serve as a key to organizational success. Talent audits are a worthwhile tool in that process based on strategic business objectives.

Employee Productivity

The term productivity was applied for the first time by François Quesnay, the mathematician and economist who was an adherent of physiocracy school. He believes authority of any government is based on increasing productivity in the agriculture sector by proposing the economic table. Another French man in 1883 called Littere defined productivity as knowledge and technology of production. Fredrick Venislo, Taylor and Frank and Lillian Gilbert conducted studies about labor division, improving the methods and determining the standard time in order to enhance efficiency simultaneous with the beginning of scientific management movement period at the beginning of 1900 (Darvish, 2008).

Productivity means achieving maximum possible profit from the labor force, power, talent and human force skill, land, machine, money, equipment of time, place, to enhance welfare of the society such that increase of it is considered a necessity towards enhancement of humans' living standard and society (Darvish, 2008). Mathis and Jackson (2000) define productivity as a measure of the quantity and quality of work done, considering the cost of the resource it took to do the work. Employee Productivity refers to the real output per unit of labor. It is a powerful driver of international capital flows. Meneze (2006) define productivity as the employees' ability to produce work or goods and services according to the expected standards set by the employers, or beyond the

expected standards. Productivity is calculated by comparing total amount of output to the total amount of input used to produce this output (Bojke et al., 2012). Amah (2006) defined employee productivity as the measure of how efficiently and effectively resources (inputs) are brought together and utilized for the production of goods and services (out puts) in line with the quality needed by society in the long term. This implies that productivity is a combination of performance and economic use of resources by employees. High productivity indicates that resources are efficiently and effectively utilized, and waste is minimized in the organization. Productivity balances the efforts between different economic, social, technical and environmental objectives (Amah, 2006). High productivity provides more profit for investors and promotes the development of the enterprise. Productivity measurement indicates areas for possible improvements and shows how well improvement efforts are faring. It helps in the analysis of efficiency and effectiveness. It can stimulate improvement and motivate employees (Prokopenko, 1987). Chase and Aguilano (1995) note that productivity is measured in terms of outputs per labour hour. However this measurement does not ensure that the firm will make money (for example when extra output is not sold but accumulates as inventory). To test whether productivity has increased, the following questions should be asked: "Has the action taken increased output or has it decreased inventory. Has the action taken decreased operational expense? This would then lead to a new definition which is: Productivity is all the actions that bring a company closer to its goals.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of this study is anchored on Management Process Approach by Henry Fayol.

Henry Fayol, a French industrialist, developed the theory of management. According to him, managerial excellence is a technical ability, and can be acquired. He developed theories and principles of management which are universally accepted, and make him universalistic. Henry Favol (1916) offered fourteen principles of management which include: division of Work; Authority and Responsibility; Discipline; Unity of Command; Unity of direction; Subordination of individual interests to general interests; Fair Remuneration to employees; Centralization and Decentralization; Scalar chain; order; equity; Stability of use of personnel; Initiative; Spirit of Co-operation Management Process Approach, like Scientific Management, takes productivity, economical efficiency and rationalism as the basis (Şimsek, 2009). Fayol (1916) claims that workers, instead of the ability to manage, have the desire to be managed and generally avoid taking responsibility, hence the necessity of carrying out penal sanctions. He has established bases concept organizationally by applying new management and holistic terms and earned principles like division of labor and specialization, authority and responsibility, discipline, organizational benefits which are indispensable principles in every step of scientific management and the principle that organizational benefits are above individual benefits which make up the base of Quality Management.

This study builds on Fayol's twelfth principle of stability of use of personnel. Principle of stability is linked with long tenure of personnel in the organization (Fayol, 1916). An efficient management always builds a team of good workers. If the members of the team go on changing, the entire process of production is disturbed. It is always in the interest of the enterprise that its trusted, experienced and trained employees do not leave the organization. Stability of job creates a sense

of belongingness among workers who with this feeling are encouraged to improve the quality and quantity of work. An organization should therefore adopt an efficient talent management system which ensures that skilled human capital is maintained in the organization.

Empirical Review

Talent management has been studied by various authors, but in different aspects and directions. Khezri, Niknafs, Aidnlou, Alian, and Eslamlou, (2016) examined the impact of talent management on productivity of Bank Mellat of West Azerbaijan province. The population was 850 people of employees of Bank Mellat of West Azerbaijan province. The sample size was 265, determine via the Morgan table. For data analyzing Pearson correlation test was used. The results showed that there was a significant positive exited relationship between talent management and employees of Bank Mellat southern province of Western Azerbaijan. Also results indicated that significant and positive relationship between components of talents management with productivity of employees of Bank Mellat of West Azerbaijan province.

Knott (2016) examined the effect of talent management practices on employee performance. The study adopted a descriptive survey research design. The population of the study was 95 employees of Suraya Property Group Limited. It adopted a stratified sampling technique to select a sample size of 76 respondents. Data was presented using tables and figures. The findings revealed a statistically significant relationship between training and development of employees and employee performance. The findings also showed that there was a statistically significant relationship between talent retention strategy and employee performance. Finally the study revealed that there was a statistically significant relationship between performance management systems and employee performance, and concluded that management had positive significant effect on organizational productivity.

Onwuka, Ugwu and Kekeocha (2015) investigated talent management and employees performance in selected public sector firms in Delta State, Nigeria. Sample size was determined using Taro Yamani's statistical technique. 364 copies of the questionnaires were distributed to respondents, out of which 273 copies of the questionnaire were returned. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted using SPSS-20. The study found that F-calculated value (73.166) was greater than F-tabulated (2.53) value at 5% significant level in the selected public sector firms. Hence, the null hypothesis was rejected. Study concluded that there was an existence of strong relationship between talent management and performance in selected employees private organizations.

Wuim-Pam (2014) investigated the impact of effective talent management on employee core competencies in Plateau State University, Bokkos. Using a non-empirical approach, the result revealed that the skills, knowledge and abilities of employees had impact on job descriptions and performance management. The study concluded that tying core competencies with talent management is a win-win proposition as it provides organizations with a means of upgrading and retaining their valuable workforce.

Gichuhi, & Waititu (2014) examined the role of talent management on competitiveness of public universities in Kenya. Survey research design was employed. Factor analysis revealed that all the 16 items used had a loading value above 0.4 as recommended, hence they were all included in the analysis Data analysis revealed a positive

relationship R=0.498 (p-value <0.05), indicating a significant linear relationship between talent management and competitiveness.

Oladapo (2014) carried out a study on the impact of talent management on retention in Strayer University. This study sought to understand the challenges and successes of talent management programs and the reasons some companies choose not to have a program. This study also tested the predictive power of job security, compensation and opportunity on retention rates. Findings revealed that for the organizations sampled with a talent management program, (69% of those studied), participants overwhelmingly recognized the strategic value of an effective talent management program despite significant challenges to implementation. The study further revealed that job security, compensation, and opportunity for advancement were not found to have predictive value for employee retention rates.

Karuri and Nahashon (2015) investigated the effect of talent management on employee outcomes at the Central Bank of Kenya. The independent variables were talent attraction, talent retention, employee training and career management while the dependent variable was employee outcomes; i.e. teamwork, job satisfaction and employee engagement. The sample for the study was 130 staff drawn from the population of about 700 staff at CBK's head office. The study adopted a descriptive survey of the staff of Central Bank of Kenya. Regression model was used in predicting the relationship between employee outcomes and various aspects of talent management. The descriptive statistical analysis of this study's findings revealed that employee outcomes (in this case teamwork, job satisfaction and employee engagement) are significantly influenced by talent attraction, retention, employee training and career management at CBK.

Nzewi, Chiekezie and Ogbeta (2015) assessed the relationship between talent management and employee performance in selected commercial banks in Asaba, Delta State. Descriptive survey design was adopted. Hypotheses were tested with Pearson Product Moment Correlation coefficient. Regression technique was used to analyze the data collected. The findings revealed a positive relationship existed between talent management and employee performance. The study concluded that talent management was significantly related to employee performance.

Methodology

This study adopted survey research design. It was carried out in Anambra State. Five banks selected from each of the zones that make up Anambra State were studied. The banks include First Bank of Nigeria Plc, Zenith Bank of Nigeria Plc, Fidelity Bank Plc, Union Bank of Nigeria Plc and Key Stone Bank. The population was 353. The entire population was used as the sample size. The source of data was primary data. Questionnaire was used as the instrument of data collection. The study used face and content validity. Thus, the study employed Cronbach's alpha to verify the internal consistency of each construct in order to achieve reliability. The analysis of data was performed using SPSS package. This involved descriptive analysis. Data were cleaned before analysis to ensure that they were correctly captured from source documents. Multiple regression analysis was used to assess the effect of talent management on organizational productivity.

Data Presentation and Analysis

In this section, the data generated from the employee of the sampled banks were presented, analyzed and interpreted. A total of three hundred and fifty three (353) copies of the questionnaire were distributed to the respondents, out of which three hundred and thirty two were properly filled and found relevant to the study. 21 copies were not properly filled. The analysis in this section was based on the three hundred and thirty two relevant copies.

Descriptive Characteristics of the Variables

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std.Deviation
OP	332	11	27	21.33	3.307
TR	332	8	25	17.86	4.245
PMS	332	11	27	13.30	3.952
TD	332	10	25	18.71	4.036
TA	332	8	24	17.91	3.699
Valid N	332				
(listwise)					

Source: Author's Compilation from SPSS Version 21.0

This table present the summary of statistics used in the analysis. It provides information about the mean and standard deviation of the variables used in the study. The mean value for organizational productivity is 21.33 while the standard deviation is 3.307. The mean value for Talent retention is 17.86 while the standard deviation is4.245. Performance management systems recorded a mean value of 13.30 with a standard deviation of 39.52. Training and development recorded a mean value of 18.71 with a standard deviation of 4.036. Talent attraction has a mean of 17.91 and standard deviation of 3.699.

Correlation Analysis

Here, Pearson correlation was employed to measure the strength and relationship between independent variables. The Pearson correlation coefficient is a measure of the strength of a linear association between two variables, and is denoted by r. Table 2 below shows the summary of correlation coefficient.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix.

Tubic 2. Correlation Matrix.								
	OP	TR	PMS	TD	TA			
Pearson Correlation	1	.005**	790	.000"	.000			
OP Sig. (2-tailed)		.005	.001	.000	.000			
N	332	332	332	332	332			
Pearson Correlation	.654"	1	.016	057	238"			
TR Sig. (2-tailed)	.005		.775	.301	.000			
N	332	332	332	332	332			
Pearson Correlation	.790	.016	1	.060	011			
PMS Sig. (2-tailed)	-790	.775		.277	.841			
N	332	332	332	332	332			
Pearson Correlation	.219"	057	.060	1	033			
TD	.000	.301	.277		.546			
Sig. (2-tailed)	332	332	332	332	332			
Pearson Correlation	.554	238"	011	033	1			
TA Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.841	026				
N	332	332	332	332	332			
Pearson Correlation	.673	103	.023	.121*	.004			

corrrelation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). 'Correlation-is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The table above shows the extent of association between the dependent and independent variables used in the study. The correlation between Talent retention and employee productivity shows the value of 0.005, which indicates that Talent retention has a positive weak effect on organizational productivity. Performance management systems recorded a correlation coefficient of -790 with organizational productivity which shows that Performance management

systems have a negative moderate effect on employee productivity.

Furthermore, the correlation between training /development and employee productivity recorded a correlation coefficient of .000. This indicates that training /development has a positive moderate effect on employee productivity. Also, Talent attraction recorded a correlation coefficient of .554 with employee productivity. This shows Talent attraction has a positive strong effect on employee productivity. Finally Talent attraction recorded a correlation coefficient of .000 with employee productivity. This shows Talent attraction has a negative strong effect on employee productivity.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression result was employed to test the effect of independent or explanatory variables on the dependent variables. The result of the multiple regression analysis is presented in the tables below.

The result of the multiple regression formulated in chapter three is presented in the tables below.

Table 3. Summary of the Regression Result.

Mccei	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
-1	TR, PMS, TD, TR		Enter

a. Dependent Variable: EP

b. Ail requested variables entered TA, PMS, TD, TR,

Table 4 shows that R^2 which measures the strength of the effect of independent variable on the dependent variable have the value of .686. This implies that 69% of the variation in employee performance is explained by variations in talent retention, performance management systems, training and development and talent attraction. This was supported by adjusted R^2 of .572.

In order to check for autocorrelation in the model, Durbin-Watson statistics was employed. Durbin-Watson statistics of 1.875 in table 4 shows that the variables in the model are not autocorrelated and that the model is reliable for predications.

The f-statistics value of 6.151 in table 4 with f-statistics probability of 6.151 shows that the independent variables has significant effect on the dependent. This shows that Talent retention, Performance management systems, Training and development and Talent attraction can collectively explain the variations in employee productivity in the selected manufacturing firms.

Table 6 shows the coefficient of the individual variables and their probability values. Talent retention has regression coefficient of .123and probability value of .004. This implies that talent management associated with talent retention has a positive but insignificant effect on employee productivity. Performance management systems have a regression coefficient of -085 with a probability value of .005, implying that performance management systems have a negative and significant effect on employee productivity.

Furthermore, Training and development has a regression coefficient of .171 with a probability value of .176. This implies that training/development has a negative and significant effect on employee productivity. On a similar note, Talent attraction has a coefficient value of .007 and a probability value of .009. This shows that Talent attraction has a positive and significant effect on employee productivity.

Table 4. Model Summary.

N	Iodel	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
						R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Durbin-Watson
1		.294ª	.686	.572	3.185	.086	6.151	5	326	1.875

a. Predictors: (Constant), TA, PMS, TD, TR,

b. b. Dependent Variable: EP

Table 5. ANOVA Result.

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean. Square	F	Sig.
Regression	312.052	5	62.410	6.151	$.000^{b}$
1 Residual	3307.502	326	10.146		
Total	3619.554	331			

c. a. Dependent Variable: EP

d. b. Predictors: (Constant), TA, PMS, TD, TR,

Table 6. Coefficients of the Model.

	Unstandardized		Standardized	T	Sig.	Colinearity		
	Coefficients		Coefficients	Statisti		Statistic's	's	
	B Std. Error		Beta			Tolerance	VIF	
(Constant)	18.916 1.918		.158	9.863	.000	.930	1.075	
TA	.123	.043		2.870	.004			
PMS 1	-085	.044	.202	2.923	.005	.996	1.004	
TD	.171	.044	.209	1.901	007	.978	1.023	
TA	.076	.049	.185	2.562	.009	.941	1.063	

a. Dependent Variable: EP

Test of Hypotheses

Here, the four hypotheses formulated in chapter one were tested using t-statistics and significance value of the individual variables in the regression result. The essence of this is to ascertain how significant the effect of individual independent or explanatory variables are on the dependent variables. The summary of the result is presented in the table below.

Table 7. T-Statistics and Probability Value from the

 Regression Result.

 Model
 T
 Sig.

 1
 TR
 9.863
 .000

 PMS
 2.923
 .004

 TD
 -2.562
 .005

 TA
 1.901
 .007

 2.562
 .009

Source: Authors' Compilation from the Regression Result

Hypothesis One

Ho: Talent retention has no significant influence on employee productivity.

Ho_i: Talent retention has a significant influence on employee productivity

In testing this hypothesis, the t-statistics and probability value in table 7 is used. Talent retention has a t-statistics of 2.923 and a probability value of .005 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses which states that talent retention has a significant influence on employee productivity

Hypothesis Two

Ho: Performance management systems have no significant effect on employee productivity

Hi: Performance management systems have a significant effect on employee productivity

Performance management systems have a t-statistics of 2.562 and a probability value of .009which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses which state that Performance management systems has significant effect on employee productivity

Hypothesis Three

Ho: Training and development has no significant influence on employee productivity

Hi: Training and development has a significant influence on employee productivity

Training and development has a t-statistics of 1.901 and a probability value of 0.007 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis which state that Training and

development has a significant influence on employee productivity

Hypothesis Four

Ho: Talent attraction has no significant effect on employee productivity.

Hi: Talent attraction has a significant effect on employee productivity

Talent attraction has a t-statistics of 2.562 and a probability value of 0.009 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses and conclude that talent attraction has a significant effect on employee productivity

Discussion of Findings

The main objective of this study is to examine the effect of talent management on employee productivity in selected banks in Anambra State. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation and multiple regression analysis. The result of the multiple regression analysis shows that talent retention has a significant influence on employee productivity. This finding is consistent with that of Agrela (2008) who noted that talent strategies enable an organization to pursue high productivity and improved results through talent management. Agrela, (2008) argued that talent strategies enable organizations to focus on factors that affect employee retention leading, to growth and success of organizations as employee performance is enhanced through talent programs within the organizations. This finding agrees with the organizations. Guthridge, Harttig, Komm and Lawson (2010) who noted that the primary role of talent retention is to enhance easy identification of future talents which are needed at all organizational levels. The talent retention process endeavors to obtain an optimal talent positioning level that refers to having the correct talent at both the right time and place. Tunje (2014) noted that there existed a relationship between retention practices and employee production.

The study found that performance management systems have a significant effect on employee productivity. This finding tallies with the findings of Byars and Rue, (2008) where they argued that performance management systems were directly tied to an organization and employee productivity since performance systems helped employees diligently and creatively toward achieving organizational objectives. The findings agrees with Gommans and Kihiko (2013) that revealed that firms that endeavor to adopt various performance management practices that entail worker selection, goal setting and placement as well as performance appraisals do enhance employee productivity. These findings are in line with Agutu (2013) who sought to explore the perceived influence of performance appraisal criteria on employee job productivity and the level of employee involvement.

The study also revealed that training and development has a significant influence on employee productivity. This finding conforms to that of Cascio (2010) who had observed that organizations that did promote efficient learning training programs had higher levels of employee performance compared to those that did not. This corroborates the view of Rothwell and Kazanas (2003) who found out that talent training requires not only formal classroom training, but also other approaches such as mentoring and autonomous learning. Equally, Wright, Gardner and Moynihan, (2003) had argued that in as much as most organizations use training and development as a psychological connection between the company and the employee, the end goal is actually to

enhance employee performance. This is in line with Aswathappa's (2008) argument that training and development improves current or future employee performance by improving an employee's ability to perform through learning, usually by changing attitudes or increasing skills and knowledge. This finding is confirmed with Cheboi (2014) argument that employee training generates an improvement in performance related benefits for both the organization and the employee.

Finally, the study found that talent attraction has a significant effect on employee productivity. This finding agrees with the findings of Ballesteros (2010). Employer branding includes development of an organization's image, good enough to attract employees. In order to attract the best, organizational branding is a useful strategy. Organizations that managetheir corporate brands effectively, gains advantage in the highly competitive global market place. Tanuja, (2007) noted that top rated companies have one characteristic in common, that is they give clear and consistent messages about themselves and this translates into a strong pull on talents. Oehley, (2007) agrees with the findings that employees measure value proposition based on the challenge the job posseses, work environment, training opportunities, flexibility and reputation of the organization

Summary of Findings

The data analyzed shows that:

- 1. Talent retention has a significant influence on employee productivity.
- 2. Performance management systems have a significant effect on employee productivity.
- 3. Training and development has a significant influence on employee productivity.
- 4. Talent attraction has a significant effect on employee productivity.

Conclusion

From the analysis, the study discovers that talent retention has a significant influence on employee productivity. Performance management systems have a significant effect on employee productivity. Training and development has a significant influence on employee productivity and talent attraction has a significant effect on employee productivity. The study therefore concludes that talent management has a significant effect on employee productivity.

Recommendations

The following are recommendations based on the findings of the study.

- 1. Management should establish talent retention strategies and make them known to all employees. This is to ensure that employees are aware that the organization is making necessary effort to enhance their productivity. Equally, each of the talent strategies should be used in a targeted manner for each employee.
- 2. Management-employee involvement in the entire process of performance appraisal should be made mandatory. The more employees are involved in designing a performance management system that will be used to evaluate them, the more they will want to support the system.
- 3. To enhance employee productivity, it is imperative that the organization focuses on training and development programs that are not only essential but enhances employees' competitiveness. Programs should be designed by both managers and employees. Employees are a critical component that determine the success or failure of an organization's training and development programs, therefore, should not be ignored.

4. Talent attraction such as competitive salary packages and rewards should be established as a policy in every organization. The study further established that attraction through attractive packages motivate the employees.

References

Agutu, J., A. (2013). Perceived influence of performance appraisal criteria on employee job satisfaction in Kenya Airways. (Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of Nairobi).

Agrela, R. (2008). Retention issues and solutions: tools to ensure University of California becomes an employer of choice.

Ansell, F., Lievens, F. and Levy, P. E. (2007). A self-motives perspective on feedback seeking behaviour: linking organizational behaviour and social psychology research. International Journal of Management Review. 9, (3), 211-236.

Armstrong, M. (2008). A Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice. 10th Edition. Kogan page.

Ashford, J. S. M., Blatt, R. & Vande, W.1 | D. (2003). Reflections on the looking glass: A review of research on feedback seeking behaviour: Journal of Management. 29, (6), 773-799.

Ashton, C. & Morton, L. (2005). Managing talent for competitive advantage. Human Strategic Review, 4(5), 28 – 31.

Aswathappa, K. (2008). International business. New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Education.

Beardwell, I., Holden, L., & Claydon, T. (2004). Human resource management: A Contemporary Approach. 4th Ed., London: Prentice Hall.

Beechler, S. & Woodward, I.C. (2009). The global war for talent. Journal of International Management. 15(1), 273-285. Bersin, J. (2006). Talent management, what is it, Why Now. Hav Acquisition Company 1, Inc.

Bloisi, W. (2007). An introduction to human resource management. London: McGraw-Hill Education.

Bowen R. C., Sadri G., (2011) Meeting employee requirements: Maslow's hierarchy of needs is still a reliable guide to motivating staff. Industrial Engineer:IE,43, (10),44-48

Breaugh, J. A., & Starke, M. (2000). Research on employee recruitment: So many studies, So many remaining questions. Journal of Management, 26(3), 405-434.

Byars, L., & Rue, L. (2008). Human resource management. Irwin: Mc Graw Hill.

Cappelli, P. (2008). Talent on demand: Managing talent in an age of uncertainty. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.

Cartwright, R. (2003). Implementing a training and development strategy. Oxford: capstone.

Cascio, w. F. (2010). Managing human resources: productivity, quality of work life, profits. Boston: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Chacha, J., M. (2013). Human resource factors influencing employee performance in international non-governmental organizations in Kenya: A Case of Management Science of Health, Kenya Office. Journal of Management, 26(3), 405-434.

Champathes, M. R. (March 01, 2006). Coaching for performance improvement: the COACH model. Development and Learning in Organizations, 20, 2, 17-18.

Chaudhary, N & Sharma, B. (2012). Impact of employee motivation on performance (productivity) in private

organization: International Journal of Business Trends and Technology, 2, (4).

Chintalloo, S & Mahadeo, J. (2013). Effect of motivation on employees' work performance at Ireland Blyth Limited: Proceedings of 8th Annual London Business Research Conference Imperial College, London, UK.

Cheboi, D. K. (2014). Influence of extrinsic motivation on employee's performance in moi teaching and referral hospital Eldoret, Kenya (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Nairobi).

Chiaburu, D., & Tekleab, A. (2006). Individual and contextual influences on multiple dimensions of training effectiveness. Human Resources Abstracts, 41, 4.)

Chiu, R. K., Luk, V. W.-M., & Tang, T. L.-P. (August 01, 2002). Retaining and motivating employees: Compensation preferences in Hong Kong and China. Personnel Review, 31, 4, 402-431.

Chikumbi, C. N. L. (2012). An investigation of talent management and staff retention at the bank of Zambia. Human Resource Management Review, 19 (4),4–13.

Collings D., & Mellahi, K. (2009). Strategic talent management: A review and Research Agenda. Human Resource Management Review, 19 (4)304–313.

Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2003). Business Research Methods (8th edition). USA: McGraw-Hill.

Corrado, C., Hulten, C., & Sichel, D. (2009). Intangible capital and US economic growth. Review of income and wealth, 55(3), 661-685.

Crain, D. W. (2009). Only the right people are strategic assets of the firm. Strategy & Leadership, 37, 6, 33-38.

Daoanis, L. E. (2012). Performance appraisal system: It's implication to employee performance. ManagementJournal of Social Sciences,, 2(3), 55-62.

Dechev, Z., (2010). Effective performance appraisal. A study into the relation between employer satisfaction and optimizing business results. Erasmus University Rotterdam.

Denscombe, M. (2007). The good research guide: For small-scale social research projects. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Easterby-Smith, M., Thorpe, R. and Jackson, P.R. (2008) Management Research. 3rd edition, London: Sage

Elnaga, Amir, & Imran, Amen. (2013). The effect of training on employee performance. The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education. Journal of Social Sciences, 4(6), 133-157.

Eshiteti, S. N. (2013). Effects of succession planning programs on staff retention. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4(6), 157-193.

Fulmer, R., Stumpf, S., & Bleak, J. (2009). The strategic development of high potential leaders. Journal of Strategic Management, 37 (3), 17-22.

Garavan, T. N., Carbery, R., & Rock, A. (2012). Mapping talent development: definition, scope and architecture. European Journal of Training and Development, 36(1), 5-24. Gomez-Mejia, L. R., Balkin, D. B. & Cardy, R. L. (2007). Managing human resources. New Delhi: PHI learning private Ltd.

Gommans, H. P., & Kihiko, M. K. (2013). A critical analysis of human resource management practices influencing performance in public Universities.

Gong, Y., Zhou, J., & Chang, S. (2013). Core knowledge employee creativity and firm performance: The moderating role of riskiness orientation, firm size, and realized absorptive capacity. Personnel Psychology, 66(2), 443-482.

Guthridge, M., McPherson, J. R., & Wolf, W. J. (2009). Upgrading talent. The McKinsey Quarterly(1), 61.

Guthridge, M., Komm, A. B., & Lawson, E. (2008). Making talent a strategic priority. McKinsey Quarterly, 1, 48.

Hall, B. (2005). Market value and patent citations. Rand Journal of Economics 36: 16–38.

Heinen, J. S., & O'Neill, C. (2004). Managing talent to maximize performance. Employment Relations Today, 31, 2, 67-82.

Hollenbeck, J. R., DeRue, D. S., & Guzzo, R. (2005). Bridging the gap between I/O research and HR practice: Improving team composition, team training, and team task design. Human Resource Management, 43, 4, 353-366.

Huselid, M. A. (2005). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. Academy of Management Journal, 38(3), 635–672.

Huselid, M. A.& Becker, B. E. (2011). Bridging micro and macro domains: Workforce differentiation and strategic human resource management. Journal of Management, 37(2), 421428.

Iqbal, N., Ahmad, N., & Haider, Z. (2013). Impact of performance appraisal on employee's performance involving the moderating role of motivation. Oman Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review. 2. 3, (1),37-56. Izwar, H. & Aerni, I. (2014). Talent management practices and employee engagement: A study in malaysian Glcs. Isa International Journal of Business, Economics and Law, Vol. 4, Issue 1 (June).

Johnson, G. (1993). Exploring corporate strategy: Hauptbd.. New York: Prentice-Hall.

Joo, B., & Park S., (2010). Career satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention: The effects of goal orientation, organizational learning culture and developmental feedback. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 31. (5)64-78

Kambabazi, J. B. (2012). Talent management, organisation culture and employee engagement: the case of national water and Sewerage Corporation (NWSC), Uganda (Doctoral Dissertation, Makerere University).

Kaplan, R. S. & Norton, D.P. (1996). Translating strategy into action the balanced scorecard, Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.

Kathiravan, N., Devadasan, S. R., & Zakkeer, M. M. (2006). Quality improvement oriented training and education programme and its financial accounting system. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 106, 3, 380-406.

Kazmin, A.; Pearson, S.; Robinson, G.& Weitzman, H. (2011). Talent shortage adds to growth strains, Financial Times, 9.

Kennedy, David, & McGarthy, Dermot. (2013). Feedback dynamics in the academic and industrial environment. Dublin Institute of Technology.

Kehinde, J.S. (2012) Talent management effect on organizational performance: Journal of Management Research 4, (2).

Khezri, S., Niknafs, S., Aidnlou, H. A., Alian, Z. N. & Eslamlou, Z. N.(2016). The impact of talent management on productivity of bank Mellat of west Azerbaijan province. International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management. 3, (12), 15-18

Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, D. L. (2006). Improving employee performance through appraisal and coaching. New York: American Management Association.

Knott. E. (2016). The effect of talent management practices on employee performance among real estate companies in Kenya: A case of Suraya property group limited. A Research Project Submitted to the Chandaria School of Business for the Degree of Master of Science in Organizational Development (MOD)

Koketso, L. P., & Rust, A. B. (2012). Perceived challenges to talent management in the South African public service: An exploratory study of the City of Cape Town municipality. African Journal of Business Management, 6, (6), 2221.

Koranteng, F. (2014). Assessing talent management as a tool for employee retention. A case study of Procredit Savings and Loans Limited Kumasi. (Unpublished Master Thesis, Kwame Nkrumah University).

Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2008). Organizational Behavior 8th edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.

Leisy, B., & Pyron, D. (2009). Talent management takes on new urgency. Compensation & Benefits Review, 41, 4, 58-63

Lockwood, N. R. (2006, June). Talent management: Driver for organization success. ResearchQuarterly,2,(5),1-13.

Lyria, R., K. (2013). Effect of talent management on organizational performance in companies listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. (Unpublished Master Thesis, Jomo Kenyatta University, Nairobi).

Mathis, R.L & Jackson, J.H. (2006). Human Resource Management (12th ed). Mason, United States: Thompson South Western.

McDonald, P. (2008). Succession Planning as a Retention Tool. Financial Executive, 24, 6, 18-21.

McMahon, M., Patton, W., & Tatham, P. (2003). Managing life, learning and work in the 21st Century: Issues informing the development of an Australian Blueprint for Career Development. Subiaco, W.A: Miles Morgan Australia Pty Ltd.

Milkovich, T. and Boudreau, J. (1988) Personnel /human resource management: A Diagnostic Approach 5th Edition Homewood Illinois.

Mokaya, L. O. (2014). Influence of employee retention strategies on the performance of Kenya power and lighting company limited (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Nairobi).

Morton, L., & Conference Board. (2005). Talent management value imperatives: Strategies for execution. New York, N.Y: Conference Board.

Mutinda, J., N. (2014). Perceived relationship between learning and development practices and employee performance at Kenya Airways Ltd.

Ngo, H. Y., Lau, C. M., & Foley, S. (2008). Strategic human resource management, firm performance, and employee relations climate in China. Human Resource Management, 47,(1), 73-90.

Nguru, C. (2014). Employee perceptions of factors influencing non-quit decision at the Nairobi city county(Doctoral Dissertation, School of Business, University of Nairobi).

Nicholas, C. (2012). The Link between talent management practices, succession planning and corporate strategy among commercial Banks in Kenya. (Unpublished Master Thesis, Nairobi University).

Njambi, C. (2014). Factors influencing employee motivation and its impact on employee performance: A Case of AMREF Health Africa in Kenya(Doctoral Dissertation, United States International University-Africa).

Noe, R. (2008). Employee Training & Development. 4th Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill

Nyanjom, C., R. (2013). Factors influencing employee retention in the state corporations in Kenya. Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of Nairobi.

Omerzel, D.G., & Gulev, R.E. (2011). Knowledge resources and competitive advantage. Managing Global Transitions, 9(4), 335.

Ongori, H. & Agolla, J.E. (2009). Paradigm shift in managing career plateau in organisation: The best strategy to minimize employee intention to quit. African Journal of Business Management, 3(6), 268-271.

Onwuka E. M., Ugwu K. E., &Kekeocha M. E. (2015). The relationship between talent management and employees performance in Nigerian public sector: A study of selected firms in Delta State. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management. 3 (5)1581-1591

Oyafunke, O., C., Paul, Salau, O. & Olumuyiwa, F. O. (2014). Perceived influence of organizational culture and management style on employees performance in Nigerian banking sectors. The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE.

Pattanayak, B. (2009). Human resource management (PHI Learning Private Limited).

Petri, H.L. 1996. Motivation: Theory, research and applications (4th ed.). New York: Brooks/ Cole Publishing Company.

Pineda-Herrero, P., Quesada-Pallarès, C., & Ciraso-Calí, A. (January 01, 2014). Evaluation of training transfer factors: The FET Model.

Prajogo, D. I. (2007). The relationship between competitive strategies and product quality. Industrial Management and Data Systems, 107(1), 69–83.

Puvitayaphan A. (2008), Talent management practices in selected companies listed on the stock exchange of Thailand (SET); Educational Journal of Thailand 2,(1).

Ramsay, C.S. (2006). Engagement at Intuit: It's the people. Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology 21st Annual Conference, Dallas, TX.

Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. K. (2002). Management. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall.

Rossi, A.C., (2012). Proposal of a performance measurement system for E-commerce SMEs in Denmark. Aarhus University.

Rothwell, W. J., & Kazanas, H. C. (2003). The strategic development of talent. Human resource development. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 13, 4, 407-25.

Rowden, R. W. (2003). The Relationship between Workplace Learning and Job Satisfaction in U.S. Small to Midsize Businesses. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 13, 4, 407-25.

Rowden, R., & Conine, C. (2006). The impact of workplace learning on job satisfaction in small US commercial banks. Human Resources Abstracts, 41, 3, 215.

Sahu, S. (2007). Management of NGOs. Jaipur: Print Media Publications.

Sakineh, H., Mehrdad, M., & Hasan, M. (2012). Relationship between talent management and organizational success. International Research Journal of Applied & Basic Sciences, 3(12), 2424-2430.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A. (2007) Research methods for business students.4 th ed. London: Prentice Hall. Scotland. (2005). National strategy for the development of the social service workforce in Scotland: A plan for action2005-2010: an overview. Edinburgh: Scottish Executive.

Shaw, J.D., Duffy, M.K., Johnson, J.J., & Lockhart, D. (2005). Turnover, social capital losses, and performance. Academy of Management Journal, 48, 594-606.

Shuck, B., & Herd, A. M. (June 01, 2012). Employee engagement and leadership: exploring the convergence of two frameworks and implications for leadership development in HRD. Human Resource Development Review, 11, 2, 156-181.

Sturges, J. (2008). All in a day's work? Career self-management and the management of the boundary between work and non-work. Human Resource Management Journal, 18, 2, 118-134.

Suraya Property Group (2016). Our Projects. Available at: http://www.suraya.co.ke/ourproperties-in-kenya/. Accessed on November, 5, 2016.

Taylor, F. W., (1911). Principles of Scientific Management. New York and London, Harper & brothers Co.

Tunje, G., S. (2014). Relationship between succession planning practices and Employee retention in large media houses in Kenya.

Venkateswara, R. T. (2004). Performance management and appraisal systems: HR tools for global competitiveness. New Delhi: Response Books, a division of Sage Publications.

Wambui, N (2012). Talent Management Practices in Commercial State Corporations in Kenya. (Unpublished Master Thesis, university of Nairobi).

Wellins, R. S., Smith, A. B., & Erker, S. (2009). Nine best practices for effective talent management. Development Dimensions International, 1-14.

Wikström, P-O. H., Oberwittler, D., Treiber, K., Hardie, B. (2012). Breaking rules: The social and situational dynamics of young people's urban crime. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.

Wright, P. M., Gardner, T. M., & Moynihan, L. M. (2003). The impact of HR practices on the performance of business units. Human Resource Management Journal, 13(3), 21-36.

Yalcin, E. S., Akbay, B. E., & Oral, T. (1994). Read on!: Building reading proficiency: An integrated skills approach. İstanbul: Boğaziçi Universitesi Vakfı.

Zareen, M., Razzaq, K., & Ramzan, M. (2013). Impact of Employee Retention on Performance: The Moderating Role of Employee Psychological Perception Towards Retention Plan. Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research In Business, 4(10), 822.

Zheng, A. Y., & Kleiner, B. H. (2001). Developments concerning career development and transition. Management Research News, 24, 33-39.

Zhou, J. (2003) When the Presence of Creative Coworkers is Related to Creativity: Role of Supervisor Close Monitoring, Developmental Feedback, and Creative Personality: Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 88 No. 3, pp. 413-22.